	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Year in School				
First year	192 (26.2%)	113 (25.7%)	75 (27.2%)	4 (22.2%)
Sophomore	161 (21.9%)	100 (22.7%)	57 (20.7%)	4 (22.2%)
Junior	188 (25.6%)	114 (25.9%)	69 (25.0%)	5 (27.8%)
Senior	166 (22.6%)	100 (22.7%)	63 (22.8%)	3 (16.7%)
Other	27 (3.7%)	13 (3.0%)	12 (4.3%)	2 (11.1%)
Hispanic				
No	427 (58.3%)	259 (59.0%)	154 (55.8%)	14 (77.8%)
Yes	306 (41.7%)	180 (41.0%)	122 (44.2%)	4 (22.2%)
Race*				
American Indian or Alaska Native	58 (7.9%)	39 (8.9%)	18 (6.5%)	1 (5.6%)
Asian	65 (8.8%)	38 (8.6%)	26 (9.4%)	1 (5.6%)
Black or African American	37 (5.0%)	24 (5.5%)	13 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	6 (0.8%)	5 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)
White	516 (70.2%)	310 (70.5%)	192 (69.3%)	14 (77.8%)
Other	107 (14.6%)	51 (11.6%)	54 (19.5%)	2 (11.1%)
Race				
White	445 (63.1%)	271 (64.4%)	162 (60.7%)	12 (70.6%)
Black or African American	22 (3.1%)	16 (3.8%)	6 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Other	238 (33.8%)	134 (31.8%)	99 (37.1%)	5 (29.4%)
Race and Ethnicity				
White Not Hispanic	285 (39.1%)	171 (39.1%)	104 (37.8%)	10 (58.8%)
Black Not Hispanic	19 (2.6%)	14 (3.2%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Hispanic	306 (42.0%)	180 (41.2%)	122 (44.4%)	4 (23.5%)
Other	119 (16.3%)	72 (16.5%)	44 (16.0%)	3 (17.6%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. *Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table 1a. Demographics and Other Characteristics

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Sexual Attraction				
Heterosexual or straight	583 (79.8%)	337 (76.9%)	245 (88.8%)	1 (5.9%)
Gay or lesbian	24 (3.3%)	12 (2.7%)	12 (4.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Bisexual	85 (11.6%)	60 (13.7%)	15 (5.4%)	10 (58.8%)
Asexual	7 (1.0%)	6 (1.4%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Questioning	16 (2.2%)	13 (3.0%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (5.9%)
Not listed	16 (2.2%)	10 (2.3%)	1 (0.4%)	5 (29.4%)
Partnered Relationship Since Fall 2016				
No	243 (33.1%)	124 (28.2%)	116 (41.9%)	3 (17.6%)
Yes	491 (66.9%)	316 (71.8%)	161 (58.1%)	14 (82.4%)
Year Enrolled as a Student at UNM				
Before 2013	64 (9.5%)	36 (9.1%)	26 (10.0%)	2 (11.1%)
2013	89 (13.2%)	55 (13.9%)	31 (12.0%)	3 (16.7%)
2014	122 (18.1%)	69 (17.4%)	50 (19.3%)	3 (16.7%)
2015	159 (23.6%)	98 (24.7%)	58 (22.4%)	3 (16.7%)
2016	221 (32.8%)	127 (32.0%)	88 (34.0%)	6 (33.3%)
2017	19 (2.8%)	12 (3.0%)	6 (2.3%)	1 (5.6%)
Status				
Full-time	673 (92.2%)	405 (92.7%)	251 (91.3%)	17 (94.4%)
Part-time	52 (7.1%)	31 (7.1%)	20 (7.3%)	1 (5.6%)
Other	5 (0.7%)	1 (0.2%)	4 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)
US Citizen or Permanent Resident				
No	30 (4.1%)	14 (3.2%)	15 (5.5%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes	700 (95.9%)	425 (96.8%)	258 (94.5%)	17 (94.4%)
Marital Status				

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. *Categories are not mutually exclusive.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Never married	591 (80.7%)	347 (79.0%)	234 (85.1%)	10 (55.6%)
Not married but living with a partner	77 (10.5%)	48 (10.9%)	23 (8.4%)	6 (33.3%)
Married	21 (2.9%)	14 (3.2%)	6 (2.2%)	1 (5.6%)
Divorced or separated	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)
Other	41 (5.6%)	29 (6.6%)	12 (4.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Living Situation				
Live alone	113 (15.4%)	65 (14.8%)	47 (17.0%)	1 (5.6%)
With a roommate/roommates (not a romantic partner)	269 (36.6%)	149 (33.9%)	114 (41.3%)	6 (33.3%)
With my husband/wife, boyfriend/girlfriend or other romantic partner	93 (12.7%)	61 (13.9%)	25 (9.1%)	7 (38.9%)
With my parents or other adult relatives	259 (35.3%)	165 (37.5%)	90 (32.6%)	4 (22.2%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. *Categories are not mutually exclusive.

Table 2a. Training Experience

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Heard of Alcohol EDU	176 (23.9%)	95 (21.6%)	78 (28.2%)	3 (16.7%)
Received Alcohol EDU Training				
Never	86 (49.1%)	48 (51.1%)	36 (46.2%)	2 (66.7%)
Once	81 (46.3%)	43 (45.7%)	37 (47.4%)	1 (33.3%)
Multiple Times	8 (4.6%)	3 (3.2%)	5 (6.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Received Alcohol EDU Training Since Fall 2016*	40 (44.9%)	18 (39.1%)	22 (52.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Helpfulness of Alcohol EDU Training*				
1 Not Helpful	9 (10.3%)	5 (11.4%)	4 (9.5%)	0 (0.0%)
2	8 (9.2%)	4 (9.1%)	4 (9.5%)	0 (0.0%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	40 (46.0%)	17 (38.6%)	22 (52.4%)	1 (100.0%)
4	19 (21.8%)	12 (27.3%)	7 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)
5 Very Helpful	11 (12.6%)	6 (13.6%)	5 (11.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Heard of Bringing in the Bystander	59 (8.0%)	33 (7.5%)	25 (9.0%)	1 (5.6%)
Received Bringing in the Bystander Training				
Never	25 (43.9%)	16 (50.0%)	9 (37.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Once	28 (49.1%)	15 (46.9%)	12 (50.0%)	1 (100.0%)
Multiple Times	4 (7.0%)	1 (3.1%)	3 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Received Bringing in the Bystander Training Since Fall 2016*	17 (53.1%)	10 (62.5%)	7 (46.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Helpfulness of Bringing in the Bystander Training*				
1 Not Helpful	3 (9.7%)	2 (13.3%)	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)
2	3 (9.7%)	2 (13.3%)	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	8 (25.8%)	2 (13.3%)	6 (40.0%)	0 (0.0%)
4	14 (45.2%)	8 (53.3%)	5 (33.3%)	1 (100.0%)
5 Very Helpful	3 (9.7%)	1 (6.7%)	2 (13.3%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Heard of Green Dot	34 (4.6%)	16 (3.6%)	18 (6.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Received Green Dot Training				
Never	18 (54.5%)	9 (60.0%)	9 (50.0%)	-
Once	13 (39.4%)	5 (33.3%)	8 (44.4%)	-
Multiple Times	2 (6.1%)	1 (6.7%)	1 (5.6%)	-
Received Green Dot Training Since Fall 2016*	7 (46.7%)	3 (50.0%)	4 (44.4%)	-
Helpfulness of Green Dot Training*				
1 Not Helpful	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (11.1%)	-
2	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
3 Somewhat Helpful	6 (40.0%)	1 (16.7%)	5 (55.6%)	-
4	7 (46.7%)	4 (66.7%)	3 (33.3%)	-
5 Very Helpful	1 (6.7%)	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Heard of Haven	38 (5.2%)	19 (4.3%)	19 (6.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Received Haven Training				
Never	21 (58.3%)	10 (58.8%)	11 (57.9%)	-
Once	12 (33.3%)	6 (35.3%)	6 (31.6%)	-
Multiple Times	3 (8.3%)	1 (5.9%)	2 (10.5%)	-
Received Haven Training Since Fall 2016*	9 (60.0%)	3 (42.9%)	6 (75.0%)	-
Helpfulness of Haven Training*				
1 Not Helpful	1 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (12.5%)	-
2	3 (20.0%)	2 (28.6%)	1 (12.5%)	-
3 Somewhat Helpful	7 (46.7%)	2 (28.6%)	5 (62.5%)	-
4	4 (26.7%)	3 (42.9%)	1 (12.5%)	-
5 Very Helpful	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Heard of It's On Us	104 (14.1%)	63 (14.3%)	39 (14.1%)	2 (11.1%)

^{*}Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.

