Table 1a. Demographics and Other Characteristics

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Female } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=440) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Male } \\ & \text { (N=277) } \end{aligned}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year in School |  |  |  |  |
| First year | 192 (26.2\%) | 113 (25.7\%) | 75 (27.2\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Sophomore | 161 (21.9\%) | 100 (22.7\%) | 57 (20.7\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Junior | 188 (25.6\%) | 114 (25.9\%) | 69 (25.0\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Senior | 166 (22.6\%) | 100 (22.7\%) | 63 (22.8\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Other | 27 (3.7\%) | 13 (3.0\%) | 12 (4.3\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Hispanic |  |  |  |  |
| No | 427 (58.3\%) | 259 (59.0\%) | 154 (55.8\%) | 14 (77.8\%) |
| Yes | 306 (41.7\%) | 180 (41.0\%) | 122 (44.2\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Race* |  |  |  |  |
| American Indian or Alaska Native | 58 (7.9\%) | 39 (8.9\%) | 18 (6.5\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Asian | 65 (8.8\%) | 38 (8.6\%) | 26 (9.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Black or African American | 37 (5.0\%) | 24 (5.5\%) | 13 (4.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander | 6 (0.8\%) | 5 (1.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| White | 516 (70.2\%) | 310 (70.5\%) | 192 (69.3\%) | 14 (77.8\%) |
| Other | 107 (14.6\%) | 51 (11.6\%) | 54 (19.5\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Race |  |  |  |  |
| White | 445 (63.1\%) | 271 (64.4\%) | 162 (60.7\%) | 12 (70.6\%) |
| Black or African American | 22 (3.1\%) | 16 (3.8\%) | 6 (2.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Other | 238 (33.8\%) | 134 (31.8\%) | 99 (37.1\%) | 5 (29.4\%) |
| Race and Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| White Not Hispanic | 285 (39.1\%) | 171 (39.1\%) | 104 (37.8\%) | 10 (58.8\%) |
| Black Not Hispanic | 19 (2.6\%) | 14 (3.2\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Hispanic | 306 (42.0\%) | 180 (41.2\%) | 122 (44.4\%) | 4 (23.5\%) |
| Other | 119 (16.3\%) | 72 (16.5\%) | 44 (16.0\%) | 3 (17.6\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
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## Table 1a. Demographics and Other Characteristics

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All Subjects } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=735) \end{aligned}$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sexual Attraction |  |  |  |  |
| Heterosexual or straight | 583 (79.8\%) | 337 (76.9\%) | 245 (88.8\%) | 1 (5.9\%) |
| Gay or lesbian | 24 (3.3\%) | 12 (2.7\%) | 12 (4.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Bisexual | 85 (11.6\%) | 60 (13.7\%) | 15 (5.4\%) | 10 (58.8\%) |
| Asexual | 7 (1.0\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Questioning | 16 (2.2\%) | 13 (3.0\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 1 (5.9\%) |
| Not listed | 16 (2.2\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 5 (29.4\%) |
| Partnered Relationship Since Fall 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| No | 243 (33.1\%) | 124 (28.2\%) | 116 (41.9\%) | 3 (17.6\%) |
| Yes | 491 (66.9\%) | 316 (71.8\%) | 161 (58.1\%) | 14 (82.4\%) |
| Year Enrolled as a Student at UNM |  |  |  |  |
| Before 2013 | 64 (9.5\%) | 36 (9.1\%) | 26 (10.0\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 2013 | 89 (13.2\%) | 55 (13.9\%) | 31 (12.0\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 2014 | 122 (18.1\%) | 69 (17.4\%) | 50 (19.3\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 2015 | 159 (23.6\%) | 98 (24.7\%) | 58 (22.4\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 2016 | 221 (32.8\%) | 127 (32.0\%) | 88 (34.0\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| 2017 | 19 (2.8\%) | 12 (3.0\%) | 6 (2.3\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Status |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | 673 (92.2\%) | 405 (92.7\%) | 251 (91.3\%) | 17 (94.4\%) |
| Part-time | 52 (7.1\%) | 31 (7.1\%) | 20 (7.3\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Other | 5 (0.7\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 4 (1.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| US Citizen or Permanent Resident |  |  |  |  |
| No | 30 (4.1\%) | 14 (3.2\%) | 15 (5.5\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes | 700 (95.9\%) | 425 (96.8\%) | 258 (94.5\%) | 17 (94.4\%) |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
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Table 1a. Demographics and Other Characteristics

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Never married | 591 (80.7\%) | 347 (79.0\%) | 234 (85.1\%) | 10 (55.6\%) |
| Not married but living with a partner | 77 (10.5\%) | 48 (10.9\%) | 23 (8.4\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| Married | 21 (2.9\%) | 14 (3.2\%) | 6 (2.2\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Divorced or separated | 2 (0.3\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Other | 41 (5.6\%) | 29 (6.6\%) | 12 (4.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Living Situation |  |  |  |  |
| Live alone | 113 (15.4\%) | 65 (14.8\%) | 47 (17.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| With a roommate/roommates (not a romantic partner) | 269 (36.6\%) | 149 (33.9\%) | 114 (41.3\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| With my husband/wife, boyfriend/girlfriend or other romantic partner | 93 (12.7\%) | 61 (13.9\%) | 25 (9.1\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| With my parents or other adult relatives | 259 (35.3\%) | 165 (37.5\%) | 90 (32.6\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |

Table 2a. Training Experience

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heard of Alcohol EDU | 176 (23.9\%) | 95 (21.6\%) | 78 (28.2\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Received Alcohol EDU Training |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 86 (49.1\%) | 48 (51.1\%) | 36 (46.2\%) | 2 (66.7\%) |
| Once | 81 (46.3\%) | 43 (45.7\%) | 37 (47.4\%) | 1 (33.3\%) |
| Multiple Times | 8 (4.6\%) | 3 (3.2\%) | 5 (6.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Received Alcohol EDU Training Since Fall 2016* | 40 (44.9\%) | 18 (39.1\%) | 22 (52.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Helpfulness of Alcohol EDU Training* |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Not Helpful | 9 (10.3\%) | 5 (11.4\%) | 4 (9.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 | 8 (9.2\%) | 4 (9.1\%) | 4 (9.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3 Somewhat Helpful | 40 (46.0\%) | 17 (38.6\%) | 22 (52.4\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| 4 | 19 (21.8\%) | 12 (27.3\%) | 7 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 5 Very Helpful | 11 (12.6\%) | 6 (13.6\%) | 5 (11.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Heard of Bringing in the Bystander | 59 (8.0\%) | 33 (7.5\%) | 25 (9.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Received Bringing in the Bystander Training |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 25 (43.9\%) | 16 (50.0\%) | 9 (37.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Once | 28 (49.1\%) | 15 (46.9\%) | 12 (50.0\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Multiple Times | 4 (7.0\%) | 1 (3.1\%) | 3 (12.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Received Bringing in the Bystander Training Since Fall 2016* | 17 (53.1\%) | 10 (62.5\%) | 7 (46.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Helpfulness of Bringing in the Bystander Training* |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Not Helpful | 3 (9.7\%) | 2 (13.3\%) | 1 (6.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 | 3 (9.7\%) | 2 (13.3\%) | 1 (6.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3 Somewhat Helpful | 8 (25.8\%) | 2 (13.3\%) | 6 (40.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 4 | 14 (45.2\%) | 8 (53.3\%) | 5 (33.3\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| 5 Very Helpful | 3 (9.7\%) | 1 (6.7\%) | 2 (13.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST\Requests|Womens Health|McBee|Programs|UNM|2017|T_UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 2a. Training Experience

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Heard of Green Dot | 34 (4.6\%) | 16 (3.6\%) | 18 (6.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Received Green Dot Training |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 18 (54.5\%) | 9 (60.0\%) | 9 (50.0\%) | - |
| Once | 13 (39.4\%) | 5 (33.3\%) | 8 (44.4\%) | - |
| Multiple Times | 2 (6.1\%) | 1 (6.7\%) | 1 (5.6\%) | - |
| Received Green Dot Training Since Fall 2016* | 7 (46.7\%) | 3 (50.0\%) | 4 (44.4\%) | - |
| Helpfulness of Green Dot Training* |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Not Helpful | 1 (6.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (11.1\%) | - |
| 2 | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| 3 Somewhat Helpful | 6 (40.0\%) | 1 (16.7\%) | 5 (55.6\%) | - |
| 4 | 7 (46.7\%) | 4 (66.7\%) | 3 (33.3\%) | - |
| 5 Very Helpful | 1 (6.7\%) | 1 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Heard of Haven | 38 (5.2\%) | 19 (4.3\%) | 19 (6.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Received Haven Training |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 21 (58.3\%) | 10 (58.8\%) | 11 (57.9\%) | - |
| Once | 12 (33.3\%) | 6 (35.3\%) | 6 (31.6\%) | - |
| Multiple Times | 3 (8.3\%) | 1 (5.9\%) | 2 (10.5\%) | - |
| Received Haven Training Since Fall 2016* | 9 (60.0\%) | 3 (42.9\%) | 6 (75.0\%) | - |
| Helpfulness of Haven Training* |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Not Helpful | 1 (6.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (12.5\%) | - |
| 2 | 3 (20.0\%) | 2 (28.6\%) | 1 (12.5\%) | - |
| 3 Somewhat Helpful | 7 (46.7\%) | 2 (28.6\%) | 5 (62.5\%) | - |
| 4 | 4 (26.7\%) | 3 (42.9\%) | 1 (12.5\%) | - |
| 5 Very Helpful | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Heard of It's On Us | 104 (14.1\%) | 63 (14.3\%) | 39 (14.1\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST\Requests|Womens Health|McBee|Programs|UNM|2017|T_UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 2a. Training Experience

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ \mathbf{( N = 2 7 7 )} \end{gathered}$ | Others $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=18 \text { ) }$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Received It's On Us Training |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 62 (60.8\%) | 41 (67.2\%) | 19 (48.7\%) | 2 (100.0\%) |
| Once | 35 (34.3\%) | 19 (31.1\%) | 16 (41.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Multiple Times | 5 (4.9\%) | 1 (1.6\%) | 4 (10.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Received It's On Us Training Since Fall 2016* | 21 (52.5\%) | 11 (55.0\%) | 10 (50.0\%) | - |
| Helpfulness of It's On Us Training* |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Not Helpful | 2 (5.1\%) | 2 (10.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| 2 | 2 (5.1\%) | 1 (5.0\%) | 1 (5.3\%) | - |
| 3 Somewhat Helpful | 15 (38.5\%) | 5 (25.0\%) | 10 (52.6\%) | - |
| 4 | 13 (33.3\%) | 8 (40.0\%) | 5 (26.3\%) | - |
| 5 Very Helpful | 7 (17.9\%) | 4 (20.0\%) | 3 (15.8\%) | - |
| Heard of Step UP | 125 (17.0\%) | 72 (16.4\%) | 50 (18.1\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Received Step UP Training |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 89 (76.1\%) | 54 (80.6\%) | 33 (70.2\%) | 2 (66.7\%) |
| Once | 25 (21.4\%) | 13 (19.4\%) | 11 (23.4\%) | 1 (33.3\%) |
| Multiple Times | 3 (2.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 3 (6.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Received Step UP Training Since Fall 2016* | 12 (42.9\%) | 7 (53.8\%) | 4 (28.6\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Helpfulness of Step UP Training* |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Not Helpful | 4 (14.3\%) | 2 (15.4\%) | 2 (14.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3 Somewhat Helpful | 13 (46.4\%) | 5 (38.5\%) | 8 (57.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 4 | 8 (28.6\%) | 3 (23.1\%) | 4 (28.6\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| 5 Very Helpful | 3 (10.7\%) | 3 (23.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Heard of Think About It | 64 (8.7\%) | 30 (6.8\%) | 32 (11.6\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Received Think About It Training |  |  |  |  |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.
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Table 2a. Training Experience

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Never | 31 (50.8\%) | 14 (46.7\%) | 16 (55.2\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Once | 25 (41.0\%) | 15 (50.0\%) | 9 (31.0\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Multiple Times | 5 (8.2\%) | 1 (3.3\%) | 4 (13.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Received Think About It Training Since Fall 2016* | 17 (56.7\%) | 11 (68.8\%) | 6 (46.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Helpfulness of Think About It Training* |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Not Helpful | 3 (10.3\%) | 1 (6.3\%) | 2 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3 Somewhat Helpful | 15 (51.7\%) | 6 (37.5\%) | 8 (66.7\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| 4 | 9 (31.0\%) | 8 (50.0\%) | 1 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 5 Very Helpful | 2 (6.9\%) | 1 (6.3\%) | 1 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Heard of The Grey Area | 422 (57.4\%) | 266 (60.5\%) | 144 (52.0\%) | 12 (66.7\%) |
| Received The Grey Area Training |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 174 (41.4\%) | 112 (42.3\%) | 57 (39.9\%) | 5 (41.7\%) |
| Once | 221 (52.6\%) | 141 (53.2\%) | 73 (51.0\%) | 7 (58.3\%) |
| Multiple Times | 25 (6.0\%) | 12 (4.5\%) | 13 (9.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Received The Grey Area Training Since Fall 2016* | 165 (67.1\%) | 106 (69.3\%) | 56 (65.1\%) | 3 (42.9\%) |
| Helpfulness of The Grey Area Training* |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Not Helpful | 23 (9.5\%) | 14 (9.3\%) | 8 (9.6\%) | 1 (14.3\%) |
| 2 | 24 (10.0\%) | 16 (10.6\%) | 7 (8.4\%) | 1 (14.3\%) |
| 3 Somewhat Helpful | 83 (34.4\%) | 47 (31.1\%) | 32 (38.6\%) | 4 (57.1\%) |
| 4 | 63 (26.1\%) | 40 (26.5\%) | 22 (26.5\%) | 1 (14.3\%) |
| 5 Very Helpful | 48 (19.9\%) | 34 (22.5\%) | 14 (16.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Heard of RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App | 89 (12.1\%) | 55 (12.5\%) | 31 (11.2\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Received RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App Training |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 64 (73.6\%) | 42 (79.2\%) | 21 (67.7\%) | 1 (33.3\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.
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Table 2a. Training Experience