All Subjects **Others Female** Male (N=735)(N=440)(N=277)(N=18)Received It's On Us Training 41 (67.2%) 19 (48.7%) 62 (60.8%) 2 (100.0%) Never 0(0.0%)Once 35 (34.3%) 19 (31.1%) 16 (41.0%) **Multiple Times** 0(0.0%)5 (4.9%) 1 (1.6%) 4 (10.3%) Received It's On Us Training Since Fall 2016* 21 (52.5%) 11 (55.0%) 10 (50.0%) Helpfulness of It's On Us Training* 1 Not Helpful 2 (5.1%) 2 (10.0%) 0(0.0%)2 2 (5.1%) 1 (5.0%) 1 (5.3%) 15 (38.5%) 5 (25.0%) 3 Somewhat Helpful 10 (52.6%) 4 13 (33.3%) 8 (40.0%) 5 (26.3%) 5 Very Helpful 7 (17.9%) 4 (20.0%) 3 (15.8%) **Heard of Step UP** 125 (17.0%) 72 (16.4%) 50 (18.1%) 3 (16.7%) **Received Step UP Training** Never 89 (76.1%) 54 (80.6%) 33 (70.2%) 2 (66.7%) 25 (21.4%) 13 (19.4%) 11 (23.4%) 1 (33.3%) Once Multiple Times 3 (2.6%) 0(0.0%)3 (6.4%) 0(0.0%)Received Step UP Training Since Fall 2016* 12 (42.9%) 7 (53.8%) 4 (28.6%) 1 (100.0%) **Helpfulness of Step UP Training*** 1 Not Helpful 4 (14.3%) 0(0.0%)2 (15.4%) 2 (14.3%) 0(0.0%)2 0(0.0%)0(0.0%)0(0.0%)3 Somewhat Helpful 13 (46.4%) 5 (38.5%) 8 (57.1%) 0(0.0%)4 8 (28.6%) 3 (23.1%) 4 (28.6%) 1 (100.0%) 5 Very Helpful 3 (10.7%) 3 (23.1%) 0(0.0%)0(0.0%)**Heard of Think About It** 64 (8.7%) 30 (6.8%) 32 (11.6%) 2 (11.1%) **Received Think About It Training**

^{*}Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.

Table 2a. Training Experience

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Never	31 (50.8%)	14 (46.7%)	16 (55.2%)	1 (50.0%)
Once	25 (41.0%)	15 (50.0%)	9 (31.0%)	1 (50.0%)
Multiple Times	5 (8.2%)	1 (3.3%)	4 (13.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Received Think About It Training Since Fall 2016*	17 (56.7%)	11 (68.8%)	6 (46.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Helpfulness of Think About It Training*				
1 Not Helpful	3 (10.3%)	1 (6.3%)	2 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	15 (51.7%)	6 (37.5%)	8 (66.7%)	1 (100.0%)
4	9 (31.0%)	8 (50.0%)	1 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
5 Very Helpful	2 (6.9%)	1 (6.3%)	1 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Heard of The Grey Area	422 (57.4%)	266 (60.5%)	144 (52.0%)	12 (66.7%)
Received The Grey Area Training				
Never	174 (41.4%)	112 (42.3%)	57 (39.9%)	5 (41.7%)
Once	221 (52.6%)	141 (53.2%)	73 (51.0%)	7 (58.3%)
Multiple Times	25 (6.0%)	12 (4.5%)	13 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Received The Grey Area Training Since Fall 2016*	165 (67.1%)	106 (69.3%)	56 (65.1%)	3 (42.9%)
Helpfulness of The Grey Area Training*				
1 Not Helpful	23 (9.5%)	14 (9.3%)	8 (9.6%)	1 (14.3%)
2	24 (10.0%)	16 (10.6%)	7 (8.4%)	1 (14.3%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	83 (34.4%)	47 (31.1%)	32 (38.6%)	4 (57.1%)
4	63 (26.1%)	40 (26.5%)	22 (26.5%)	1 (14.3%)
5 Very Helpful	48 (19.9%)	34 (22.5%)	14 (16.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Heard of RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App	89 (12.1%)	55 (12.5%)	31 (11.2%)	3 (16.7%)
Received RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App Training				
Never	64 (73.6%)	42 (79.2%)	21 (67.7%)	1 (33.3%)

^{*}Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.

Table 2a. Training Experience

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Once	17 (19.5%)	7 (13.2%)	8 (25.8%)	2 (66.7%)
Multiple Times	6 (6.9%)	4 (7.5%)	2 (6.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Received RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App Training Since Fall 2016*	7 (30.4%)	5 (45.5%)	2 (20.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Helpfulness of RAVE Guardian Campus Safety App Training*				
1 Not Helpful	1 (4.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (50.0%)
2	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3 Somewhat Helpful	11 (47.8%)	4 (36.4%)	7 (70.0%)	0 (0.0%)
4	9 (39.1%)	5 (45.5%)	3 (30.0%)	1 (50.0%)
5 Very Helpful	2 (8.7%)	2 (18.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Heard of another bystander training program	14 (1.9%)	10 (2.3%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Received another bystander training program				
Never	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1
Once	11 (84.6%)	9 (100.0%)	2 (50.0%)	1
Multiple Times	2 (15.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (50.0%)	-
Received another bystander training program Since Fall 2016*	6 (46.2%)	4 (44.4%)	2 (50.0%)	-
Helpfulness of another bystander training program*				
1 Not Helpful	1 (7.7%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)	-
2	3 (23.1%)	2 (22.2%)	1 (25.0%)	-
3 Somewhat Helpful	5 (38.5%)	3 (33.3%)	2 (50.0%)	-
4	4 (30.8%)	3 (33.3%)	1 (25.0%)	-
5 Very Helpful	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-

^{*}Percentages calculated only out of those indicating receiving training.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Residential Location				
Single-sex residence hall or dormitory	44 (6.0%)	32 (7.3%)	11 (4.0%)	1 (5.6%)
Co-ed residence hall or dormitory	169 (23.0%)	96 (21.8%)	69 (25.0%)	4 (22.2%)
Other university housing	22 (3.0%)	9 (2.0%)	12 (4.3%)	1 (5.6%)
Fraternity/sorority house	4 (0.5%)	2 (0.5%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Off-campus house or apartment	489 (66.6%)	297 (67.5%)	180 (65.2%)	12 (66.7%)
Other	6 (0.8%)	4 (0.9%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Member of*:				
Honor society or professional group related to your major, field of study	220 (29.9%)	146 (33.2%)	69 (24.9%)	5 (27.8%)
Media organization (e.g. newspaper, radio, magazine)	11 (1.5%)	6 (1.4%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Fraternity or sorority (pledge/new member or member)	50 (6.8%)	20 (4.5%)	30 (10.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Political group	27 (3.7%)	7 (1.6%)	18 (6.5%)	2 (11.1%)
Social action group	32 (4.4%)	16 (3.6%)	11 (4.0%)	5 (27.8%)
Student government	17 (2.3%)	9 (2.0%)	8 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Community service organization	56 (7.6%)	35 (8.0%)	19 (6.9%)	2 (11.1%)
Student religious group	50 (6.8%)	30 (6.8%)	20 (7.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Intercollegiate athletic team	11 (1.5%)	3 (0.7%)	8 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Intramural or club athletic team	40 (5.4%)	14 (3.2%)	25 (9.0%)	1 (5.6%)
Health education group (place holder for specific alcohol abuse prevention programs, sexual violence/sexual risk taking programs)	10 (1.4%)	8 (1.8%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Racial minority or ethnic organization	31 (4.2%)	22 (5.0%)	7 (2.5%)	2 (11.1%)
Sexual minority organization (LGBTQ)	22 (3.0%)	14 (3.2%)	4 (1.4%)	4 (22.2%)
Reserve Officers Training Corps (ROTC)	9 (1.2%)	4 (0.9%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens~Health| McBee| Programs | UNM| 2017| T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program of the prog$