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Once | 17 (19.5\%) | 7 (13.2\%) | 8 (25.8\%) | 2 (66.7\%) |
| Multiple Times | 6 (6.9\%) | 4 (7.5\%) | 2 (6.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Received RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App Training Since Fall 2016* | 7 (30.4\%) | 5 (45.5\%) | 2 (20.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Helpfulness of RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App Training* |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Not Helpful | 1 (4.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| 2 | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3 Somewhat Helpful | 11 (47.8\%) | 4 (36.4\%) | 7 (70.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 4 | 9 (39.1\%) | 5 (45.5\%) | 3 (30.0\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| 5 Very Helpful | 2 (8.7\%) | 2 (18.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Heard of another bystander training program | 14 (1.9\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Received another bystander training program |  |  |  |  |
| Never | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Once | 11 (84.6\%) | 9 (100.0\%) | 2 (50.0\%) | - |
| Multiple Times | 2 (15.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 2 (50.0\%) | - |
| Received another bystander training program Since Fall 2016* | 6 (46.2\%) | 4 (44.4\%) | 2 (50.0\%) | - |
| Helpfulness of another bystander training program* |  |  |  |  |
| 1 Not Helpful | 1 (7.7\%) | 1 (11.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| 2 | 3 (23.1\%) | 2 (22.2\%) | 1 (25.0\%) | - |
| 3 Somewhat Helpful | 5 (38.5\%) | 3 (33.3\%) | 2 (50.0\%) | - |
| 4 | 4 (30.8\%) | 3 (33.3\%) | 1 (25.0\%) | - |
| 5 Very Helpful | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.
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Table 3a. Social Life

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All Subjects } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=735) \end{aligned}$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Location |  |  |  |  |
| Single-sex residence hall or dormitory | 44 (6.0\%) | 32 (7.3\%) | 11 (4.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Co-ed residence hall or dormitory | 169 (23.0\%) | 96 (21.8\%) | 69 (25.0\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Other university housing | 22 (3.0\%) | 9 (2.0\%) | 12 (4.3\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Fraternity/sorority house | 4 (0.5\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Off-campus house or apartment | 489 (66.6\%) | 297 (67.5\%) | 180 (65.2\%) | 12 (66.7\%) |
| Other | 6 (0.8\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Member of*: |  |  |  |  |
| Honor society or professional group related to your major, field of study | 220 (29.9\%) | 146 (33.2\%) | 69 (24.9\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Media organization (e.g. newspaper, radio, magazine) | 11 (1.5\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Fraternity or sorority (pledge/new member or member) | 50 (6.8\%) | 20 (4.5\%) | 30 (10.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Political group | 27 (3.7\%) | 7 (1.6\%) | 18 (6.5\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Social action group | 32 (4.4\%) | 16 (3.6\%) | 11 (4.0\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Student government | 17 (2.3\%) | 9 (2.0\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Community service organization | 56 (7.6\%) | 35 (8.0\%) | 19 (6.9\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Student religious group | 50 (6.8\%) | 30 (6.8\%) | 20 (7.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Intercollegiate athletic team | 11 (1.5\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Intramural or club athletic team | 40 (5.4\%) | 14 (3.2\%) | 25 (9.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Health education group (place holder for specific alcohol abuse prevention programs, sexual violence/sexual risk taking programs) | 10 (1.4\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Racial minority or ethnic organization | 31 (4.2\%) | 22 (5.0\%) | 7 (2.5\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Sexual minority organization (LGBTQ) | 22 (3.0\%) | 14 (3.2\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Reserve Officers Training Corps (ROTC) | 9 (1.2\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\backslash$ McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T$ _UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 3a. Social Life

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect binge drink (drink 5 or more drinks in a row within about 2 hours)? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 friends | 295 (40.2\%) | 183 (41.7\%) | 104 (37.5\%) | 8 (44.4\%) |
| 1-2 friends | 211 (28.7\%) | 132 (30.1\%) | 76 (27.4\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 3-5 friends | 115 (15.7\%) | 63 (14.4\%) | 49 (17.7\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 6 or more friends | 113 (15.4\%) | 61 (13.9\%) | 48 (17.3\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect use illegal drugs? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 friends | 247 (33.7\%) | 147 (33.6\%) | 95 (34.4\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| 1-2 friends | 212 (29.0\%) | 139 (31.7\%) | 71 (25.7\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 3-5 friends | 135 (18.4\%) | 82 (18.7\%) | 49 (17.8\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| 6 or more friends | 138 (18.9\%) | 70 (16.0\%) | 61 (22.1\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect have forced someone to have sex with them? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 friends | 694 (94.7\%) | 415 (94.5\%) | 264 (95.7\%) | 15 (83.3\%) |
| 1-2 friends | 37 (5.0\%) | 23 (5.2\%) | 11 (4.0\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 3-5 friends | 1 (0.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6 or more friends | 1 (0.1\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect have used any physical force against a partner? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 friends | 684 (93.4\%) | 403 (92.0\%) | 264 (95.7\%) | 17 (94.4\%) |
| 1-2 friends | 46 (6.3\%) | 33 (7.5\%) | 12 (4.3\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 3-5 friends | 1 (0.1\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6 or more friends | 1 (0.1\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\backslash$ McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T$ _UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 3a. Social Life

|  | All Subjects ( $\mathrm{N}=735$ ) | Female $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=440 \text { ) }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect have partners that use physical force? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 friends | 628 (85.7\%) | 356 (81.3\%) | 257 (92.8\%) | 15 (83.3\%) |
| 1-2 friends | 94 (12.8\%) | 76 (17.4\%) | 17 (6.1\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 3-5 friends | 7 (1.0\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 6 or more friends | 4 (0.5\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect had sex because they were forced or pressured into it? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 friends | 542 (73.8\%) | 307 (69.9\%) | 226 (81.6\%) | 9 (50.0\%) |
| 1-2 friends | 151 (20.6\%) | 99 (22.6\%) | 46 (16.6\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| 3-5 friends | 31 (4.2\%) | 25 (5.7\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 6 or more friends | 10 (1.4\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Risky Friends Behaviors (0-36)**, n | 728 | 436 | 274 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 4.4 (4.76) | 4.3 (4.79) | 4.4 (4.5) | 6.9 (7.1) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 3, 7 | 1, 3, 6 | 1,2.5, 7 | 1, 4, 12 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 36 | 0, 36 | 0, 18 | 0, 25 |
| Risky Friends Behaviors-Substance (0-12)**, n | 732 | 438 | 276 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 3.7 (3.88) | 3.4 (3.67) | 4 (4.11) | 5.1 (4.75) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0.5, 2, 6 | 0, 2, 6 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 3, 9 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 12 | 0,12 | 0,12 | 0,12 |
| Risky Friends Behaviors-Violence (0-24)**, n | 730 | 437 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.7 (1.82) | 0.9 (2.01) | 0.4 (1.21) | 1.8 (3.44) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 1 | 0, 0, 1 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0.5, 1 |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 3a. Social Life

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,24 | 0,24 | 0,11 | 0,13 |
| Since Fall 2016, how frequently did you binge drink? (Binge drinking is defined as having five or more drinks in a row within 2 hours.) |  |  |  |  |
| I have had 5 or more drinks in a row (binge drinking), but not since Fall 2016 | 40 (5.4\%) | 29 (6.6\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Once or twice since Fall 2016 | 123 (16.7\%) | 73 (16.6\%) | 47 (17.0\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| About once a month | 79 (10.7\%) | 33 (7.5\%) | 43 (15.5\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| About once a week | 34 (4.6\%) | 15 (3.4\%) | 19 (6.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Almost every day | 4 (0.5\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 3 (1.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| I never binge drink | 181 (24.6\%) | 128 (29.1\%) | 50 (18.1\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| I do not drink | 274 (37.3\%) | 161 (36.6\%) | 107 (38.6\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, have you been unable to remember things that happened while you were drinking alcohol (things you would normally remember)? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 295 (40.2\%) | 179 (40.7\%) | 107 (38.8\%) | 9 (50.0\%) |
| Yes, in the past month | 60 (8.2\%) | 36 (8.2\%) | 23 (8.3\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not in the past month | 97 (13.2\%) | 58 (13.2\%) | 37 (13.4\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| No, I do not drink | 282 (38.4\%) | 167 (38.0\%) | 109 (39.5\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, have you drank alcohol to feel more connected to the people around you? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 232 (31.6\%) | 137 (31.1\%) | 90 (32.5\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Yes, in the past month | 117 (15.9\%) | 65 (14.8\%) | 49 (17.7\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Yes, but not in the past month | 105 (14.3\%) | 71 (16.1\%) | 30 (10.8\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| No, I do not drink | 281 (38.2\%) | 167 (38.0\%) | 108 (39.0\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, have you drank to feel more comfortable with or to increase the opportunity for sex? |  |  |  |  |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 3a. Social Life

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 371 (50.6\%) | 224 (51.0\%) | 138 (50.0\%) | 9 (50.0\%) |
| Yes, in the past month | 44 (6.0\%) | 25 (5.7\%) | 18 (6.5\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not in the past month | 36 (4.9\%) | 22 (5.0\%) | 12 (4.3\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| No, I do not drink | 282 (38.5\%) | 168 (38.3\%) | 108 (39.1\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, have you done things when drinking alcohol that you normally would not do and you now regret doing? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 324 (44.2\%) | 197 (44.9\%) | 121 (43.8\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| Yes, in the past month | 41 (5.6\%) | 25 (5.7\%) | 15 (5.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not in the past month | 86 (11.7\%) | 49 (11.2\%) | 32 (11.6\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| No, I do not drink | 282 (38.5\%) | 168 (38.3\%) | 108 (39.1\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, have you been recommended to Alcoholics Anonymous or an alcohol or drug treatment facility? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 446 (60.9\%) | 269 (61.3\%) | 165 (60.0\%) | 12 (66.7\%) |
| Yes, in the past month | 3 (0.4\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not in the past month | 2 (0.3\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| No, I do not drink | 281 (38.4\%) | 167 (38.0\%) | 108 (39.3\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| Problem Alcohol Use Current (0-5)**, n | 730 | 437 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.4 (0.83) | 0.3 (0.84) | 0.4 (0.82) | 0.3 (0.84) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 4 | 0, 4 | 0, 4 | 0, 3 |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 4a. Perpetration

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times did you do any of the following toward another UNM student? |  |  |  |  |
| You made sexual remarks or told jokes or stories that may have been or were insulting or offensive to another student? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 627 (85.5\%) | 399 (90.9\%) | 211 (76.4\%) | 17 (94.4\%) |
| 1 time | 36 (4.9\%) | 19 (4.3\%) | 17 (6.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 times | 14 (1.9\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 10 (3.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 11 (1.5\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 1 (0.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 18 (2.5\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 15 (5.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 26 (3.5\%) | 11 (2.5\%) | 14 (5.1\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| You made inappropriate or offensive comments about another student's body or someone else's body, appearance, or sexual activities? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 647 (88.1\%) | 400 (90.9\%) | 229 (83.0\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| 1 time | 26 (3.5\%) | 13 (3.0\%) | 13 (4.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 times | 14 (1.9\%) | 7 (1.6\%) | 7 (2.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 11 (1.5\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 9 (3.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 3 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 3 (1.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 11 (1.5\%) | 5 (1.1\%) | 6 (2.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 22 (3.0\%) | 13 (3.0\%) | 9 (3.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| You emailed, texted, tweeted, phoned, or instant messaged offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos to another student that they probably did not want? |  |  |  |  |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 4a. Perpetration

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 times | 711 (97.0\%) | 427 (97.0\%) | 266 (96.7\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| 1 time | 6 (0.8\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 4 (1.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 times | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 2 (0.3\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 2 (0.3\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 12 (1.6\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 4 (1.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times did you do any of the following toward another UNM student? |  |  |  |  |
| You harassed, insulted, threatened, or intimidated another student because you thought they might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 716 (97.5\%) | 427 (97.0\%) | 271 (98.2\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| 1 time | 2 (0.3\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 times | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 2 (0.3\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 1 (0.1\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 13 (1.8\%) | 9 (2.0\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| You physically hurt another student (including forcing sex) because you thought they might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 717 (97.7\%) | 428 (97.3\%) | 271 (98.2\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| 1 time | 1 (0.1\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
${ }^{* * *}$ Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\mid$ McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $|2017| T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 4a. Perpetration