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect binge drink (drink 5 or more drinks in a row within about 2 hours)?				
0 friends	295 (40.2%)	183 (41.7%)	104 (37.5%)	8 (44.4%)
1-2 friends	211 (28.7%)	132 (30.1%)	76 (27.4%)	3 (16.7%)
3-5 friends	115 (15.7%)	63 (14.4%)	49 (17.7%)	3 (16.7%)
6 or more friends	113 (15.4%)	61 (13.9%)	48 (17.3%)	4 (22.2%)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect use illegal drugs?				
0 friends	247 (33.7%)	147 (33.6%)	95 (34.4%)	5 (27.8%)
1-2 friends	212 (29.0%)	139 (31.7%)	71 (25.7%)	2 (11.1%)
3-5 friends	135 (18.4%)	82 (18.7%)	49 (17.8%)	4 (22.2%)
6 or more friends	138 (18.9%)	70 (16.0%)	61 (22.1%)	7 (38.9%)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect have forced someone to have sex with them?				
0 friends	694 (94.7%)	415 (94.5%)	264 (95.7%)	15 (83.3%)
1-2 friends	37 (5.0%)	23 (5.2%)	11 (4.0%)	3 (16.7%)
3-5 friends	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
6 or more friends	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect have used any physical force against a partner?				
0 friends	684 (93.4%)	403 (92.0%)	264 (95.7%)	17 (94.4%)
1-2 friends	46 (6.3%)	33 (7.5%)	12 (4.3%)	1 (5.6%)
3-5 friends	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
6 or more friends	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect have partners that use physical force?				
0 friends	628 (85.7%)	356 (81.3%)	257 (92.8%)	15 (83.3%)
1-2 friends	94 (12.8%)	76 (17.4%)	17 (6.1%)	1 (5.6%)
3-5 friends	7 (1.0%)	4 (0.9%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (5.6%)
6 or more friends	4 (0.5%)	2 (0.5%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (5.6%)
How many of your friends or people you often hang out with at UNM do you know or suspect had sex because they were forced or pressured into it?				
0 friends	542 (73.8%)	307 (69.9%)	226 (81.6%)	9 (50.0%)
1-2 friends	151 (20.6%)	99 (22.6%)	46 (16.6%)	6 (33.3%)
3-5 friends	31 (4.2%)	25 (5.7%)	4 (1.4%)	2 (11.1%)
6 or more friends	10 (1.4%)	8 (1.8%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (5.6%)
Risky Friends Behaviors (0-36)**, n	728	436	274	18
Mean (SD)	4.4 (4.76)	4.3 (4.79)	4.4 (4.5)	6.9 (7.1)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 3, 7	1, 3, 6	1, 2.5, 7	1, 4, 12
Minimum, Maximum	0, 36	0, 36	0, 18	0, 25
Risky Friends Behaviors-Substance (0-12)**, n	732	438	276	18
Mean (SD)	3.7 (3.88)	3.4 (3.67)	4 (4.11)	5.1 (4.75)
Q1, Median, Q3	0.5, 2, 6	0, 2, 6	1, 2, 6	1, 3, 9
Minimum, Maximum	0, 12	0, 12	0, 12	0, 12
Risky Friends Behaviors-Violence (0-24)**, n	730	437	275	18
Mean (SD)	0.7 (1.82)	0.9 (2.01)	0.4 (1.21)	1.8 (3.44)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0	0, 0.5, 1

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. Program: S:\DATAQUeST\Requests\Womens Health\McBee\Programs\UNM\2017\T_UNM_GENDER.sas

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Minimum, Maximum	0, 24	0, 24	0, 11	0, 13
Since Fall 2016, how frequently did you binge drink? (Binge drinking is defined as having five or more drinks in a row within 2 hours.)				
I have had 5 or more drinks in a row (binge drinking), but not since Fall 2016	40 (5.4%)	29 (6.6%)	8 (2.9%)	3 (16.7%)
Once or twice since Fall 2016	123 (16.7%)	73 (16.6%)	47 (17.0%)	3 (16.7%)
About once a month	79 (10.7%)	33 (7.5%)	43 (15.5%)	3 (16.7%)
About once a week	34 (4.6%)	15 (3.4%)	19 (6.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Almost every day	4 (0.5%)	1 (0.2%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)
I never binge drink	181 (24.6%)	128 (29.1%)	50 (18.1%)	3 (16.7%)
I do not drink	274 (37.3%)	161 (36.6%)	107 (38.6%)	6 (33.3%)
Since Fall 2016, have you been unable to remember things that happened while you were drinking alcohol (things you would normally remember)?				
No	295 (40.2%)	179 (40.7%)	107 (38.8%)	9 (50.0%)
Yes, in the past month	60 (8.2%)	36 (8.2%)	23 (8.3%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not in the past month	97 (13.2%)	58 (13.2%)	37 (13.4%)	2 (11.1%)
No, I do not drink	282 (38.4%)	167 (38.0%)	109 (39.5%)	6 (33.3%)
Since Fall 2016, have you drank alcohol to feel more connected to the people around you?				
No	232 (31.6%)	137 (31.1%)	90 (32.5%)	5 (27.8%)
Yes, in the past month	117 (15.9%)	65 (14.8%)	49 (17.7%)	3 (16.7%)
Yes, but not in the past month	105 (14.3%)	71 (16.1%)	30 (10.8%)	4 (22.2%)
No, I do not drink	281 (38.2%)	167 (38.0%)	108 (39.0%)	6 (33.3%)
Since Fall 2016, have you drank to feel more comfortable with or to increase the opportunity for sex?				

 $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens | Health| McBee| Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | U$

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

 $^{**}Non-missing\ responses\ to\ individual\ variables\ were\ required\ in\ order\ to\ calculate\ scales.\ For\ details,\ please\ refer\ to\ Index\ of\ Scores\ UNM.$

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
No	371 (50.6%)	224 (51.0%)	138 (50.0%)	9 (50.0%)
Yes, in the past month	44 (6.0%)	25 (5.7%)	18 (6.5%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not in the past month	36 (4.9%)	22 (5.0%)	12 (4.3%)	2 (11.1%)
No, I do not drink	282 (38.5%)	168 (38.3%)	108 (39.1%)	6 (33.3%)
Since Fall 2016, have you done things when drinking alcohol that you normally would not do and you now regret doing?				
No	324 (44.2%)	197 (44.9%)	121 (43.8%)	6 (33.3%)
Yes, in the past month	41 (5.6%)	25 (5.7%)	15 (5.4%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not in the past month	86 (11.7%)	49 (11.2%)	32 (11.6%)	5 (27.8%)
No, I do not drink	282 (38.5%)	168 (38.3%)	108 (39.1%)	6 (33.3%)
Since Fall 2016, have you been recommended to Alcoholics Anonymous or an alcohol or drug treatment facility?				
No	446 (60.9%)	269 (61.3%)	165 (60.0%)	12 (66.7%)
Yes, in the past month	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.5%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not in the past month	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
No, I do not drink	281 (38.4%)	167 (38.0%)	108 (39.3%)	6 (33.3%)
Problem Alcohol Use Current (0-5)**, n	730	437	275	18
Mean (SD)	0.4 (0.83)	0.3 (0.84)	0.4 (0.82)	0.3 (0.84)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 4	0, 4	0, 4	0, 3

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive. **Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times did you do any of the following toward another UNM student?				
You made sexual remarks or told jokes or stories that may have been or were insulting or offensive to another student?				
0 times	627 (85.5%)	399 (90.9%)	211 (76.4%)	17 (94.4%)
1 time	36 (4.9%)	19 (4.3%)	17 (6.2%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	14 (1.9%)	4 (0.9%)	10 (3.6%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	11 (1.5%)	3 (0.7%)	8 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	18 (2.5%)	3 (0.7%)	15 (5.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	26 (3.5%)	11 (2.5%)	14 (5.1%)	1 (5.6%)
You made inappropriate or offensive comments about another student's body or someone else's body, appearance, or sexual activities?				
0 times	647 (88.1%)	400 (90.9%)	229 (83.0%)	18 (100.0%)
1 time	26 (3.5%)	13 (3.0%)	13 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	14 (1.9%)	7 (1.6%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	11 (1.5%)	2 (0.5%)	9 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	3 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	11 (1.5%)	5 (1.1%)	6 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	22 (3.0%)	13 (3.0%)	9 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)
You emailed, texted, tweeted, phoned, or instant messaged offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos to another student that they probably did not want?				