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 times | 1 (0.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 1 (0.1\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 14 (1.9\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Sexual Harassment Perpetration Since Fall 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| No | 624 (85.0\%) | 393 (89.3\%) | 213 (77.2\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| Yes*** | 110 (15.0\%) | 47 (10.7\%) | 63 (22.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| What was your relationship to the person(s) you did these things with?*: |  |  |  |  |
| At the time, it was someone I was involved or intimate with | 9 (8.2\%) | 5 (10.6\%) | 4 (6.3\%) |  |
| Someone I had been involved or was intimate with | 9 (8.2\%) | 6 (12.8\%) | 3 (4.8\%) | - |
| Teacher or advisor | 1 (0.9\%) | 1 (2.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |  |
| Co-worker, boss, or supervisor | 4 (3.6\%) | 1 (2.1\%) | 3 (4.8\%) |  |
| Friend | 67 (60.9\%) | 22 (46.8\%) | 45 (71.4\%) | - |
| Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty | 12 (10.9\%) | 8 (17.0\%) | 4 (6.3\%) | - |
| Stranger | 21 (19.1\%) | 10 (21.3\%) | 11 (17.5\%) | - |
| Other | 3 (2.7\%) | 2 (4.3\%) | 1 (1.6\%) | - |
| Don't Know | 11 (10.0\%) | 4 (8.5\%) | 7 (11.1\%) | - |
| Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*: |  |  |  |  |
| On UNM property | 32 (29.1\%) | 11 (23.4\%) | 21 (33.3\%) | - |
| In your dorm or apartment | 42 (38.2\%) | 22 (46.8\%) | 20 (31.7\%) | - |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 4a. Perpetration

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At a party or social event | 32 (29.1\%) | 9 (19.1\%) | 23 (36.5\%) | - |
| In a public place | 33 (30.0\%) | 13 (27.7\%) | 20 (31.7\%) | - |
| Other | 8 (7.3\%) | 1 (2.1\%) | 7 (11.1\%) | - |
| Don't Know | 21 (19.1\%) | 8 (17.0\%) | 13 (20.6\%) | - |
| Do you think this could have interfered with their academic or professional performance? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 89 (81.7\%) | 35 (76.1\%) | 54 (85.7\%) | - |
| Yes | 11 (10.1\%) | 5 (10.9\%) | 6 (9.5\%) | - |
| Don't Know | 9 (8.3\%) | 6 (13.0\%) | 3 (4.8\%) | - |
| Do you think this could have limited their ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 96 (88.1\%) | 37 (80.4\%) | 59 (93.7\%) | - |
| Yes | 8 (7.3\%) | 5 (10.9\%) | 3 (4.8\%) | - |
| Don't Know | 5 (4.6\%) | 4 (8.7\%) | 1 (1.6\%) | - |
| Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for student(s)? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 79 (72.5\%) | 28 (60.9\%) | 51 (81.0\%) | - |
| Yes | 17 (15.6\%) | 9 (19.6\%) | 8 (12.7\%) | - |
| Don't Know | 13 (11.9\%) | 9 (19.6\%) | 4 (6.3\%) | - |
| Because of these behaviors, have you*: |  |  |  |  |
| Tried hard not to think about it? | 12 (10.9\%) | 4 (8.5\%) | 8 (12.7\%) | - |
| Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings? | 9 (8.2\%) | 5 (10.6\%) | 4 (6.3\%) | - |
| Talked with a friend or family member? | 17 (15.5\%) | 9 (19.1\%) | 8 (12.7\%) | - |
| Talked with a resident advisor? | 3 (2.7\%) | 2 (4.3\%) | 1 (1.6\%) | - |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\backslash$ McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T$ _UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 4a. Perpetration

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others (N=18) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider? | 4 (3.6\%) | 2 (4.3\%) | 2 (3.2\%) | - |
| Not felt any different? | 65 (59.1\%) | 23 (48.9\%) | 42 (66.7\%) | - |
| Thought about leaving UNM? | 4 (3.6\%) | 2 (4.3\%) | 2 (3.2\%) | - |
| Had misconduct charges or disciplinary actions against you by UNM? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Had other legal actions taken against you due to your actions? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)**, n | 732 | 439 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.8 (3.4) | 0.5 (3.14) | 1.4 (3.81) | 0 (0) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 55 | 0,55 | 0, 22 | 0, 0 |
| Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)*****, n | 110 | 47 | 63 | 0 |
| Mean (SD) | 5.4 (7.24) | 4.5 (8.68) | 6.1 (5.94) | - |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 2, 11 | 1, 2, 3 | 2, 3, 11 | - |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,55 | 1,55 | 1,22 | - |
| Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM: |  |  |  |  |
| Did you physically force or make threats of physical force against another student to have sex? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 735 (100.0\%) | 440 (100.0\%) | 277 (100.0\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| Yes | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did you use physical force or threats of physical force in an UNSUCCESSFUL attempt to make another student have sex? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 734 (99.9\%) | 439 (99.8\%) | 277 (100.0\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| Yes | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\backslash$ McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T$ _UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 4a. Perpetration

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others (N=18) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 1 (0.1\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM: |  |  |  |  |
| Did you do either of the following with another student who was unable to consent or stop what was happening because they were passed out, asleep or incapacitated due to drugs or alcohol? <br> -Sexual penetration or Oral sex |  |  |  |  |
| No | 733 (99.7\%) | 440 (100.0\%) | 275 (99.3\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| Yes | 2 (0.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did YOU have sex with another student involving penetration or oral sex by threatening serious non-physical harm or promising rewards such that they felt they must comply? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 733 (99.9\%) | 440 (100.0\%) | 275 (99.6\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| Yes | 1 (0.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did you have sex with another student involving penetration or oral sex without their active, ongoing voluntary agreement? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 729 (99.2\%) | 436 (99.1\%) | 275 (99.3\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| Yes | 3 (0.4\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 3 (0.4\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Sexual Violence Perpetration Since Fall 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| No | 729 (99.2\%) | 439 (99.8\%) | 272 (98.2\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| Yes*** | 6 (0.8\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| What was your relationship to the person(s) you did these things with?*: |  |  |  |  |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\mid$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\mid$ McBee $\mid$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $|2017| T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 4a. Perpetration

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At the time, it was someone I was involved or intimate with | 4 (66.7\%) | 1 (100.0\%) | 3 (60.0\%) | - |
| Someone I had been involved or was intimate with | 2 (33.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 2 (40.0\%) | - |
| Teacher or advisor | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Co-worker, boss, or supervisor | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Friend | 3 (50.0\%) | 1 (100.0\%) | 2 (40.0\%) | - |
| Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Stranger | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Other | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Don't Know | 1 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (20.0\%) | - |
| Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*: |  |  |  |  |
| On UNM property | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| In your dorm or apartment | 4 (66.7\%) | 1 (100.0\%) | 3 (60.0\%) | - |
| At a party or social event | 1 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (20.0\%) | - |
| In a public place | 1 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (20.0\%) | - |
| Other | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Don't Know | 1 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (20.0\%) | - |
| Do you think this could have interfered with their academic or professional performance? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 3 (50.0\%) | 1 (100.0\%) | 2 (40.0\%) | - |
| Yes | 1 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (20.0\%) | - |
| Don't Know | 2 (33.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 2 (40.0\%) | - |
| Do you think this could have limited their ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM? |  |  |  |  |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\backslash$ McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T$ _UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 4a. Perpetration

|  | All Subjects ( $\mathrm{N}=735$ ) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | 5 (83.3\%) | 1 (100.0\%) | 4 (80.0\%) | - |
| Yes | 1 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (20.0\%) | - |
| Don't Know | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for the student(s)? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 5 (83.3\%) | 1 (100.0\%) | 4 (80.0\%) | - |
| Yes | 1 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (20.0\%) | - |
| Don't Know | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Because of these behaviors, have you*: |  |  |  |  |
| Tried hard not to think about it? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Talked with a friend or family member? | 1 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (20.0\%) | - |
| Talked with a resident advisor? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Not felt any different? | 3 (50.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 3 (60.0\%) | - |
| Thought about leaving UNM? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Had misconduct charges or disciplinary actions against you by UNM? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Had other legal actions taken against you due to your actions? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Since Fall 2016, have you* |  |  |  |  |
| Put a drug into someone's food or beverage without their knowledge? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Put alcohol into someone's nonalcoholic drink without their knowledge? | 1 (0.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Misled someone about the amount of alcohol in their alcoholic drink such that the drink had more alcohol than they might have thought? | 15 (2.0\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 11 (4.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\backslash$ McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T$ _UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 4a. Perpetration

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Put a different drug in a joint, blunt, or vaporizing device, without someone's knowledge, that someone smoked? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Given someone drugs or alcohol, without their knowledge, so that it would be easier to have sex with them? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Since Fall 2016 while you have been attending UNM, have you threatened to or actually physically harmed a dating or intimate partner, someone they loved or yourself? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 718 (97.7\%) | 426 (96.8\%) | 274 (98.9\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| Yes | 8 (1.1\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 9 (1.2\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health|McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 5a. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I am able to recognize a situation that might become violent. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 13 (1.8\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Disagree | 10 (1.4\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Neutral | 55 (7.5\%) | 32 (7.3\%) | 21 (7.6\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Agree | 390 (53.1\%) | 234 (53.2\%) | 143 (51.8\%) | 13 (72.2\%) |
| Strongly Agree | 266 (36.2\%) | 156 (35.5\%) | 107 (38.8\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| I can make a difference in reducing dating violence or sexual violence at UNM. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 23 (3.1\%) | 11 (2.5\%) | 12 (4.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Disagree | 73 (10.0\%) | 44 (10.0\%) | 26 (9.4\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Neutral | 247 (33.7\%) | 156 (35.5\%) | 86 (31.2\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Agree | 283 (38.6\%) | 170 (38.7\%) | 106 (38.4\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| Strongly Agree | 107 (14.6\%) | 58 (13.2\%) | 46 (16.7\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| I have the skills to help prevent dating violence or sexual violence at UNM. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 29 (4.0\%) | 15 (3.4\%) | 13 (4.7\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Disagree | 93 (12.7\%) | 60 (13.7\%) | 30 (10.9\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Neutral | 251 (34.2\%) | 168 (38.3\%) | 78 (28.3\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Agree | 263 (35.9\%) | 152 (34.6\%) | 107 (38.8\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Strongly Agree | 97 (13.2\%) | 44 (10.0\%) | 48 (17.4\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| I am able to help if I see a situation where someone might be taken advantage of sexually. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 8 (1.1\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 3 (1.1\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Disagree | 25 (3.4\%) | 13 (3.0\%) | 11 (4.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Neutral | 111 (15.1\%) | 74 (16.8\%) | 35 (12.7\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Agree | 381 (52.0\%) | 238 (54.1\%) | 133 (48.4\%) | 10 (55.6\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\mid$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\mid$ McBee $\mid$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 5a. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strongly Agree | 208 (28.4\%) | 111 (25.2\%) | 93 (33.8\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| I have personally been affected by dating violence or sexual violence, because it happened to me or someone I know. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 220 (30.0\%) | 113 (25.7\%) | 106 (38.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Disagree | 154 (21.0\%) | 94 (21.4\%) | 60 (21.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Neutral | 87 (11.9\%) | 43 (9.8\%) | 40 (14.5\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Agree | 152 (20.7\%) | 108 (24.6\%) | 40 (14.5\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Strongly Agree | 120 (16.4\%) | 81 (18.5\%) | 30 (10.9\%) | 9 (50.0\%) |
| I often attend events/parties with other students. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 227 (30.9\%) | 145 (33.0\%) | 78 (28.3\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Disagree | 142 (19.3\%) | 95 (21.6\%) | 43 (15.6\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Neutral | 140 (19.1\%) | 82 (18.6\%) | 54 (19.6\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Agree | 136 (18.5\%) | 84 (19.1\%) | 50 (18.1\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Strongly Agree | 89 (12.1\%) | 34 (7.7\%) | 51 (18.5\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Bystander efficacy (4-20)**, n | 732 | 439 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 15.2 (2.66) | 15 (2.57) | 15.4 (2.81) | 14.9 (2.34) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 14, 15, 17 | 14, 15, 16 | 14, 16, 17 | 14, 15, 16 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 4,20 | 4,20 | 4,20 | 10, 19 |
| Has a friend or acquaintance talked with you about the importance of reducing sexual or dating violence? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 407 (55.4\%) | 226 (51.4\%) | 176 (63.8\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| 1 time | 100 (13.6\%) | 69 (15.7\%) | 27 (9.8\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| 2 times | 80 (10.9\%) | 59 (13.4\%) | 20 (7.2\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 3-5 times | 73 (9.9\%) | 42 (9.5\%) | 28 (10.1\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 6-10 times | 18 (2.5\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 7 (2.5\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST|Requests|Womens Health|McBee|Programs|UNM|2017|T_UNM_GENDER.sas