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
0 times	711 (97.0%)	427 (97.0%)	266 (96.7%)	18 (100.0%)
1 time	6 (0.8%)	2 (0.5%)	4 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	2 (0.3%)	2 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	12 (1.6%)	8 (1.8%)	4 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times did you do any of the following toward another UNM student?				
You harassed, insulted, threatened, or intimidated another student because you thought they might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?				
0 times	716 (97.5%)	427 (97.0%)	271 (98.2%)	18 (100.0%)
1 time	2 (0.3%)	2 (0.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	13 (1.8%)	9 (2.0%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)
You physically hurt another student (including forcing sex) because you thought they might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?				
0 times	717 (97.7%)	428 (97.3%)	271 (98.2%)	18 (100.0%)
1 time	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
2 times	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	14 (1.9%)	10 (2.3%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Sexual Harassment Perpetration Since Fall 2016				
No	624 (85.0%)	393 (89.3%)	213 (77.2%)	18 (100.0%)
Yes***	110 (15.0%)	47 (10.7%)	63 (22.8%)	0 (0.0%)
What was your relationship to the person(s) you did these things with?*:				
At the time, it was someone I was involved or intimate with	9 (8.2%)	5 (10.6%)	4 (6.3%)	-
Someone I had been involved or was intimate with	9 (8.2%)	6 (12.8%)	3 (4.8%)	-
Teacher or advisor	1 (0.9%)	1 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Co-worker, boss, or supervisor	4 (3.6%)	1 (2.1%)	3 (4.8%)	-
Friend	67 (60.9%)	22 (46.8%)	45 (71.4%)	-
Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty	12 (10.9%)	8 (17.0%)	4 (6.3%)	-
Stranger	21 (19.1%)	10 (21.3%)	11 (17.5%)	-
Other	3 (2.7%)	2 (4.3%)	1 (1.6%)	-
Don't Know	11 (10.0%)	4 (8.5%)	7 (11.1%)	-
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:				
On UNM property	32 (29.1%)	11 (23.4%)	21 (33.3%)	-
In your dorm or apartment	42 (38.2%)	22 (46.8%)	20 (31.7%)	-

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
At a party or social event	32 (29.1%)	9 (19.1%)	23 (36.5%)	<u> </u>
In a public place	33 (30.0%)	13 (27.7%)	20 (31.7%)	
Other	8 (7.3%)	1 (2.1%)	7 (11.1%)	
Don't Know	21 (19.1%)	8 (17.0%)	13 (20.6%)	
Do you think this could have interfered with their academic or professional performance?				
No	89 (81.7%)	35 (76.1%)	54 (85.7%)	
Yes	11 (10.1%)	5 (10.9%)	6 (9.5%)	
Don't Know	9 (8.3%)	6 (13.0%)	3 (4.8%)	
Do you think this could have limited their ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?				
No	96 (88.1%)	37 (80.4%)	59 (93.7%)	
Yes	8 (7.3%)	5 (10.9%)	3 (4.8%)	
Don't Know	5 (4.6%)	4 (8.7%)	1 (1.6%)	
Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for student(s)?				
No	79 (72.5%)	28 (60.9%)	51 (81.0%)	
Yes	17 (15.6%)	9 (19.6%)	8 (12.7%)	
Don't Know	13 (11.9%)	9 (19.6%)	4 (6.3%)	
Because of these behaviors, have you*:				
Tried hard not to think about it?	12 (10.9%)	4 (8.5%)	8 (12.7%)	
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	9 (8.2%)	5 (10.6%)	4 (6.3%)	
Talked with a friend or family member?	17 (15.5%)	9 (19.1%)	8 (12.7%)	
Talked with a resident advisor?	3 (2.7%)	2 (4.3%)	1 (1.6%)	

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	4 (3.6%)	2 (4.3%)	2 (3.2%)	-
Not felt any different?	65 (59.1%)	23 (48.9%)	42 (66.7%)	-
Thought about leaving UNM?	4 (3.6%)	2 (4.3%)	2 (3.2%)	-
Had misconduct charges or disciplinary actions against you by UNM?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Had other legal actions taken against you due to your actions?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)**, n	732	439	275	18
Mean (SD)	0.8 (3.4)	0.5 (3.14)	1.4 (3.81)	0 (0)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 55	0, 55	0, 22	0, 0
Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)*****, n	110	47	63	0
Mean (SD)	5.4 (7.24)	4.5 (8.68)	6.1 (5.94)	-
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 11	1, 2, 3	2, 3, 11	-
Minimum, Maximum	1, 55	1, 55	1, 22	-
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM:				
Did you physically force or make threats of physical force against another student to have sex?				
No	735 (100.0%)	440 (100.0%)	277 (100.0%)	18 (100.0%)
Yes	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did you use physical force or threats of physical force in an UNSUCCESSFUL attempt to make another student have sex?				
No	734 (99.9%)	439 (99.8%)	277 (100.0%)	18 (100.0%)
Yes	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM:				
Did you do either of the following with another student who was unable to consent or stop what was happening because they were passed out, asleep or incapacitated due to drugs or alcohol? -Sexual penetration or Oral sex				
No	733 (99.7%)	440 (100.0%)	275 (99.3%)	18 (100.0%)
Yes	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did YOU have sex with another student involving penetration or oral sex by threatening serious non-physical harm or promising rewards such that they felt they must comply?				
No	733 (99.9%)	440 (100.0%)	275 (99.6%)	18 (100.0%)
Yes	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did you have sex with another student involving penetration or oral sex without their active, ongoing voluntary agreement?				
No	729 (99.2%)	436 (99.1%)	275 (99.3%)	18 (100.0%)
Yes	3 (0.4%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	3 (0.4%)	3 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Sexual Violence Perpetration Since Fall 2016				
No	729 (99.2%)	439 (99.8%)	272 (98.2%)	18 (100.0%)
Yes***	6 (0.8%)	1 (0.2%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
What was your relationship to the person(s) you did these things with?*:				

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
At the time, it was someone I was involved or intimate with	4 (66.7%)	1 (100.0%)	3 (60.0%)	
Someone I had been involved or was intimate with	2 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (40.0%)	
Teacher or advisor	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Co-worker, boss, or supervisor	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Friend	3 (50.0%)	1 (100.0%)	2 (40.0%)	
Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Stranger	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Other	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Don't Know	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (20.0%)	
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:				
On UNM property	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
In your dorm or apartment	4 (66.7%)	1 (100.0%)	3 (60.0%)	
At a party or social event	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (20.0%)	
In a public place	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (20.0%)	
Other	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	
Don't Know	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (20.0%)	
Do you think this could have interfered with their academic or professional performance?				
No	3 (50.0%)	1 (100.0%)	2 (40.0%)	
Yes	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (20.0%)	
Don't Know	2 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (40.0%)	
Do you think this could have limited their ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?				

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 4a. Perpetration

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
No	5 (83.3%)	1 (100.0%)	4 (80.0%)	-
Yes	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (20.0%)	-
Don't Know	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for the student(s)?				
No	5 (83.3%)	1 (100.0%)	4 (80.0%)	-
Yes	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (20.0%)	-
Don't Know	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Because of these behaviors, have you*:				
Tried hard not to think about it?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Talked with a friend or family member?	1 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (20.0%)	-
Talked with a resident advisor?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Not felt any different?	3 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (60.0%)	-
Thought about leaving UNM?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Had misconduct charges or disciplinary actions against you by UNM?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Had other legal actions taken against you due to your actions?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Since Fall 2016, have you*				
Put a drug into someone's food or beverage without their knowledge?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Put alcohol into someone's nonalcoholic drink without their knowledge?	1 (0.1%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Misled someone about the amount of alcohol in their alcoholic drink such that the drink had more alcohol than they might have thought?	15 (2.0%)	4 (0.9%)	11 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Put a different drug in a joint, blunt, or vaporizing device, without someone's knowledge, that someone smoked?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Given someone drugs or alcohol, without their knowledge, so that it would be easier to have sex with them?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016 while you have been attending UNM, have you threatened to or actually physically harmed a dating or intimate partner, someone they loved or yourself?				
No	718 (97.7%)	426 (96.8%)	274 (98.9%)	18 (100.0%)
Yes	8 (1.1%)	6 (1.4%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	9 (1.2%)	8 (1.8%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating perpetration is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of perpetration.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 5a. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
I am able to recognize a situation that might become violent.				
Strongly Disagree	13 (1.8%)	8 (1.8%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Disagree	10 (1.4%)	10 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Neutral	55 (7.5%)	32 (7.3%)	21 (7.6%)	2 (11.1%)
Agree	390 (53.1%)	234 (53.2%)	143 (51.8%)	13 (72.2%)
Strongly Agree	266 (36.2%)	156 (35.5%)	107 (38.8%)	3 (16.7%)
I can make a difference in reducing dating violence or sexual violence at UNM.				
Strongly Disagree	23 (3.1%)	11 (2.5%)	12 (4.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Disagree	73 (10.0%)	44 (10.0%)	26 (9.4%)	3 (16.7%)
Neutral	247 (33.7%)	156 (35.5%)	86 (31.2%)	5 (27.8%)
Agree	283 (38.6%)	170 (38.7%)	106 (38.4%)	7 (38.9%)
Strongly Agree	107 (14.6%)	58 (13.2%)	46 (16.7%)	3 (16.7%)
I have the skills to help prevent dating violence or sexual violence at UNM.				
Strongly Disagree	29 (4.0%)	15 (3.4%)	13 (4.7%)	1 (5.6%)
Disagree	93 (12.7%)	60 (13.7%)	30 (10.9%)	3 (16.7%)
Neutral	251 (34.2%)	168 (38.3%)	78 (28.3%)	5 (27.8%)
Agree	263 (35.9%)	152 (34.6%)	107 (38.8%)	4 (22.2%)
Strongly Agree	97 (13.2%)	44 (10.0%)	48 (17.4%)	5 (27.8%)
I am able to help if I see a situation where someone might be taken advantage of sexually.				
Strongly Disagree	8 (1.1%)	4 (0.9%)	3 (1.1%)	1 (5.6%)
Disagree	25 (3.4%)	13 (3.0%)	11 (4.0%)	1 (5.6%)
Neutral	111 (15.1%)	74 (16.8%)	35 (12.7%)	2 (11.1%)
Agree	381 (52.0%)	238 (54.1%)	133 (48.4%)	10 (55.6%)

Table 5a. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Strongly Agree	208 (28.4%)	111 (25.2%)	93 (33.8%)	4 (22.2%)
I have personally been affected by dating violence or sexual violence, because it happened to me or someone I know.				
Strongly Disagree	220 (30.0%)	113 (25.7%)	106 (38.4%)	1 (5.6%)
Disagree	154 (21.0%)	94 (21.4%)	60 (21.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Neutral	87 (11.9%)	43 (9.8%)	40 (14.5%)	4 (22.2%)
Agree	152 (20.7%)	108 (24.6%)	40 (14.5%)	4 (22.2%)
Strongly Agree	120 (16.4%)	81 (18.5%)	30 (10.9%)	9 (50.0%)
I often attend events/parties with other students.				
Strongly Disagree	227 (30.9%)	145 (33.0%)	78 (28.3%)	4 (22.2%)
Disagree	142 (19.3%)	95 (21.6%)	43 (15.6%)	4 (22.2%)
Neutral	140 (19.1%)	82 (18.6%)	54 (19.6%)	4 (22.2%)
Agree	136 (18.5%)	84 (19.1%)	50 (18.1%)	2 (11.1%)
Strongly Agree	89 (12.1%)	34 (7.7%)	51 (18.5%)	4 (22.2%)
Bystander efficacy (4-20)**, n	732	439	275	18
Mean (SD)	15.2 (2.66)	15 (2.57)	15.4 (2.81)	14.9 (2.34)
Q1, Median, Q3	14, 15, 17	14, 15, 16	14, 16, 17	14, 15, 16
Minimum, Maximum	4, 20	4, 20	4, 20	10, 19
Has a friend or acquaintance talked with you about the importance of reducing sexual or dating violence?				
0 times	407 (55.4%)	226 (51.4%)	176 (63.8%)	5 (27.8%)
1 time	100 (13.6%)	69 (15.7%)	27 (9.8%)	4 (22.2%)
2 times	80 (10.9%)	59 (13.4%)	20 (7.2%)	1 (5.6%)
3-5 times	73 (9.9%)	42 (9.5%)	28 (10.1%)	3 (16.7%)
6-10 times	18 (2.5%)	10 (2.3%)	7 (2.5%)	1 (5.6%)

Table 5a. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
More than 10 times	21 (2.9%)	15 (3.4%)	3 (1.1%)	3 (16.7%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	35 (4.8%)	19 (4.3%)	15 (5.4%)	1 (5.6%)
Have you and your friends talked about activities or things you could do that might help prevent sexual or dating violence at your university or in your community?				
0 times	474 (64.7%)	268 (61.0%)	199 (72.1%)	7 (38.9%)
1 time	82 (11.2%)	55 (12.5%)	23 (8.3%)	4 (22.2%)
2 times	74 (10.1%)	48 (10.9%)	25 (9.1%)	1 (5.6%)
3-5 times	58 (7.9%)	40 (9.1%)	15 (5.4%)	3 (16.7%)
6-10 times	13 (1.8%)	8 (1.8%)	4 (1.4%)	1 (5.6%)
More than 10 times	14 (1.9%)	10 (2.3%)	2 (0.7%)	2 (11.1%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	18 (2.5%)	10 (2.3%)	8 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Have you talked with your friends about what you can do to keep yourself or others safe from sexual or dating violence?				
0 times	360 (49.2%)	182 (41.6%)	173 (62.9%)	5 (27.8%)
1 time	111 (15.2%)	78 (17.8%)	30 (10.9%)	3 (16.7%)
2 times	90 (12.3%)	60 (13.7%)	28 (10.2%)	2 (11.1%)
3-5 times	98 (13.4%)	67 (15.3%)	27 (9.8%)	4 (22.2%)
6-10 times	24 (3.3%)	15 (3.4%)	8 (2.9%)	1 (5.6%)
More than 10 times	32 (4.4%)	25 (5.7%)	4 (1.5%)	3 (16.7%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	16 (2.2%)	11 (2.5%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Have you discussed the possible dangers of drinking too much with friends?				
0 times	285 (38.8%)	164 (37.3%)	116 (42.0%)	5 (27.8%)
1 time	107 (14.6%)	76 (17.3%)	29 (10.5%)	2 (11.1%)
2 times	124 (16.9%)	76 (17.3%)	45 (16.3%)	3 (16.7%)

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
3-5 times	122 (16.6%)	75 (17.0%)	44 (15.9%)	3 (16.7%)
6-10 times	39 (5.3%)	21 (4.8%)	16 (5.8%)	2 (11.1%)
More than 10 times	44 (6.0%)	23 (5.2%)	18 (6.5%)	3 (16.7%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	13 (1.8%)	5 (1.1%)	8 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Have you told someone you were concerned about their drinking?				
0 times	434 (59.1%)	266 (60.5%)	160 (58.0%)	8 (44.4%)
1 time	126 (17.2%)	69 (15.7%)	51 (18.5%)	6 (33.3%)
2 times	81 (11.0%)	48 (10.9%)	30 (10.9%)	3 (16.7%)
3-5 times	35 (4.8%)	23 (5.2%)	12 (4.3%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	17 (2.3%)	9 (2.0%)	8 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	17 (2.3%)	10 (2.3%)	6 (2.2%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	24 (3.3%)	15 (3.4%)	9 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Have you told someone that getting drunk puts them at risk for being a victim of violence?				
0 times	521 (71.1%)	313 (71.1%)	197 (71.6%)	11 (61.1%)
1 time	82 (11.2%)	48 (10.9%)	31 (11.3%)	3 (16.7%)
2 times	52 (7.1%)	31 (7.0%)	19 (6.9%)	2 (11.1%)
3-5 times	40 (5.5%)	25 (5.7%)	14 (5.1%)	1 (5.6%)
6-10 times	7 (1.0%)	6 (1.4%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	11 (1.5%)	6 (1.4%)	4 (1.5%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	20 (2.7%)	11 (2.5%)	9 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Have you expressed your concern when someone was talking about how they got so wasted?				
0 times	427 (58.3%)	253 (57.6%)	165 (59.8%)	9 (50.0%)
1 time	109 (14.9%)	69 (15.7%)	35 (12.7%)	5 (27.8%)