## Table 5a. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| More than 10 times | 21 (2.9\%) | 15 (3.4\%) | 3 (1.1\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 35 (4.8\%) | 19 (4.3\%) | 15 (5.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Have you and your friends talked about activities or things you could do that might help prevent sexual or dating violence at your university or in your community? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 474 (64.7\%) | 268 (61.0\%) | 199 (72.1\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| 1 time | 82 (11.2\%) | 55 (12.5\%) | 23 (8.3\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| 2 times | 74 (10.1\%) | 48 (10.9\%) | 25 (9.1\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 3-5 times | 58 (7.9\%) | 40 (9.1\%) | 15 (5.4\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 6-10 times | 13 (1.8\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| More than 10 times | 14 (1.9\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 18 (2.5\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Have you talked with your friends about what you can do to keep yourself or others safe from sexual or dating violence? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 360 (49.2\%) | 182 (41.6\%) | 173 (62.9\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| 1 time | 111 (15.2\%) | 78 (17.8\%) | 30 (10.9\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 2 times | 90 (12.3\%) | 60 (13.7\%) | 28 (10.2\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 3-5 times | 98 (13.4\%) | 67 (15.3\%) | 27 (9.8\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| 6-10 times | 24 (3.3\%) | 15 (3.4\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| More than 10 times | 32 (4.4\%) | 25 (5.7\%) | 4 (1.5\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 16 (2.2\%) | 11 (2.5\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Have you discussed the possible dangers of drinking too much with friends? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 285 (38.8\%) | 164 (37.3\%) | 116 (42.0\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| 1 time | 107 (14.6\%) | 76 (17.3\%) | 29 (10.5\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 2 times | 124 (16.9\%) | 76 (17.3\%) | 45 (16.3\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\backslash$ McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T$ _UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 5a. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3-5 times | 122 (16.6\%) | 75 (17.0\%) | 44 (15.9\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 6-10 times | 39 (5.3\%) | 21 (4.8\%) | 16 (5.8\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| More than 10 times | 44 (6.0\%) | 23 (5.2\%) | 18 (6.5\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 13 (1.8\%) | 5 (1.1\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Have you told someone you were concerned about their drinking? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 434 (59.1\%) | 266 (60.5\%) | 160 (58.0\%) | 8 (44.4\%) |
| 1 time | 126 (17.2\%) | 69 (15.7\%) | 51 (18.5\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| 2 times | 81 (11.0\%) | 48 (10.9\%) | 30 (10.9\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 3-5 times | 35 (4.8\%) | 23 (5.2\%) | 12 (4.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 17 (2.3\%) | 9 (2.0\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 17 (2.3\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 6 (2.2\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 24 (3.3\%) | 15 (3.4\%) | 9 (3.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Have you told someone that getting drunk puts them at risk for being a victim of violence? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 521 (71.1\%) | 313 (71.1\%) | 197 (71.6\%) | 11 (61.1\%) |
| 1 time | 82 (11.2\%) | 48 (10.9\%) | 31 (11.3\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 2 times | 52 (7.1\%) | 31 (7.0\%) | 19 (6.9\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 3-5 times | 40 (5.5\%) | 25 (5.7\%) | 14 (5.1\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 6-10 times | 7 (1.0\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 11 (1.5\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 4 (1.5\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 20 (2.7\%) | 11 (2.5\%) | 9 (3.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Have you expressed your concern when someone was talking about how they got so wasted? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 427 (58.3\%) | 253 (57.6\%) | 165 (59.8\%) | 9 (50.0\%) |
| 1 time | 109 (14.9\%) | 69 (15.7\%) | 35 (12.7\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 5a. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 times | 88 (12.0\%) | 50 (11.4\%) | 36 (13.0\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 3-5 times | 55 (7.5\%) | 35 (8.0\%) | 20 (7.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 12 (1.6\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| More than 10 times | 18 (2.5\%) | 9 (2.1\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 24 (3.3\%) | 17 (3.9\%) | 7 (2.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (Total) (0-77)**, n | 728 | 436 | 274 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 7.9 (10.95) | 8.3 (11.08) | 6.8 (9.79) | 15.9 (19) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 4, 11 | 1, 5, 10.5 | 0, 3, 10 | 3, 9, 22 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,77 | 0,77 | 0,69 | 1,77 |
| Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (SV) (0-33)**, n | 730 | 437 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 3.4 (5.65) | 3.9 (6) | 2.4 (4.3) | 8.6 (9.79) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 1, 5 | 0, 2, 5 | 0, 0, 3 | 2, 3.5, 12 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,33 | 0, 33 | 0,28 | 0, 33 |
| Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (ALL) (0-44)**, n | 732 | 439 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 4.5 (6.84) | 4.4 (6.68) | 4.5 (6.81) | 7.3 (10.36) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 2, 6 | 0, 2, 6 | 0, 2, 6 | 1, 3.5, 10 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,44 | 0, 44 | 0, 44 | 0, 44 |

Table 6a. Bystanding Behaviors

|  | All Subjects ( $\mathrm{N}=735$ ) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you seen someone so drunk or high that you worried they would be taken advantage of? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 552 (75.3\%) | 332 (75.6\%) | 209 (75.7\%) | 11 (61.1\%) |
| Yes | 181 (24.7\%) | 107 (24.4\%) | 67 (24.3\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| Bystanding Behavior* |  |  |  |  |
| Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious | 14 (7.7\%) | 7 (6.5\%) | 7 (10.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me | 6 (3.3\%) | 4 (3.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 2 (28.6\%) |
| Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do | 28 (15.5\%) | 21 (19.6\%) | 6 (9.0\%) | 1 (14.3\%) |
| Did nothing for another reason | 22 (12.2\%) | 15 (14.0\%) | 7 (10.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Asked a friend or someone else for help | 66 (36.5\%) | 38 (35.5\%) | 25 (37.3\%) | 3 (42.9\%) |
| Created a distraction to try and help | 25 (13.8\%) | 11 (10.3\%) | 13 (19.4\%) | 1 (14.3\%) |
| Confronted the person | 45 (24.9\%) | 26 (24.3\%) | 17 (25.4\%) | 2 (28.6\%) |
| Called UNM police or other authority | 4 (2.2\%) | 2 (1.9\%) | 2 (3.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Took action in another way | 41 (22.7\%) | 26 (24.3\%) | 15 (22.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you seen or otherwise suspected that someone slipped drugs or extra alcohol into another's drink? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 713 (97.1\%) | 429 (97.5\%) | 267 (96.7\%) | 17 (94.4\%) |
| Yes | 21 (2.9\%) | 11 (2.5\%) | 9 (3.3\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Bystanding Behavior* |  |  |  |  |
| Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me | 1 (4.8\%) | 1 (9.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do | 2 (9.5\%) | 2 (18.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did nothing for another reason | 3 (14.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 2 (22.2\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Asked a friend or someone else for help | 7 (33.3\%) | 4 (36.4\%) | 3 (33.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive, percentages calculated only out of those reporting seeing the above situation.
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Table 6a. Bystanding Behaviors

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All Subjects } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=735) \end{aligned}$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Created a distraction to try and help | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Confronted the person | 6 (28.6\%) | 3 (27.3\%) | 3 (33.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Called UNM police or other authority | 2 (9.5\%) | 1 (9.1\%) | 1 (11.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Took action in another way | 6 (28.6\%) | 4 (36.4\%) | 2 (22.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you heard someone bragging, joking, or giving excuses for making someone have sex with them? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 682 (93.0\%) | 409 (93.0\%) | 259 (94.2\%) | 14 (77.8\%) |
| Yes | 51 (7.0\%) | 31 (7.0\%) | 16 (5.8\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Bystanding Behavior* |  |  |  |  |
| Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious | 6 (11.8\%) | 3 (9.7\%) | 3 (18.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me | 6 (11.8\%) | 4 (12.9\%) | 1 (6.3\%) | 1 (25.0\%) |
| Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do | 9 (17.6\%) | 7 (22.6\%) | 2 (12.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did nothing for another reason | 9 (17.6\%) | 3 (9.7\%) | 6 (37.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Asked a friend or someone else for help | 3 (5.9\%) | 1 (3.2\%) | 1 (6.3\%) | 1 (25.0\%) |
| Created a distraction to try and help | 2 (3.9\%) | 1 (3.2\%) | 1 (6.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Confronted the person | 19 (37.3\%) | 14 (45.2\%) | 2 (12.5\%) | 3 (75.0\%) |
| Called UNM police or other authority | 2 (3.9\%) | 1 (3.2\%) | 1 (6.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Took action in another way | 2 (3.9\%) | 1 (3.2\%) | 1 (6.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you seen or heard someone sexually harass (verbally, over social media, or in person) someone else? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 602 (82.0\%) | 345 (78.4\%) | 246 (89.1\%) | 11 (61.1\%) |
| Yes | 132 (18.0\%) | 95 (21.6\%) | 30 (10.9\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| Bystanding Behavior* |  |  |  |  |
| Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious | 16 (12.1\%) | 8 (8.4\%) | 7 (23.3\%) | 1 (14.3\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive, percentages calculated only out of those reporting seeing the above situation.
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Table 6a. Bystanding Behaviors

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me | 17 (12.9\%) | 14 (14.7\%) | 2 (6.7\%) | 1 (14.3\%) |
| Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do | 33 (25.0\%) | 29 (30.5\%) | 4 (13.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did nothing for another reason | 19 (14.4\%) | 14 (14.7\%) | 5 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Asked a friend or someone else for help | 9 (6.8\%) | 4 (4.2\%) | 4 (13.3\%) | 1 (14.3\%) |
| Created a distraction to try and help | 7 (5.3\%) | 5 (5.3\%) | 2 (6.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Confronted the person | 47 (35.6\%) | 34 (35.8\%) | 9 (30.0\%) | 4 (57.1\%) |
| Called UNM police or other authority | 2 (1.5\%) | 2 (2.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Took action in another way | 12 (9.1\%) | 9 (9.5\%) | 3 (10.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you become aware of another potentially dangerous situation? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 650 (88.8\%) | 383 (87.0\%) | 250 (91.2\%) | 17 (94.4\%) |
| Yes | 82 (11.2\%) | 57 (13.0\%) | 24 (8.8\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Bystanding Behavior* |  |  |  |  |
| Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious | 3 (3.7\%) | 1 (1.8\%) | 2 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me | 12 (14.6\%) | 10 (17.5\%) | 2 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do | 16 (19.5\%) | 13 (22.8\%) | 3 (12.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Did nothing for another reason | 10 (12.2\%) | 8 (14.0\%) | 2 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Asked a friend or someone else for help | 12 (14.6\%) | 9 (15.8\%) | 2 (8.3\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Created a distraction to try and help | 2 (2.4\%) | 2 (3.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Confronted the person | 15 (18.3\%) | 10 (17.5\%) | 5 (20.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Called UNM police or other authority | 14 (17.1\%) | 9 (15.8\%) | 5 (20.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Took action in another way | 19 (23.2\%) | 12 (21.1\%) | 7 (29.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive, percentages calculated only out of those reporting seeing the above situation.
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Table 7a. Sexual Consent

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Both people should make sure that the other person clearly agrees to have sex. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 3 (0.4\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Disagree | 2 (0.3\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Neutral | 13 (1.8\%) | 5 (1.1\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Agree | 73 (10.0\%) | 29 (6.6\%) | 44 (15.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Strongly Agree | 642 (87.6\%) | 402 (91.6\%) | 222 (80.4\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| Even if some sexual activity has started, either person has the right to change their mind and stop. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 5 (0.7\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Disagree | 2 (0.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Neutral | 13 (1.8\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 7 (2.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Agree | 95 (13.0\%) | 36 (8.2\%) | 59 (21.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Strongly Agree | 616 (84.3\%) | 394 (89.7\%) | 204 (74.5\%) | 18 (100.0\%) |
| If someone agrees to have one type of sex it is OK to assume they have agreed to other forms of sex. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 465 (63.4\%) | 314 (71.4\%) | 135 (48.9\%) | 16 (88.9\%) |
| Disagree | 191 (26.0\%) | 94 (21.4\%) | 95 (34.4\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Neutral | 49 (6.7\%) | 21 (4.8\%) | 28 (10.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Agree | 16 (2.2\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 13 (4.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Strongly Agree | 13 (1.8\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| If you have had sex with someone in the past, you can assume that they have agreed to have sex with you now. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 503 (68.7\%) | 333 (75.9\%) | 153 (55.6\%) | 17 (94.4\%) |
| Disagree | 158 (21.6\%) | 76 (17.3\%) | 81 (29.5\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Neutral | 44 (6.0\%) | 19 (4.3\%) | 25 (9.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 7a. Sexual Consent

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Agree | 10 (1.4\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 7 (2.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Strongly Agree | 17 (2.3\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 9 (3.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| If someone seems interested in you sexually but then becomes so drunk or high that they can't talk to you, it is not okay to have sex with them. |  |  |  |  |
| Strongly Disagree | 53 (7.2\%) | 29 (6.6\%) | 23 (8.3\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Disagree | 22 (3.0\%) | 9 (2.1\%) | 13 (4.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Neutral | 28 (3.8\%) | 11 (2.5\%) | 17 (6.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Agree | 92 (12.6\%) | 49 (11.2\%) | 43 (15.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Strongly Agree | 538 (73.4\%) | 341 (77.7\%) | 180 (65.2\%) | 17 (94.4\%) |
| Sexual Consent Score (5-25)**, n | 727 | 436 | 273 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 23.1 (2.83) | 23.5 (2.6) | 22.2 (3.06) | 24.6 (1.04) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 21, 25, 25 | 23, 25, 25 | 20, 23, 25 | 25, 25, 25 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 5, 25 | 5, 25 | 5, 25 | 21, 25 |

Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=440 \text { ) }$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student OR someone employed by or associated with UNM: |  |  |  |  |
| Made sexual remarks or told jokes or stories that were insulting or offensive? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 547 (74.6\%) | 301 (68.6\%) | 239 (86.6\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| 1 time | 60 (8.2\%) | 47 (10.7\%) | 10 (3.6\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 2 times | 49 (6.7\%) | 36 (8.2\%) | 11 (4.0\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 3-5 times | 35 (4.8\%) | 28 (6.4\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 6-10 times | 6 (0.8\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| More than 10 times | 12 (1.6\%) | 7 (1.6\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 24 (3.3\%) | 16 (3.6\%) | 6 (2.2\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Made inappropriate or offensive comments about your or someone else's body, appearance, or sexual activities? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 564 (77.2\%) | 318 (72.8\%) | 237 (85.9\%) | 9 (50.0\%) |
| 1 time | 53 (7.3\%) | 35 (8.0\%) | 16 (5.8\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 2 times | 46 (6.3\%) | 34 (7.8\%) | 10 (3.6\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| 3-5 times | 28 (3.8\%) | 18 (4.1\%) | 6 (2.2\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| 6-10 times | 9 (1.2\%) | 7 (1.6\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 13 (1.8\%) | 12 (2.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 18 (2.5\%) | 13 (3.0\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Emailed, texted, tweeted, phoned, or instant messaged offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos to you that you did not want? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 643 (88.0\%) | 372 (84.9\%) | 257 (93.5\%) | 14 (77.8\%) |
| 1 time | 30 (4.1\%) | 21 (4.8\%) | 7 (2.5\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 times | 16 (2.2\%) | 14 (3.2\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 11 (1.5\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 6-10 times | 2 (0.3\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 6 (0.8\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 23 (3.1\%) | 18 (4.1\%) | 4 (1.5\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student OR someone employed by or associated with UNM: |  |  |  |  |
| Harassed, insulted, threatened, or intimidated you because they thought you might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 671 (91.5\%) | 403 (91.8\%) | 257 (93.1\%) | 11 (61.1\%) |
| 1 time | 15 (2.0\%) | 9 (2.1\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 2 times | 13 (1.8\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 3-5 times | 11 (1.5\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| 6-10 times | 4 (0.5\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| More than 10 times | 3 (0.4\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 16 (2.2\%) | 9 (2.1\%) | 6 (2.2\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Physically hurt you (including forced sex) because they thought you might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 711 (97.3\%) | 429 (98.2\%) | 266 (96.4\%) | 16 (88.9\%) |
| 1 time | 4 (0.5\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 2 times | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 3 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 3 (1.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 1 (0.1\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\mid$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\mid$ McBee $\mid$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $|2017| T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| More than 10 times | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 12 (1.6\%) | 5 (1.1\%) | 6 (2.2\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Sexual Harassment Victim Since Fall 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| No | 518 (70.7\%) | 290 (66.1\%) | 221 (80.1\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| Yes*** | 215 (29.3\%) | 149 (33.9\%) | 55 (19.9\%) | 11 (61.1\%) |
| What was your relationship to the person responsible for any of these behaviors?*: |  |  |  |  |
| Teacher or advisor | 15 (7.0\%) | 10 (6.7\%) | 3 (5.5\%) | 2 (18.2\%) |
| Co-worker, boss, or supervisor | 11 (5.1\%) | 9 (6.0\%) | 1 (1.8\%) | 1 (9.1\%) |
| Another student | 174 (80.9\%) | 125 (83.9\%) | 40 (72.7\%) | 9 (81.8\%) |
| Someone affiliated with UNM | 6 (2.8\%) | 5 (3.4\%) | 1 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 40 (18.6\%) | 26 (17.4\%) | 12 (21.8\%) | 2 (18.2\%) |
| Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*: |  |  |  |  |
| On UNM property | 125 (58.1\%) | 94 (63.1\%) | 24 (43.6\%) | 7 (63.6\%) |
| In your dorm or apartment | 40 (18.6\%) | 27 (18.1\%) | 9 (16.4\%) | 4 (36.4\%) |
| At a party or social event | 54 (25.1\%) | 40 (26.8\%) | 11 (20.0\%) | 3 (27.3\%) |
| In a public place | 72 (33.5\%) | 51 (34.2\%) | 16 (29.1\%) | 5 (45.5\%) |
| Other | 18 (8.4\%) | 11 (7.4\%) | 6 (10.9\%) | 1 (9.1\%) |
| Don't Know | 18 (8.4\%) | 10 (6.7\%) | 8 (14.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Do you think that this event interfered with your academic or professional performance? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 135 (62.8\%) | 88 (59.1\%) | 44 (80.0\%) | 3 (27.3\%) |
| Yes | 59 (27.4\%) | 46 (30.9\%) | 6 (10.9\%) | 7 (63.6\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $|M c B e e|$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Don't Know | 21 (9.8\%) | 15 (10.1\%) | 5 (9.1\%) | 1 (9.1\%) |
| Do you think that this event limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 146 (67.9\%) | 93 (62.4\%) | 51 (92.7\%) | 2 (18.2\%) |
| Yes | 52 (24.2\%) | 41 (27.5\%) | 2 (3.6\%) | 9 (81.8\%) |
| Don't Know | 17 (7.9\%) | 15 (10.1\%) | 2 (3.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Do you think that this event created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 57 (26.6\%) | 25 (16.8\%) | 32 (59.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes | 143 (66.8\%) | 115 (77.2\%) | 17 (31.5\%) | 11 (100.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 14 (6.5\%) | 9 (6.0\%) | 5 (9.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Because of these behaviors, have you*: |  |  |  |  |
| Tried hard not to think about it? | 108 (50.2\%) | 82 (55.0\%) | 17 (30.9\%) | 9 (81.8\%) |
| Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings? | 55 (25.6\%) | 34 (22.8\%) | 12 (21.8\%) | 9 (81.8\%) |
| Talked with a friend or family member? | 104 (48.4\%) | 86 (57.7\%) | 12 (21.8\%) | 6 (54.5\%) |
| Talked with a resident advisor? | 7 (3.3\%) | 6 (4.0\%) | 1 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider? | 23 (10.7\%) | 19 (12.8\%) | 1 (1.8\%) | 3 (27.3\%) |
| Called a hotline or got online information? | 4 (1.9\%) | 3 (2.0\%) | 1 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Contacted police or other authorities? | 7 (3.3\%) | 6 (4.0\%) | 1 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Made a formal report or pressed charges against the person responsible? | 7 (3.3\%) | 6 (4.0\%) | 1 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Missed classes or work? | 43 (20.0\%) | 35 (23.5\%) | 2 (3.6\%) | 6 (54.5\%) |
| Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams? | 29 (13.5\%) | 22 (14.8\%) | 2 (3.6\%) | 5 (45.5\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\mid$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\mid$ McBee $\mid$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $|2017| T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 8a. Victimization