Table 5a. Bystander Efficacy and Engaging Peers

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
2 times	88 (12.0%)	50 (11.4%)	36 (13.0%)	2 (11.1%)
3-5 times	55 (7.5%)	35 (8.0%)	20 (7.2%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	12 (1.6%)	6 (1.4%)	5 (1.8%)	1 (5.6%)
More than 10 times	18 (2.5%)	9 (2.1%)	8 (2.9%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	24 (3.3%)	17 (3.9%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (Total) (0-77)**, n	728	436	274	18
Mean (SD)	7.9 (10.95)	8.3 (11.08)	6.8 (9.79)	15.9 (19)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 4, 11	1, 5, 10.5	0, 3, 10	3, 9, 22
Minimum, Maximum	0, 77	0, 77	0, 69	1,77
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (SV) (0-33)**, n	730	437	275	18
Mean (SD)	3.4 (5.65)	3.9 (6)	2.4 (4.3)	8.6 (9.79)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 1, 5	0, 2, 5	0, 0, 3	2, 3.5, 12
Minimum, Maximum	0, 33	0, 33	0, 28	0, 33
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (ALL) (0-44)**, n	732	439	275	18
Mean (SD)	4.5 (6.84)	4.4 (6.68)	4.5 (6.81)	7.3 (10.36)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 2, 6	0, 2, 6	0, 2, 6	1, 3.5, 10
Minimum, Maximum	0, 44	0, 44	0, 44	0, 44

Table 6a. Bystanding Behaviors

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you seen someone so drunk or high that you worried they would be taken advantage of?				
No	552 (75.3%)	332 (75.6%)	209 (75.7%)	11 (61.1%)
Yes	181 (24.7%)	107 (24.4%)	67 (24.3%)	7 (38.9%)
Bystanding Behavior*				
Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious	14 (7.7%)	7 (6.5%)	7 (10.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me	6 (3.3%)	4 (3.7%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (28.6%)
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	28 (15.5%)	21 (19.6%)	6 (9.0%)	1 (14.3%)
Did nothing for another reason	22 (12.2%)	15 (14.0%)	7 (10.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Asked a friend or someone else for help	66 (36.5%)	38 (35.5%)	25 (37.3%)	3 (42.9%)
Created a distraction to try and help	25 (13.8%)	11 (10.3%)	13 (19.4%)	1 (14.3%)
Confronted the person	45 (24.9%)	26 (24.3%)	17 (25.4%)	2 (28.6%)
Called UNM police or other authority	4 (2.2%)	2 (1.9%)	2 (3.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Took action in another way	41 (22.7%)	26 (24.3%)	15 (22.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you seen or otherwise suspected that someone slipped drugs or extra alcohol into another's drink?				
No	713 (97.1%)	429 (97.5%)	267 (96.7%)	17 (94.4%)
Yes	21 (2.9%)	11 (2.5%)	9 (3.3%)	1 (5.6%)
Bystanding Behavior*				
Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me	1 (4.8%)	1 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	2 (9.5%)	2 (18.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing for another reason	3 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (22.2%)	1 (100.0%)
Asked a friend or someone else for help	7 (33.3%)	4 (36.4%)	3 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

*Categories are not mutually exclusive, percentages calculated only out of those reporting seeing the above situation.

Table 6a. Bystanding Behaviors

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Created a distraction to try and help	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Confronted the person	6 (28.6%)	3 (27.3%)	3 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Called UNM police or other authority	2 (9.5%)	1 (9.1%)	1 (11.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Took action in another way	6 (28.6%)	4 (36.4%)	2 (22.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you heard someone bragging, joking, or giving excuses for making someone have sex with them?				
No	682 (93.0%)	409 (93.0%)	259 (94.2%)	14 (77.8%)
Yes	51 (7.0%)	31 (7.0%)	16 (5.8%)	4 (22.2%)
Bystanding Behavior*				
Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious	6 (11.8%)	3 (9.7%)	3 (18.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me	6 (11.8%)	4 (12.9%)	1 (6.3%)	1 (25.0%)
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	9 (17.6%)	7 (22.6%)	2 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing for another reason	9 (17.6%)	3 (9.7%)	6 (37.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Asked a friend or someone else for help	3 (5.9%)	1 (3.2%)	1 (6.3%)	1 (25.0%)
Created a distraction to try and help	2 (3.9%)	1 (3.2%)	1 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Confronted the person	19 (37.3%)	14 (45.2%)	2 (12.5%)	3 (75.0%)
Called UNM police or other authority	2 (3.9%)	1 (3.2%)	1 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Took action in another way	2 (3.9%)	1 (3.2%)	1 (6.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you seen or heard someone sexually harass (verbally, over social media, or in person) someone else?				
No	602 (82.0%)	345 (78.4%)	246 (89.1%)	11 (61.1%)
Yes	132 (18.0%)	95 (21.6%)	30 (10.9%)	7 (38.9%)
Bystanding Behavior*				
Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious	16 (12.1%)	8 (8.4%)	7 (23.3%)	1 (14.3%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

*Categories are not mutually exclusive, percentages calculated only out of those reporting seeing the above situation.

Table 6a. Bystanding Behaviors

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me	17 (12.9%)	14 (14.7%)	2 (6.7%)	1 (14.3%)
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	33 (25.0%)	29 (30.5%)	4 (13.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing for another reason	19 (14.4%)	14 (14.7%)	5 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Asked a friend or someone else for help	9 (6.8%)	4 (4.2%)	4 (13.3%)	1 (14.3%)
Created a distraction to try and help	7 (5.3%)	5 (5.3%)	2 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Confronted the person	47 (35.6%)	34 (35.8%)	9 (30.0%)	4 (57.1%)
Called UNM police or other authority	2 (1.5%)	2 (2.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Took action in another way	12 (9.1%)	9 (9.5%)	3 (10.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you have been a student at UNM, have you become aware of another potentially dangerous situation?				
No	650 (88.8%)	383 (87.0%)	250 (91.2%)	17 (94.4%)
Yes	82 (11.2%)	57 (13.0%)	24 (8.8%)	1 (5.6%)
Bystanding Behavior*				
Did nothing because I didn't think it was serious	3 (3.7%)	1 (1.8%)	2 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I was afraid something would happen to me	12 (14.6%)	10 (17.5%)	2 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing because I wasn't sure what to do	16 (19.5%)	13 (22.8%)	3 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Did nothing for another reason	10 (12.2%)	8 (14.0%)	2 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Asked a friend or someone else for help	12 (14.6%)	9 (15.8%)	2 (8.3%)	1 (100.0%)
Created a distraction to try and help	2 (2.4%)	2 (3.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Confronted the person	15 (18.3%)	10 (17.5%)	5 (20.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Called UNM police or other authority	14 (17.1%)	9 (15.8%)	5 (20.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Took action in another way	19 (23.2%)	12 (21.1%)	7 (29.2%)	0 (0.0%)

Table 7a. Sexual Consent

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Both people should make sure that the other person clearly agrees to have sex.				
Strongly Disagree	3 (0.4%)	2 (0.5%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Disagree	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Neutral	13 (1.8%)	5 (1.1%)	8 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Agree	73 (10.0%)	29 (6.6%)	44 (15.9%)	0 (0.0%)
Strongly Agree	642 (87.6%)	402 (91.6%)	222 (80.4%)	18 (100.0%)
Even if some sexual activity has started, either person has the right to change their mind and stop.				
Strongly Disagree	5 (0.7%)	3 (0.7%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Disagree	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Neutral	13 (1.8%)	6 (1.4%)	7 (2.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Agree	95 (13.0%)	36 (8.2%)	59 (21.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Strongly Agree	616 (84.3%)	394 (89.7%)	204 (74.5%)	18 (100.0%)
If someone agrees to have one type of sex it is OK to assume they have agreed to other forms of sex.				
Strongly Disagree	465 (63.4%)	314 (71.4%)	135 (48.9%)	16 (88.9%)
Disagree	191 (26.0%)	94 (21.4%)	95 (34.4%)	2 (11.1%)
Neutral	49 (6.7%)	21 (4.8%)	28 (10.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Agree	16 (2.2%)	3 (0.7%)	13 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Strongly Agree	13 (1.8%)	8 (1.8%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
If you have had sex with someone in the past, you can assume that they have agreed to have sex with you now.				
Strongly Disagree	503 (68.7%)	333 (75.9%)	153 (55.6%)	17 (94.4%)
Disagree	158 (21.6%)	76 (17.3%)	81 (29.5%)	1 (5.6%)
Neutral	44 (6.0%)	19 (4.3%)	25 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)

Table 7a. Sexual Consent

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Agree	10 (1.4%)	3 (0.7%)	7 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Strongly Agree	17 (2.3%)	8 (1.8%)	9 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)
If someone seems interested in you sexually but then becomes so drunk or high that they can't talk to you, it is not okay to have sex with them.				
Strongly Disagree	53 (7.2%)	29 (6.6%)	23 (8.3%)	1 (5.6%)
Disagree	22 (3.0%)	9 (2.1%)	13 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Neutral	28 (3.8%)	11 (2.5%)	17 (6.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Agree	92 (12.6%)	49 (11.2%)	43 (15.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Strongly Agree	538 (73.4%)	341 (77.7%)	180 (65.2%)	17 (94.4%)
Sexual Consent Score (5-25)**, n	727	436	273	18
Mean (SD)	23.1 (2.83)	23.5 (2.6)	22.2 (3.06)	24.6 (1.04)
Q1, Median, Q3	21, 25, 25	23, 25, 25	20, 23, 25	25, 25, 25
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	21, 25

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student OR someone employed by or associated with UNM:				
Made sexual remarks or told jokes or stories that were insulting or offensive?				
0 times	547 (74.6%)	301 (68.6%)	239 (86.6%)	7 (38.9%)
1 time	60 (8.2%)	47 (10.7%)	10 (3.6%)	3 (16.7%)
2 times	49 (6.7%)	36 (8.2%)	11 (4.0%)	2 (11.1%)
3-5 times	35 (4.8%)	28 (6.4%)	5 (1.8%)	2 (11.1%)
6-10 times	6 (0.8%)	4 (0.9%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (5.6%)
More than 10 times	12 (1.6%)	7 (1.6%)	4 (1.4%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	24 (3.3%)	16 (3.6%)	6 (2.2%)	2 (11.1%)
Made inappropriate or offensive comments about your or someone else's body, appearance, or sexual activities?				
0 times	564 (77.2%)	318 (72.8%)	237 (85.9%)	9 (50.0%)
1 time	53 (7.3%)	35 (8.0%)	16 (5.8%)	2 (11.1%)
2 times	46 (6.3%)	34 (7.8%)	10 (3.6%)	2 (11.1%)
3-5 times	28 (3.8%)	18 (4.1%)	6 (2.2%)	4 (22.2%)
6-10 times	9 (1.2%)	7 (1.6%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	13 (1.8%)	12 (2.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	18 (2.5%)	13 (3.0%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Emailed, texted, tweeted, phoned, or instant messaged offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos to you that you did not want?				
0 times	643 (88.0%)	372 (84.9%)	257 (93.5%)	14 (77.8%)
1 time	30 (4.1%)	21 (4.8%)	7 (2.5%)	2 (11.1%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
2 times	16 (2.2%)	14 (3.2%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	11 (1.5%)	8 (1.8%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (5.6%)
6-10 times	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	6 (0.8%)	4 (0.9%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	23 (3.1%)	18 (4.1%)	4 (1.5%)	1 (5.6%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student OR someone employed by or associated with UNM:				
Harassed, insulted, threatened, or intimidated you because they thought you might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?				
0 times	671 (91.5%)	403 (91.8%)	257 (93.1%)	11 (61.1%)
1 time	15 (2.0%)	9 (2.1%)	5 (1.8%)	1 (5.6%)
2 times	13 (1.8%)	8 (1.8%)	4 (1.4%)	1 (5.6%)
3-5 times	11 (1.5%)	6 (1.4%)	2 (0.7%)	3 (16.7%)
6-10 times	4 (0.5%)	3 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)
More than 10 times	3 (0.4%)	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	16 (2.2%)	9 (2.1%)	6 (2.2%)	1 (5.6%)
Physically hurt you (including forced sex) because they thought you might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender?				
0 times	711 (97.3%)	429 (98.2%)	266 (96.4%)	16 (88.9%)
1 time	4 (0.5%)	2 (0.5%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (5.6%)
2 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	3 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
More than 10 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	12 (1.6%)	5 (1.1%)	6 (2.2%)	1 (5.6%)
Sexual Harassment Victim Since Fall 2016				
No	518 (70.7%)	290 (66.1%)	221 (80.1%)	7 (38.9%)
Yes***	215 (29.3%)	149 (33.9%)	55 (19.9%)	11 (61.1%)
What was your relationship to the person responsible for any of these behaviors?*:				
Teacher or advisor	15 (7.0%)	10 (6.7%)	3 (5.5%)	2 (18.2%)
Co-worker, boss, or supervisor	11 (5.1%)	9 (6.0%)	1 (1.8%)	1 (9.1%)
Another student	174 (80.9%)	125 (83.9%)	40 (72.7%)	9 (81.8%)
Someone affiliated with UNM	6 (2.8%)	5 (3.4%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	40 (18.6%)	26 (17.4%)	12 (21.8%)	2 (18.2%)
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:				
On UNM property	125 (58.1%)	94 (63.1%)	24 (43.6%)	7 (63.6%)
In your dorm or apartment	40 (18.6%)	27 (18.1%)	9 (16.4%)	4 (36.4%)
At a party or social event	54 (25.1%)	40 (26.8%)	11 (20.0%)	3 (27.3%)
In a public place	72 (33.5%)	51 (34.2%)	16 (29.1%)	5 (45.5%)
Other	18 (8.4%)	11 (7.4%)	6 (10.9%)	1 (9.1%)
Don't Know	18 (8.4%)	10 (6.7%)	8 (14.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think that this event interfered with your academic or professional performance?			_	
No	135 (62.8%)	88 (59.1%)	44 (80.0%)	3 (27.3%)
Yes	59 (27.4%)	46 (30.9%)	6 (10.9%)	7 (63.6%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Don't Know	21 (9.8%)	15 (10.1%)	5 (9.1%)	1 (9.1%)
Do you think that this event limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?				
No	146 (67.9%)	93 (62.4%)	51 (92.7%)	2 (18.2%)
Yes	52 (24.2%)	41 (27.5%)	2 (3.6%)	9 (81.8%)
Don't Know	17 (7.9%)	15 (10.1%)	2 (3.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think that this event created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you?				
No	57 (26.6%)	25 (16.8%)	32 (59.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes	143 (66.8%)	115 (77.2%)	17 (31.5%)	11 (100.0%)
Don't Know	14 (6.5%)	9 (6.0%)	5 (9.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Because of these behaviors, have you*:				
Tried hard not to think about it?	108 (50.2%)	82 (55.0%)	17 (30.9%)	9 (81.8%)
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	55 (25.6%)	34 (22.8%)	12 (21.8%)	9 (81.8%)
Talked with a friend or family member?	104 (48.4%)	86 (57.7%)	12 (21.8%)	6 (54.5%)
Talked with a resident advisor?	7 (3.3%)	6 (4.0%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	23 (10.7%)	19 (12.8%)	1 (1.8%)	3 (27.3%)
Called a hotline or got online information?	4 (1.9%)	3 (2.0%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Contacted police or other authorities?	7 (3.3%)	6 (4.0%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Made a formal report or pressed charges against the person responsible?	7 (3.3%)	6 (4.0%)	1 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Missed classes or work?	43 (20.0%)	35 (23.5%)	2 (3.6%)	6 (54.5%)
Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams?	29 (13.5%)	22 (14.8%)	2 (3.6%)	5 (45.5%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Not felt any different?	75 (34.9%)	40 (26.8%)	33 (60.0%)	2 (18.2%)
Gotten worse grades?	25 (11.6%)	18 (12.1%)	2 (3.6%)	5 (45.5%)
Thought about leaving UNM?	37 (17.2%)	25 (16.8%)	5 (9.1%)	7 (63.6%)
Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)**, n	727	434	275	18
Mean (SD)	1.6 (4.43)	1.9 (4.76)	0.9 (3.49)	4.6 (6.6)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 2	0, 0, 0	0, 1.5, 8
Minimum, Maximum	0, 44	0, 44	0, 37	0, 25
Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)*****, n	213	147	55	11
Mean (SD)	5.5 (6.76)	5.6 (6.8)	4.7 (6.62)	7.5 (7.05)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 3, 6	2, 3, 6	1, 2, 5	2, 8, 11
Minimum, Maximum	1, 44	1, 44	1, 37	1, 25
Since Fall 2016 while you were a student at UNM has someone used physical force or threats of physical force to make you do the following: - Sexual penetration or Oral sex				
No	701 (95.5%)	413 (93.9%)	271 (98.2%)	17 (94.4%)
Yes	17 (2.3%)	13 (3.0%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	16 (2.2%)	14 (3.2%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (5.6%)
Since Fall 2016 while you were a student at UNM has someone used physical force or threats of physical force in an UNSUCCESSFUL attempt to make you do the following: - Sexual penetration or Oral sex				
No	692 (94.3%)	405 (92.0%)	271 (98.2%)	16 (88.9%)
Yes	31 (4.2%)	25 (5.7%)	5 (1.8%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	11 (1.5%)	10 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Since Fall 2016 while you were a student at UNM have either sexual penetration or oral sex happened to you while you were unable to consent or stop what was happening because you were passed out, asleep or incapacitated due to drugs or alcohol?				
No	691 (94.1%)	406 (92.3%)	269 (97.5%)	16 (88.9%)
Yes	22 (3.0%)	17 (3.9%)	5 (1.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	21 (2.9%)	17 (3.9%)	2 (0.7%)	2 (11.1%)
Since Fall 2016 while you have been attending UNM, has someone had contact with you involving penetration or oral sex by threatening serious non-physical harm or promising rewards such that you felt you must comply?				
No	716 (97.7%)	427 (97.0%)	272 (98.9%)	17 (94.4%)
Yes	12 (1.6%)	9 (2.0%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	5 (0.7%)	4 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)
Since Fall 2016 while you have been attending UNM, has someone had contact with you involving penetration or oral sex without your active, ongoing voluntary agreement?				
No	676 (92.1%)	395 (89.8%)	266 (96.4%)	15 (83.3%)
Yes	39 (5.3%)	32 (7.3%)	6 (2.2%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	19 (2.6%)	13 (3.0%)	4 (1.4%)	2 (11.1%)
Sexual Violence Victim Since Fall 2016				
No	671 (91.4%)	391 (88.9%)	264 (95.7%)	16 (88.9%)
Yes***	63 (8.6%)	49 (11.1%)	12 (4.3%)	2 (11.1%)
What was your relationship to the person responsible for any of these behaviors?*:				
Teacher or advisor	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Co-worker, boss, or supervisor	1 (1.6%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Friend	31 (49.2%)	26 (53.1%)	5 (41.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty	16 (25.4%)	12 (24.5%)	3 (25.0%)	1 (50.0%)
Other	12 (19.0%)	10 (20.4%)	2 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	5 (7.9%)	3 (6.1%)	1 (8.3%)	1 (50.0%)
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:				
On UNM property	13 (20.6%)	6 (12.2%)	6 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)
In your dorm or apartment	29 (46.0%)	21 (42.9%)	6 (50.0%)	2 (100.0%)
At a party or social event	20 (31.7%)	15 (30.6%)	5 (41.7%)	0 (0.0%)
In a public place	5 (7.9%)	4 (8.2%)	1 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Other	10 (15.9%)	10 (20.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	5 (7.9%)	4 (8.2%)	1 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think that this event interfered with your academic or professional performance?				
No	26 (41.3%)	20 (40.8%)	5 (41.7%)	1 (50.0%)
Yes	27 (42.9%)	20 (40.8%)	6 (50.0%)	1 (50.0%)
Don't Know	10 (15.9%)	9 (18.4%)	1 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think that this event limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?				
No	36 (57.1%)	27 (55.1%)	9 (75.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes	21 (33.3%)	16 (32.7%)	3 (25.0%)	2 (100.0%)
Don't Know	6 (9.5%)	6 (12.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8a. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Do you think that this event created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you?				
No	17 (27.0%)	11 (22.4%)	6 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes	39 (61.9%)	32 (65.3%)	5 (41.7%)	2 (100.0%)
Don't Know	7 (11.1%)	6 (12.2%)	1 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Because of these behaviors, have you*:				
Tried hard not to think about it?	39 (61.9%)	30 (61.2%)	7 (58.3%)	2 (100.0%)
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	27 (42.9%)	20 (40.8%)	5 (41.7%)	2 (100.0%)
Talked with a staff or faculty associated with UNM?	4 (6.3%)	3 (6.1%)	1 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a friend or family member?	28 (44.4%)	23 (46.9%)	3 (25.0%)	2 (100.0%)
Talked with a resident advisor?	2 (3.2%)	2 (4.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	13 (20.6%)	12 (24.5%)	1 (8.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Called a hotline or got online information?	2 (3.2%)	2 (4.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Contacted police or other authorities?	4 (6.3%)	4 (8.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Reported event to UNM police?	3 (4.8%)	3 (6.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Missed classes or work?	17 (27.0%)	14 (28.6%)	2 (16.7%)	1 (50.0%)
Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams?	16 (25.4%)	12 (24.5%)	3 (25.0%)	1 (50.0%)
Not felt any different?	7 (11.1%)	5 (10.2%)	2 (16.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Gotten worse grades?	14 (22.2%)	11 (22.4%)	2 (16.7%)	1 (50.0%)
Thought about leaving UNM?	12 (19.0%)	9 (18.4%)	3 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you recall receiving UNM services?****				
No	1 (25.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (100.0%)	-