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All Subjects } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=735) \end{aligned}$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not felt any different? | 75 (34.9\%) | 40 (26.8\%) | 33 (60.0\%) | 2 (18.2\%) |
| Gotten worse grades? | 25 (11.6\%) | 18 (12.1\%) | 2 (3.6\%) | 5 (45.5\%) |
| Thought about leaving UNM? | 37 (17.2\%) | 25 (16.8\%) | 5 (9.1\%) | 7 (63.6\%) |
| Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)**, n | 727 | 434 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 1.6 (4.43) | 1.9 (4.76) | 0.9 (3.49) | 4.6 (6.6) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 1 | 0, 0, 2 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 1.5, 8 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 44 | 0, 44 | 0, 37 | 0, 25 |
| Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)*****, n | 213 | 147 | 55 | 11 |
| Mean (SD) | 5.5 (6.76) | 5.6 (6.8) | 4.7 (6.62) | 7.5 (7.05) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 3, 6 | 2, 3, 6 | 1, 2, 5 | 2, 8, 11 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,44 | 1,44 | 1,37 | 1,25 |
| Since Fall 2016 while you were a student at UNM has someone used physical force or threats of physical force to make you do the following: - Sexual penetration or Oral sex |  |  |  |  |
| No | 701 (95.5\%) | 413 (93.9\%) | 271 (98.2\%) | 17 (94.4\%) |
| Yes | 17 (2.3\%) | 13 (3.0\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 16 (2.2\%) | 14 (3.2\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Since Fall 2016 while you were a student at UNM has someone used physical force or threats of physical force in an UNSUCCESSFUL attempt to make you do the following: - Sexual penetration or Oral sex |  |  |  |  |
| No | 692 (94.3\%) | 405 (92.0\%) | 271 (98.2\%) | 16 (88.9\%) |
| Yes | 31 (4.2\%) | 25 (5.7\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 11 (1.5\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\backslash$ McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T$ _UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Since Fall 2016 while you were a student at UNM have either sexual penetration or oral sex happened to you while you were unable to consent or stop what was happening because you were passed out, asleep or incapacitated due to drugs or alcohol? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 691 (94.1\%) | 406 (92.3\%) | 269 (97.5\%) | 16 (88.9\%) |
| Yes | 22 (3.0\%) | 17 (3.9\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 21 (2.9\%) | 17 (3.9\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Since Fall 2016 while you have been attending UNM, has someone had contact with you involving penetration or oral sex by threatening serious non-physical harm or promising rewards such that you felt you must comply? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 716 (97.7\%) | 427 (97.0\%) | 272 (98.9\%) | 17 (94.4\%) |
| Yes | 12 (1.6\%) | 9 (2.0\%) | 3 (1.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 5 (0.7\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Since Fall 2016 while you have been attending UNM, has someone had contact with you involving penetration or oral sex without your active, ongoing voluntary agreement? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 676 (92.1\%) | 395 (89.8\%) | 266 (96.4\%) | 15 (83.3\%) |
| Yes | 39 (5.3\%) | 32 (7.3\%) | 6 (2.2\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 19 (2.6\%) | 13 (3.0\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Sexual Violence Victim Since Fall 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| No | 671 (91.4\%) | 391 (88.9\%) | 264 (95.7\%) | 16 (88.9\%) |
| Yes*** | 63 (8.6\%) | 49 (11.1\%) | 12 (4.3\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| What was your relationship to the person responsible for any of these behaviors?*: |  |  |  |  |
| Teacher or advisor | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $\backslash$ McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T$ _UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co-worker, boss, or supervisor | 1 (1.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Friend | 31 (49.2\%) | 26 (53.1\%) | 5 (41.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty | 16 (25.4\%) | 12 (24.5\%) | 3 (25.0\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Other | 12 (19.0\%) | 10 (20.4\%) | 2 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 5 (7.9\%) | 3 (6.1\%) | 1 (8.3\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*: |  |  |  |  |
| On UNM property | 13 (20.6\%) | 6 (12.2\%) | 6 (50.0\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| In your dorm or apartment | 29 (46.0\%) | 21 (42.9\%) | 6 (50.0\%) | 2 (100.0\%) |
| At a party or social event | 20 (31.7\%) | 15 (30.6\%) | 5 (41.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| In a public place | 5 (7.9\%) | 4 (8.2\%) | 1 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Other | 10 (15.9\%) | 10 (20.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 5 (7.9\%) | 4 (8.2\%) | 1 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Do you think that this event interfered with your academic or professional performance? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 26 (41.3\%) | 20 (40.8\%) | 5 (41.7\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Yes | 27 (42.9\%) | 20 (40.8\%) | 6 (50.0\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 10 (15.9\%) | 9 (18.4\%) | 1 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Do you think that this event limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 36 (57.1\%) | 27 (55.1\%) | 9 (75.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes | 21 (33.3\%) | 16 (32.7\%) | 3 (25.0\%) | 2 (100.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 6 (9.5\%) | 6 (12.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $|M c B e e|$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you think that this event created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 17 (27.0\%) | 11 (22.4\%) | 6 (50.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes | 39 (61.9\%) | 32 (65.3\%) | 5 (41.7\%) | 2 (100.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 7 (11.1\%) | 6 (12.2\%) | 1 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Because of these behaviors, have you*: |  |  |  |  |
| Tried hard not to think about it? | 39 (61.9\%) | 30 (61.2\%) | 7 (58.3\%) | 2 (100.0\%) |
| Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings? | 27 (42.9\%) | 20 (40.8\%) | 5 (41.7\%) | 2 (100.0\%) |
| Talked with a staff or faculty associated with UNM? | 4 (6.3\%) | 3 (6.1\%) | 1 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Talked with a friend or family member? | 28 (44.4\%) | 23 (46.9\%) | 3 (25.0\%) | 2 (100.0\%) |
| Talked with a resident advisor? | 2 (3.2\%) | 2 (4.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider? | 13 (20.6\%) | 12 (24.5\%) | 1 (8.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Called a hotline or got online information? | 2 (3.2\%) | 2 (4.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Contacted police or other authorities? | 4 (6.3\%) | 4 (8.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Reported event to UNM police? | 3 (4.8\%) | 3 (6.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Missed classes or work? | 17 (27.0\%) | 14 (28.6\%) | 2 (16.7\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams? | 16 (25.4\%) | 12 (24.5\%) | 3 (25.0\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Not felt any different? | 7 (11.1\%) | 5 (10.2\%) | 2 (16.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Gotten worse grades? | 14 (22.2\%) | 11 (22.4\%) | 2 (16.7\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Thought about leaving UNM? | 12 (19.0\%) | 9 (18.4\%) | 3 (25.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Do you recall receiving UNM services?**** |  |  |  |  |
| No | 1 (25.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (100.0\%) | - |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $|M c B e e|$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 3 (75.0\%) | 3 (100.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| How satisfied were you with your interaction with UNM staff or faculty?**** |  |  |  |  |
| Not at all satisfied | 2 (50.0\%) | 1 (33.3\%) | 1 (100.0\%) | - |
| Somewhat satisfied | 1 (25.0\%) | 1 (33.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Neutral | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Satisfied | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Very satisfied | 1 (25.0\%) | 1 (33.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | - |
| Since Fall 2016, has SOMEONE*: |  |  |  |  |
| Put a drug into your food or beverage without your knowledge? | 10 (1.4\%) | 7 (1.6\%) | 3 (1.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Put alcohol into your non-alcoholic drink without your knowledge? | 6 (0.8\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Misled you about the amount of alcohol in your alcoholic drink such that the drink had more alcohol than you thought? | 38 (5.2\%) | 18 (4.1\%) | 20 (7.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Put a different drug in a joint, blunt, or vaporizing device, without your knowledge, that you smoked? | 11 (1.5\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Given you a drug or alcohol, without you knowing you were getting the drug or alcohol, so that it would be easier to have sex with you? | 7 (1.0\%) | 5 (1.1\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Drug \& Alcohol Victim Since Fall 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| No | 680 (92.5\%) | 412 (93.6\%) | 252 (91.0\%) | 16 (88.9\%) |
| Yes*** | 55 (7.5\%) | 28 (6.4\%) | 25 (9.0\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| What happened to you as a result?* |  |  |  |  |
| Blacked out | 10 (18.2\%) | 9 (32.1\%) | 1 (4.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Became physically ill | 11 (20.0\%) | 8 (28.6\%) | 3 (12.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Experienced unwanted sexual touching | 10 (18.2\%) | 8 (28.6\%) | 1 (4.0\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $|M c B e e|$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Was physically hurt (for example, were you hit, slapped, or beat up) | 5 (9.1\%) | 4 (14.3\%) | 1 (4.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Forced to have sexual intercourse | 5 (9.1\%) | 4 (14.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Had fun | 17 (30.9\%) | 4 (14.3\%) | 13 (52.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Nothing | 20 (36.4\%) | 8 (28.6\%) | 11 (44.0\%) | 1 (50.0\%) |
| Don't know what happened | 6 (10.9\%) | 6 (21.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Other | 3 (5.5\%) | 1 (3.6\%) | 2 (8.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Partnered Relationship Since Fall 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| No | 241 (32.8\%) | 123 (28.0\%) | 115 (41.7\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Yes | 493 (67.2\%) | 317 (72.0\%) | 161 (58.3\%) | 15 (83.3\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM how many times has a partner or spouse: |  |  |  |  |
| Checked up on you by following you, invading your privacy by reading private messages or listening in on calls that were NOT done in a joking or playful manner? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 419 (85.2\%) | 273 (86.4\%) | 132 (82.0\%) | 14 (93.3\%) |
| 1 time | 29 (5.9\%) | 20 (6.3\%) | 9 (5.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 times | 13 (2.6\%) | 6 (1.9\%) | 7 (4.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 22 (4.5\%) | 13 (4.1\%) | 8 (5.0\%) | 1 (6.7\%) |
| 6-10 times | 3 (0.6\%) | 2 (0.6\%) | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 11-25 times | 3 (0.6\%) | 1 (0.3\%) | 2 (1.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 26 + times | 3 (0.6\%) | 1 (0.3\%) | 2 (1.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Controlled or tried to control you? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 426 (86.8\%) | 279 (88.3\%) | 133 (83.1\%) | 14 (93.3\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 time | 25 (5.1\%) | 14 (4.4\%) | 11 (6.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 times | 13 (2.6\%) | 5 (1.6\%) | 7 (4.4\%) | 1 (6.7\%) |
| 3-5 times | 13 (2.6\%) | 9 (2.8\%) | 4 (2.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 5 (1.0\%) | 3 (0.9\%) | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 11-25 times | 2 (0.4\%) | 1 (0.3\%) | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 26 + times | 7 (1.4\%) | 5 (1.6\%) | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM how many times has a partner or spouse: |  |  |  |  |
| Threatened to physically harm you, someone you love, or themselves? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 469 (95.3\%) | 302 (95.3\%) | 153 (95.6\%) | 14 (93.3\%) |
| 1 time | 13 (2.6\%) | 8 (2.5\%) | 4 (2.5\%) | 1 (6.7\%) |
| 2 times | 4 (0.8\%) | 3 (0.9\%) | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 3 (0.6\%) | 2 (0.6\%) | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 1 (0.2\%) | 1 (0.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 11-25 times | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 26 + times | 2 (0.4\%) | 1 (0.3\%) | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Used any kind of physical force against you? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 455 (92.7\%) | 291 (92.1\%) | 150 (93.8\%) | 14 (93.3\%) |
| 1 time | 17 (3.5\%) | 12 (3.8\%) | 4 (2.5\%) | 1 (6.7\%) |
| 2 times | 11 (2.2\%) | 8 (2.5\%) | 3 (1.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 4 (0.8\%) | 3 (0.9\%) | 1 (0.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 2 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 2 (1.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $|M c B e e|$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11-25 times | 2 (0.4\%) | 2 (0.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 26 + times | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Dating Violence Victim Since Fall 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| No | 382 (77.5\%) | 247 (77.9\%) | 121 (75.2\%) | 14 (93.3\%) |
| Yes*** | 111 (22.5\%) | 70 (22.1\%) | 40 (24.8\%) | 1 (6.7\%) |
| Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*: |  |  |  |  |
| On UNM property | 17 (15.3\%) | 10 (14.3\%) | 7 (17.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| In your dorm or apartment | 66 (59.5\%) | 42 (60.0\%) | 23 (57.5\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| At a party or social event | 10 (9.0\%) | 3 (4.3\%) | 7 (17.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| In a public place | 18 (16.2\%) | 6 (8.6\%) | 11 (27.5\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Other | 15 (13.5\%) | 14 (20.0\%) | 1 (2.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 10 (9.0\%) | 6 (8.6\%) | 4 (10.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Do you think this could have interfered with your academic or professional performance? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 70 (63.1\%) | 41 (58.6\%) | 29 (72.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes | 37 (33.3\%) | 27 (38.6\%) | 9 (22.5\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 4 (3.6\%) | 2 (2.9\%) | 2 (5.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Do you think this could have limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 72 (64.9\%) | 43 (61.4\%) | 29 (72.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes | 32 (28.8\%) | 21 (30.0\%) | 10 (25.0\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 7 (6.3\%) | 6 (8.6\%) | 1 (2.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 8a. Victimization

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All Subjects } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=735) \end{aligned}$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 57 (51.4\%) | 30 (42.9\%) | 27 (67.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes | 44 (39.6\%) | 33 (47.1\%) | 10 (25.0\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 10 (9.0\%) | 7 (10.0\%) | 3 (7.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Because of these behaviors, have you*: |  |  |  |  |
| Tried hard not to think about it? | 39 (35.1\%) | 29 (41.4\%) | 9 (22.5\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings? | 33 (29.7\%) | 22 (31.4\%) | 10 (25.0\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Talked with a staff or faculty associated with UNM? | 4 (3.6\%) | 1 (1.4\%) | 3 (7.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Talked with a friend or family member? | 35 (31.5\%) | 23 (32.9\%) | 12 (30.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Talked with a resident advisor? | 1 (0.9\%) | 1 (1.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider? | 10 (9.0\%) | 7 (10.0\%) | 3 (7.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Called a hotline or got online information? | 2 (1.8\%) | 2 (2.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Contacted police or other authorities? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Reported event to UNM police? | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Missed classes or work? | 17 (15.3\%) | 11 (15.7\%) | 6 (15.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams? | 20 (18.0\%) | 11 (15.7\%) | 9 (22.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Not felt any different? | 31 (27.9\%) | 11 (15.7\%) | 20 (50.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Gotten worse grades? | 15 (13.5\%) | 10 (14.3\%) | 5 (12.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Thought about leaving UNM? | 9 (8.1\%) | 5 (7.1\%) | 3 (7.5\%) | 1 (100.0\%) |
| Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)**, n | 487 | 314 | 158 | 15 |
| Mean (SD) | 1.5 (6.58) | 1.4 (6.03) | 1.9 (7.8) | 0.5 (1.81) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | $0,0,1$ | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,84 | 0,69 | 0,84 | 0, 7 |
| Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)*****, n | 111 | 70 | 40 | 1 |
| Mean (SD) | 6.7 (12.49) | 6.3 (11.56) | 7.5 (14.23) | 7(-) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 3, 6 | 7, 7, 7 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,84 | 1,69 | 1,84 | 7, 7 |
| Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)**, n | 489 | 315 | 159 | 15 |
| Mean (SD) | 1.4 (6.05) | 1.2 (5.49) | 1.7 (7.25) | 0.4 (1.55) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 1 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,78 | 0, 58 | 0, 78 | 0,6 |
| Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)*****, n | 104 | 63 | 40 | 1 |
| Mean (SD) | 6.4 (11.87) | 6.1 (11.08) | 6.9 (13.3) | 6(-) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 2.5, 5.5 | 6, 6, 6 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,78 | 1,58 | 1,78 | 6, 6 |
| Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)**, n | 491 | 316 | 160 | 15 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.2 (0.9) | 0.2 (0.98) | 0.2 (0.77) | 0.1 (0.26) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,11 | 0, 11 | 0, 6 | 0, 1 |
| Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26) ${ }^{* * * * * \text {, } \mathrm{n}}$ | 36 | 25 | 10 | 1 |
| Mean (SD) | 2.4 (2.45) | 2.4 (2.69) | 2.5 (1.96) | 1(-) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 2, 2 | 1, 2, 2 | 1, 2, 3 | 1, 1, 1 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,11 | 1, 11 | 1, 6 | 1, 1 |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student or someone employed by or associated with UNM: |  |  |  |  |
| Made unwanted phone calls, sent emails, voice, text or instant messages, or posted messages, picture or videos on social networking sites in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 627 (85.8\%) | 368 (84.0\%) | 245 (89.1\%) | 14 (77.8\%) |
| 1 time | 20 (2.7\%) | 14 (3.2\%) | 6 (2.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 times | 4 (0.5\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 10 (1.4\%) | 8 (1.8\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 6-10 times | 1 (0.1\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 4 (0.5\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 65 (8.9\%) | 41 (9.4\%) | 21 (7.6\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Showed up somewhere or waited for you when you did not want that person to be there in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 625 (85.3\%) | 366 (83.4\%) | 246 (89.1\%) | 13 (72.2\%) |
| 1 time | 20 (2.7\%) | 17 (3.9\%) | 3 (1.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 times | 10 (1.4\%) | 7 (1.6\%) | 2 (0.7\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 3-5 times | 8 (1.1\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| 6-10 times | 4 (0.5\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 3 (0.4\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 63 (8.6\%) | 37 (8.4\%) | 23 (8.3\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Spied on, watched or followed you, either in person or using devices or software in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 638 (87.3\%) | 377 (86.1\%) | 248 (90.2\%) | 13 (72.2\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 time | 14 (1.9\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 4 (1.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 times | 6 (0.8\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 9 (1.2\%) | 6 (1.4\%) | 3 (1.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 2 (0.3\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| More than 10 times | 4 (0.5\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 58 (7.9\%) | 36 (8.2\%) | 19 (6.9\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student or someone employed by or associated with UNM: |  |  |  |  |
| Did another frightening, threatening, or damaging behavior on purpose that made you afraid for your safety? |  |  |  |  |
| 0 times | 622 (85.1\%) | 368 (84.0\%) | 240 (87.3\%) | 14 (77.8\%) |
| 1 time | 17 (2.3\%) | 13 (3.0\%) | 4 (1.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 2 times | 14 (1.9\%) | 10 (2.3\%) | 4 (1.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 3-5 times | 7 (1.0\%) | 4 (0.9\%) | 3 (1.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| 6-10 times | 4 (0.5\%) | 3 (0.7\%) | 1 (0.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| More than 10 times | 2 (0.3\%) | 1 (0.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Yes, but not since Fall 2016 | 65 (8.9\%) | 39 (8.9\%) | 23 (8.4\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Stalking Victim Since Fall 2016 |  |  |  |  |
| No | 644 (87.7\%) | 375 (85.2\%) | 254 (92.0\%) | 15 (83.3\%) |
| Yes*** | 90 (12.3\%) | 65 (14.8\%) | 22 (8.0\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| What was your relationship to the person responsible for any of these behaviors?*: |  |  |  |  |
| Teacher or advisor | 2 (2.2\%) | 2 (3.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co-worker, boss, or supervisor | 3 (3.3\%) | 1 (1.5\%) | 2 (9.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Friend | 26 (28.9\%) | 18 (27.7\%) | 7 (31.8\%) | 1 (33.3\%) |
| Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty | 37 (41.1\%) | 30 (46.2\%) | 5 (22.7\%) | 2 (66.7\%) |
| Other | 22 (24.4\%) | 15 (23.1\%) | 7 (31.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 12 (13.3\%) | 11 (16.9\%) | 1 (4.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*: |  |  |  |  |
| On UNM property | 58 (64.4\%) | 44 (67.7\%) | 12 (54.5\%) | 2 (66.7\%) |
| In your dorm or apartment | 31 (34.4\%) | 20 (30.8\%) | 9 (40.9\%) | 2 (66.7\%) |
| At a party or social event | 10 (11.1\%) | 5 (7.7\%) | 5 (22.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| In a public place | 29 (32.2\%) | 21 (32.3\%) | 7 (31.8\%) | 1 (33.3\%) |
| Other | 6 (6.7\%) | 4 (6.2\%) | 1 (4.5\%) | 1 (33.3\%) |
| Don't Know | 5 (5.6\%) | 4 (6.2\%) | 1 (4.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Do you think this could have interfered with your academic or professional performance? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 47 (53.4\%) | 36 (56.3\%) | 11 (52.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes | 33 (37.5\%) | 22 (34.4\%) | 8 (38.1\%) | 3 (100.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 8 (9.1\%) | 6 (9.4\%) | 2 (9.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Do you think this could have limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 50 (56.8\%) | 36 (57.1\%) | 14 (63.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes | 34 (38.6\%) | 24 (38.1\%) | 7 (31.8\%) | 3 (100.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 4 (4.5\%) | 3 (4.8\%) | 1 (4.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 26 (29.2\%) | 16 (25.0\%) | 10 (45.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Yes | 60 (67.4\%) | 46 (71.9\%) | 11 (50.0\%) | 3 (100.0\%) |
| Don't Know | 3 (3.4\%) | 2 (3.1\%) | 1 (4.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Because of these behaviors, have you*: |  |  |  |  |
| Tried hard not to think about it? | 47 (52.2\%) | 37 (56.9\%) | 8 (36.4\%) | 2 (66.7\%) |
| Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings? | 26 (28.9\%) | 17 (26.2\%) | 7 (31.8\%) | 2 (66.7\%) |
| Talked with a staff or faculty associated with UNM? | 6 (6.7\%) | 4 (6.2\%) | 1 (4.5\%) | 1 (33.3\%) |
| Talked with a friend or family member? | 47 (52.2\%) | 36 (55.4\%) | 8 (36.4\%) | 3 (100.0\%) |
| Talked with a resident advisor? | 3 (3.3\%) | 2 (3.1\%) | 1 (4.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider? | 8 (8.9\%) | 6 (9.2\%) | 2 (9.1\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Called a hotline or got online information? | 1 (1.1\%) | 1 (1.5\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Contacted police or other authorities? | 5 (5.6\%) | 5 (7.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Reported event to UNM police? | 5 (5.6\%) | 5 (7.7\%) | 0 (0.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Missed classes or work? | 17 (18.9\%) | 10 (15.4\%) | 4 (18.2\%) | 3 (100.0\%) |
| Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams? | 10 (11.1\%) | 6 (9.2\%) | 1 (4.5\%) | 3 (100.0\%) |
| Not felt any different? | 16 (17.8\%) | 9 (13.8\%) | 7 (31.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Gotten worse grades? | 13 (14.4\%) | 8 (12.3\%) | 3 (13.6\%) | 2 (66.7\%) |
| Thought about leaving UNM? | 14 (15.6\%) | 9 (13.8\%) | 4 (18.2\%) | 1 (33.3\%) |
| Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)**, n | 725 | 434 | 273 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.6 (2.89) | 0.7 (3.16) | 0.4 (2.16) | 1.7 (4.97) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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## Table 8a. Victimization

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 35 | 0, 35 | 0, 23 | 0, 20 |
| Stalking Victimization Score (0-44) ${ }^{* * * * *, ~ n}$ | 90 | 65 | 22 | 3 |
| Mean (SD) | 5 (6.78) | 4.9 (6.83) | 4.5 (6.42) | 10.3 (8.74) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 2, 3 | 3, 8, 20 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,35 | 1,35 | 1,23 | 3,20 |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
${ }^{* *}$ Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
***The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.
****Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.
*****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health|McBee $\backslash$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 9a. Sexual Misconduct

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All Subjects } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=735) \end{aligned}$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Since Fall 2016, at UNM have you*: |  |  |  |  |
| Discussed sexual misconduct/rape in a class? | 309 (42.0\%) | 196 (44.5\%) | 101 (36.5\%) | 12 (66.7\%) |
| Discussed the topic of sexual misconduct with friends? | 358 (48.7\%) | 241 (54.8\%) | 101 (36.5\%) | 16 (88.9\%) |
| Discussed sexual misconduct with a family member? | 216 (29.4\%) | 145 (33.0\%) | 64 (23.1\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| Attended an event or program about what you can do as a bystander (or up stander) to stop sexual misconduct? | 164 (22.3\%) | 102 (23.2\%) | 59 (21.3\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Attended a rally or other campus event about sexual misconduct or sexual assault? | 77 (10.5\%) | 47 (10.7\%) | 26 (9.4\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Seen posters about sexual misconduct (for example raising awareness, preventing rape, defining sexual misconduct)? | 452 (61.5\%) | 277 (63.0\%) | 164 (59.2\%) | 11 (61.1\%) |
| Seen or heard campus administrators or staff address sexual misconduct? | 232 (31.6\%) | 141 (32.0\%) | 88 (31.8\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Seen crime alerts about sexual misconduct or sexual assaults? | 456 (62.0\%) | 284 (64.5\%) | 159 (57.4\%) | 13 (72.2\%) |
| Read a report about sexual violence at UNM? | 312 (42.4\%) | 183 (41.6\%) | 119 (43.0\%) | 10 (55.6\%) |
| Visited a UNM website with information on sexual misconduct or sexual assault? | 88 (12.0\%) | 46 (10.5\%) | 39 (14.1\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Volunteered or interned at an organization that addressed sexual misconduct/assault at UNM? | 16 (2.2\%) | 9 (2.0\%) | 5 (1.8\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Seen or heard about sexual misconduct in a student publication or media outlet? | 175 (23.8\%) | 117 (26.6\%) | 53 (19.1\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Taken a class to learn more about sexual misconduct or assaults? | 27 (3.7\%) | 15 (3.4\%) | 10 (3.6\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Prior to this survey, were you aware of UNM's faculty and staff sexual misconduct reporting policy? |  |  |  |  |
| No | 164 (22.3\%) | 99 (22.5\%) | 62 (22.4\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Yes | 513 (69.8\%) | 310 (70.5\%) | 190 (68.6\%) | 13 (72.2\%) |
| Unsure | 52 (7.1\%) | 29 (6.6\%) | 21 (7.6\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Choose not to answer | 6 (0.8\%) | 2 (0.5\%) | 4 (1.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| What is your opinion of UNM's faculty and staff sexual misconduct reporting policy? |  |  |  |  |
| Very Negative | 24 (3.3\%) | 13 (3.0\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 9a. Sexual Misconduct

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(N=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Negative | 54 (7.3\%) | 43 (9.8\%) | 11 (4.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Neutral | 230 (31.3\%) | 131 (29.8\%) | 94 (33.9\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Positive | 241 (32.8\%) | 146 (33.2\%) | 89 (32.1\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| Very Positive | 152 (20.7\%) | 88 (20.0\%) | 63 (22.7\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Choose not to answer | 34 (4.6\%) | 19 (4.3\%) | 12 (4.3\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would support the person accused. |  |  |  |  |
| Very Unlikely | 60 (8.2\%) | 31 (7.1\%) | 25 (9.2\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Unlikely | 164 (22.5\%) | 105 (24.0\%) | 57 (20.9\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Neutral | 267 (36.6\%) | 157 (35.8\%) | 103 (37.7\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| Likely | 182 (25.0\%) | 114 (26.0\%) | 65 (23.8\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Very Likely | 56 (7.7\%) | 31 (7.1\%) | 23 (8.4\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would provide accommodations to support the person making the report. |  |  |  |  |
| Very Unlikely | 42 (5.8\%) | 28 (6.4\%) | 9 (3.3\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Unlikely | 116 (15.9\%) | 77 (17.6\%) | 31 (11.3\%) | 8 (44.4\%) |
| Neutral | 195 (26.7\%) | 126 (28.8\%) | 68 (24.8\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Likely | 283 (38.8\%) | 157 (35.8\%) | 123 (44.9\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Very Likely | 94 (12.9\%) | 50 (11.4\%) | 43 (15.7\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual misconduct. |  |  |  |  |
| Very Unlikely | 50 (6.9\%) | 32 (7.3\%) | 13 (4.8\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Unlikely | 118 (16.2\%) | 79 (18.0\%) | 32 (11.7\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| Neutral | 193 (26.5\%) | 125 (28.5\%) | 66 (24.2\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Likely | 293 (40.2\%) | 165 (37.7\%) | 124 (45.4\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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Table 9a. Sexual Misconduct

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Very Likely | 75 (10.3\%) | 37 (8.4\%) | 38 (13.9\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would label the person making the report a trouble maker. |  |  |  |  |
| Very Unlikely | 135 (18.5\%) | 71 (16.2\%) | 64 (23.4\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Unlikely | 243 (33.3\%) | 147 (33.6\%) | 91 (33.2\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Neutral | 224 (30.7\%) | 145 (33.1\%) | 74 (27.0\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| Likely | 96 (13.2\%) | 59 (13.5\%) | 30 (10.9\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| Very Likely | 32 (4.4\%) | 16 (3.7\%) | 15 (5.5\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| If the person accused of sexual misconduct was a UNM athlete, UNM would not take the case seriously. |  |  |  |  |
| Very Unlikely | 108 (14.8\%) | 62 (14.1\%) | 46 (16.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Unlikely | 155 (21.2\%) | 86 (19.6\%) | 69 (25.2\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Neutral | 205 (28.1\%) | 118 (26.9\%) | 84 (30.7\%) | 3 (17.6\%) |
| Likely | 164 (22.5\%) | 104 (23.7\%) | 51 (18.6\%) | 9 (52.9\%) |
| Very Likely | 98 (13.4\%) | 69 (15.7\%) | 24 (8.8\%) | 5 (29.4\%) |
| If the person accused of sexual misconduct was in a high status or otherwise powerful fraternity, UNM would not take the case seriously. |  |  |  |  |
| Very Unlikely | 109 (14.9\%) | 57 (13.0\%) | 52 (19.0\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Unlikely | 164 (22.5\%) | 91 (20.8\%) | 73 (26.6\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |
| Neutral | 202 (27.7\%) | 117 (26.7\%) | 82 (29.9\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Likely | 168 (23.0\%) | 108 (24.7\%) | 50 (18.2\%) | 10 (55.6\%) |
| Very Likely | 87 (11.9\%) | 65 (14.8\%) | 17 (6.2\%) | 5 (27.8\%) |
| If the person accused of sexual misconduct was in a position of power or authority (for example a faculty member, coach, administrator or police), UNM would not take the case seriously. |  |  |  |  |
| Very Unlikely | 110 (15.1\%) | 64 (14.6\%) | 46 (16.8\%) | 0 (0.0\%) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
Program: S:|DATAQUeST $\backslash$ Requests $\mid$ Womens Health $|M c B e e|$ Programs $\mid$ UNM $\mid 2017 \backslash T_{-}$UNM_GENDER.sas

Table 9a. Sexual Misconduct

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unlikely | 167 (22.9\%) | 94 (21.5\%) | 72 (26.3\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Neutral | 224 (30.7\%) | 131 (30.0\%) | 90 (32.8\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Likely | 143 (19.6\%) | 89 (20.4\%) | 47 (17.2\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| Very Likely | 85 (11.7\%) | 59 (13.5\%) | 19 (6.9\%) | 7 (38.9\%) |
| If the person accusing someone of sexual misconduct was from a wealthy or politically connected family, UNM would take the case more seriously. |  |  |  |  |
| Very Unlikely | 84 (11.5\%) | 50 (11.4\%) | 33 (12.0\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Unlikely | 119 (16.3\%) | 70 (15.9\%) | 45 (16.4\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Neutral | 255 (34.9\%) | 154 (35.1\%) | 95 (34.7\%) | 6 (33.3\%) |
| Likely | 176 (24.1\%) | 107 (24.4\%) | 65 (23.7\%) | 4 (22.2\%) |
| Very Likely | 97 (13.3\%) | 58 (13.2\%) | 36 (13.1\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would support the person making the report. |  |  |  |  |
| Very Unlikely | 31 (4.2\%) | 20 (4.6\%) | 8 (2.9\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Unlikely | 56 (7.7\%) | 41 (9.4\%) | 13 (4.7\%) | 2 (11.1\%) |
| Neutral | 245 (33.6\%) | 158 (36.1\%) | 78 (28.5\%) | 9 (50.0\%) |
| Likely | 291 (39.9\%) | 162 (37.0\%) | 126 (46.0\%) | 3 (16.7\%) |
| Very Likely | 107 (14.7\%) | 57 (13.0\%) | 49 (17.9\%) | 1 (5.6\%) |
| Misconduct Support Score (9-45)**, n | 720 | 431 | 272 | 17 |
| Mean (SD) | 28.8 (6.7) | 28.3 (6.85) | 30.2 (6.04) | 21.2 (5.83) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 25, 28, 34 | 24, 28, 33 | 27, 30, 34 | 16, 21, 25 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 10, 45 | 10, 45 | 10, 45 | 13, 30 |
| Institutional Conditional Support (5-25)**, n | 726 | 435 | 274 | 17 |
| Mean (SD) | 15.6 (4.72) | 15.2 (4.93) | 16.3 (4.23) | 11.1 (3.29) |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.
*Categories are not mutually exclusive.
**Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.
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## Table 9a. Sexual Misconduct

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 12, 15, 19 | 12, 15, 19 | 14, 15, 20 | 10, 11, 14 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 5,25 | 5,25 | 5,25 | 5,16 |

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

Table 10a. Summary of Scores

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risky Friends Behaviors (0-36)**, n | 728 | 436 | 274 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 4.4 (4.76) | 4.3 (4.79) | 4.4 (4.5) | 6.9 (7.1) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 3, 7 | 1, 3, 6 | 1, 2.5, 7 | 1, 4, 12 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,36 | 0, 36 | 0, 18 | 0, 25 |
| Risky Friends Behaviors-Substance (0-12)**, n | 732 | 438 | 276 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 3.7 (3.88) | 3.4 (3.67) | 4 (4.11) | 5.1 (4.75) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0.5, 2, 6 | 0, 2, 6 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 3, 9 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,12 | 0,12 | 0,12 | 0,12 |
| Risky Friends Behaviors-Violence (0-24)**, n | 730 | 437 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.7 (1.82) | 0.9 (2.01) | 0.4 (1.21) | 1.8 (3.44) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 1 | 0, 0, 1 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0.5, 1 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,24 | 0,24 | 0,11 | 0,13 |
| Problem Alcohol Use Current (0-5)**, n | 730 | 437 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.4 (0.83) | 0.3 (0.84) | 0.4 (0.82) | 0.3 (0.84) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 4 | 0, 4 | 0, 4 | 0, 3 |
| Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)**, n | 732 | 439 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.8 (3.4) | 0.5 (3.14) | 1.4 (3.81) | 0 (0) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 55 | 0, 55 | 0, 22 | 0, 0 |
| Bystander efficacy (4-20)**, n | 732 | 439 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 15.2 (2.66) | 15 (2.57) | 15.4 (2.81) | 14.9 (2.34) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 14, 15, 17 | 14, 15, 16 | 14, 16, 17 | 14, 15, 16 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 4,20 | 4,20 | 4,20 | 10, 19 |
| Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (Total) (0-77)**, n | 728 | 436 | 274 | 18 |

Table 10a. Summary of Scores

|  | All Subjects $\text { ( } \mathrm{N}=735 \text { ) }$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean (SD) | 7.9 (10.95) | 8.3 (11.08) | 6.8 (9.79) | 15.9 (19) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 4, 11 | 1, 5, 10.5 | 0, 3, 10 | 3, 9, 22 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 77 | 0, 77 | 0, 69 | 1,77 |
| Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (SV) (0-33)**, n | 730 | 437 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 3.4 (5.65) | 3.9 (6) | 2.4 (4.3) | 8.6 (9.79) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 1, 5 | 0, 2, 5 | 0, 0, 3 | 2, 3.5, 12 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 33 | 0, 33 | 0,28 | 0, 33 |
| Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (ALL) (0-44)**, n | 732 | 439 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 4.5 (6.84) | 4.4 (6.68) | 4.5 (6.81) | 7.3 (10.36) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 2, 6 | 0, 2, 6 | 0, 2, 6 | 1, 3.5, 10 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 44 | 0, 44 | 0, 44 | 0, 44 |
| Sexual Consent Score (5-25)**, n | 727 | 436 | 273 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 23.1 (2.83) | 23.5 (2.6) | 22.2 (3.06) | 24.6 (1.04) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 21, 25, 25 | 23, 25, 25 | 20, 23, 25 | 25, 25, 25 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 5,25 | 5, 25 | 5, 25 | 21, 25 |
| Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)**, n | 727 | 434 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 1.6 (4.43) | 1.9 (4.76) | 0.9 (3.49) | 4.6 (6.6) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 1 | 0, 0, 2 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 1.5, 8 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,44 | 0, 44 | 0,37 | 0,25 |
| Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)**, n | 487 | 314 | 158 | 15 |
| Mean (SD) | 1.5 (6.58) | 1.4 (6.03) | 1.9 (7.8) | 0.5 (1.81) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 1 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,84 | 0,69 | 0,84 | 0, 7 |
| Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)**, n | 489 | 315 | 159 | 15 |
| Mean (SD) | 1.4 (6.05) | 1.2 (5.49) | 1.7 (7.25) | 0.4 (1.55) |

Table 10a. Summary of Scores

|  | All Subjects ( $\mathrm{N}=735$ ) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathrm{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0,1 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,78 | 0, 58 | 0, 78 | 0, 6 |
| Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)**, n | 491 | 316 | 160 | 15 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.2 (0.9) | 0.2 (0.98) | 0.2 (0.77) | 0.1 (0.26) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0,11 | 0, 11 | 0, 6 | 0, 1 |
| Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)**, n | 725 | 434 | 273 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 0.6 (2.89) | 0.7 (3.16) | 0.4 (2.16) | 1.7 (4.97) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 | 0, 0, 0 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 0, 35 | 0, 35 | 0, 23 | 0,20 |
| Misconduct Support Score (9-45)**, n | 720 | 431 | 272 | 17 |
| Mean (SD) | 28.8 (6.7) | 28.3 (6.85) | 30.2 (6.04) | 21.2 (5.83) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 25, 28, 34 | 24, 28, 33 | 27, 30, 34 | 16, 21, 25 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 10, 45 | 10, 45 | 10, 45 | 13, 30 |
| Institutional Conditional Support (5-25)**, n | 726 | 435 | 274 | 17 |
| Mean (SD) | 15.6 (4.72) | 15.2 (4.93) | 16.3 (4.23) | 11.1 (3.29) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 12, 15, 19 | 12, 15, 19 | 14, 15, 20 | 10, 11, 14 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 5, 25 | 5, 25 | 5, 25 | 5,16 |

Table 10a. Summary of Scores

|  | All Subjects (N=735) | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Risky Friends Behaviors (0-36)*****, n | 565 | 334 | 216 | 15 |
| Mean (SD) | 5.6 (4.7) | 5.6 (4.76) | 5.6 (4.38) | 8.3 (6.99) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 2, 4, 9 | 2, 4, 7 | 2, 4, 9 | 2, 8, 12 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,36 | 1,36 | 1,18 | 1,25 |
| Risky Friends Behaviors-Substance (0-12)*****, n | 549 | 326 | 208 | 15 |
| Mean (SD) | 4.9 (3.76) | 4.6 (3.57) | 5.3 (3.95) | 6.1 (4.55) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 2, 4, 7 | 2, 4, 7 | 2, 4, 9 | 1, 6, 12 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,12 | 1,12 | 1,12 | 1,12 |
| Risky Friends Behaviors-Violence (0-24)*****, n | 227 | 161 | 57 | 9 |
| Mean (SD) | 2.4 (2.59) | 2.4 (2.68) | 2.1 (1.9) | 3.6 (4.25) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 1, 3 | 1, 2, 3 | 1, 1, 2 | 1, 1, 4 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,24 | 1,24 | 1, 11 | 1,13 |
| Problem Alcohol Use Current (0-5)*****, n | 153 | 85 | 65 | 3 |
| Mean (SD) | 1.7 (0.98) | 1.8 (1.03) | 1.6 (0.91) | 2 (1) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 1, 2 | 1, 1, 2 | 1, 1, 2 | 1, 2, 3 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1, 4 | 1, 4 | 1, 4 | 1, 3 |
| Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)*****, n | 110 | 47 | 63 | 0 |
| Mean (SD) | 5.4 (7.24) | 4.5 (8.68) | 6.1 (5.94) | - |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 2, 11 | 1, 2, 3 | 2, 3, 11 | - |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,55 | 1,55 | 1,22 | - |
| Bystander efficacy (4-20)**, n | 732 | 439 | 275 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 15.2 (2.66) | 15 (2.57) | 15.4 (2.81) | 14.9 (2.34) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 14, 15, 17 | 14, 15, 16 | 14, 16, 17 | 14, 15, 16 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 4,20 | 4,20 | 4, 20 | 10, 19 |
| Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (Total) (0-77) ${ }^{* * * * *, ~ \mathbf{n}}$ | 560 | 351 | 191 | 18 |

Table 10a. Summary of Scores

|  | All Subjects $(\mathrm{N}=735)$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mean (SD) | 10.3 (11.47) | 10.2 (11.49) | 9.8 (10.41) | 15.9 (19) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 3, 6, 13 | 3, 7, 13 | 3, 6, 14 | 3, 9, 22 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,77 | 1,77 | 1,69 | 1,77 |
| Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (SV) (0-33)*****, n | 424 | 284 | 123 | 17 |
| Mean (SD) | 5.9 (6.35) | 6 (6.55) | 5.3 (5.11) | 9.1 (9.85) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 2, 4, 7 | 2, 4, 7 | 2, 3, 7 | 2, 4, 12 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,33 | 1,33 | 1,28 | 1,33 |
| Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (ALL) (0-44)*****, n | 501 | 306 | 179 | 16 |
| Mean (SD) | 6.6 (7.4) | 6.3 (7.21) | 6.9 (7.39) | 8.3 (10.66) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 2, 4, 8 | 2, 4, 8 | 2, 4, 8 | 2, 4.5, 11 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,44 | 1,44 | 1,44 | 1,44 |
| Sexual Consent Score (5-25)**, n | 727 | 436 | 273 | 18 |
| Mean (SD) | 23.1 (2.83) | 23.5 (2.6) | 22.2 (3.06) | 24.6 (1.04) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 21, 25, 25 | 23, 25, 25 | 20, 23, 25 | 25, 25, 25 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 5,25 | 5,25 | 5,25 | 21, 25 |
| Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)*****, n | 213 | 147 | 55 | 11 |
| Mean (SD) | 5.5 (6.76) | 5.6 (6.8) | 4.7 (6.62) | 7.5 (7.05) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 3, 6 | 2, 3, 6 | 1, 2, 5 | 2, 8, 11 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1, 44 | 1,44 | 1,37 | 1,25 |
| Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)*****, n | 111 | 70 | 40 | 1 |
| Mean (SD) | 6.7 (12.49) | 6.3 (11.56) | 7.5 (14.23) | 7(-) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 3, 6 | 7, 7, 7 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1, 84 | 1,69 | 1,84 | 7, 7 |
| Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)*****, n | 104 | 63 | 40 | 1 |
| Mean (SD) | 6.4 (11.87) | 6.1 (11.08) | 6.9 (13.3) | $6(-)$ |

Table 10a. Summary of Scores

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { All Subjects } \\ & (\mathrm{N}=735) \end{aligned}$ | Female $(\mathrm{N}=440)$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Male } \\ (\mathbf{N}=277) \end{gathered}$ | Others $(\mathrm{N}=18)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 2.5, 5.5 | 6, 6, 6 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,78 | 1,58 | 1,78 | 6, 6 |
| Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)*****, n | 36 | 25 | 10 | 1 |
| Mean (SD) | 2.4 (2.45) | 2.4 (2.69) | 2.5 (1.96) | 1(-) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 2, 2 | 1, 2, 2 | 1, 2, 3 | 1, 1, 1 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,11 | 1,11 | 1, 6 | 1,1 |
| Stalking Victimization Score (0-44) ${ }^{* * * * *, ~ n ~}$ | 90 | 65 | 22 | 3 |
| Mean (SD) | 5 (6.78) | 4.9 (6.83) | 4.5 (6.42) | 10.3 (8.74) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 2, 6 | 1, 2, 3 | 3, 8, 20 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 1,35 | 1, 35 | 1, 23 | 3, 20 |
| Misconduct Support Score (9-45)**, n | 720 | 431 | 272 | 17 |
| Mean (SD) | 28.8 (6.7) | 28.3 (6.85) | 30.2 (6.04) | 21.2 (5.83) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 25, 28, 34 | 24, 28, 33 | 27, 30, 34 | 16, 21, 25 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 10, 45 | 10, 45 | 10, 45 | 13, 30 |
| Institutional Conditional Support (5-25)**, n | 726 | 435 | 274 | 17 |
| Mean (SD) | 15.6 (4.72) | 15.2 (4.93) | 16.3 (4.23) | 11.1 (3.29) |
| Q1, Median, Q3 | 12, 15, 19 | 12, 15, 19 | 14, 15, 20 | 10, 11, 14 |
| Minimum, Maximum | 5,25 | 5,25 | 5,25 | 5,16 |