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8a. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Yes	3 (75.0%)	3 (100.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
How satisfied were you with your interaction with UNM staff or faculty?****				
Not at all satisfied	2 (50.0%)	1 (33.3%)	1 (100.0%)	-
Somewhat satisfied	1 (25.0%)	1 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Neutral	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Satisfied	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Very satisfied	1 (25.0%)	1 (33.3%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Since Fall 2016, has SOMEONE*:				
Put a drug into your food or beverage without your knowledge?	10 (1.4%)	7 (1.6%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Put alcohol into your non-alcoholic drink without your knowledge?	6 (0.8%)	4 (0.9%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Misled you about the amount of alcohol in your alcoholic drink such that the drink had more alcohol than you thought?	38 (5.2%)	18 (4.1%)	20 (7.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Put a different drug in a joint, blunt, or vaporizing device, without your knowledge, that you smoked?	11 (1.5%)	6 (1.4%)	4 (1.4%)	1 (5.6%)
Given you a drug or alcohol, without you knowing you were getting the drug or alcohol, so that it would be easier to have sex with you?	7 (1.0%)	5 (1.1%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (5.6%)
Drug & Alcohol Victim Since Fall 2016				
No	680 (92.5%)	412 (93.6%)	252 (91.0%)	16 (88.9%)
Yes***	55 (7.5%)	28 (6.4%)	25 (9.0%)	2 (11.1%)
What happened to you as a result?*				
Blacked out	10 (18.2%)	9 (32.1%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Became physically ill	11 (20.0%)	8 (28.6%)	3 (12.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Experienced unwanted sexual touching	10 (18.2%)	8 (28.6%)	1 (4.0%)	1 (50.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Was physically hurt (for example, were you hit, slapped, or beat up)	5 (9.1%)	4 (14.3%)	1 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Forced to have sexual intercourse	5 (9.1%)	4 (14.3%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (50.0%)
Had fun	17 (30.9%)	4 (14.3%)	13 (52.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Nothing	20 (36.4%)	8 (28.6%)	11 (44.0%)	1 (50.0%)
Don't know what happened	6 (10.9%)	6 (21.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Other	3 (5.5%)	1 (3.6%)	2 (8.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Partnered Relationship Since Fall 2016				
No	241 (32.8%)	123 (28.0%)	115 (41.7%)	3 (16.7%)
Yes	493 (67.2%)	317 (72.0%)	161 (58.3%)	15 (83.3%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM how many times has a partner or spouse:				
Checked up on you by following you, invading your privacy by reading private messages or listening in on calls that were NOT done in a joking or playful manner?				
0 times	419 (85.2%)	273 (86.4%)	132 (82.0%)	14 (93.3%)
1 time	29 (5.9%)	20 (6.3%)	9 (5.6%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	13 (2.6%)	6 (1.9%)	7 (4.3%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	22 (4.5%)	13 (4.1%)	8 (5.0%)	1 (6.7%)
6-10 times	3 (0.6%)	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)
11-25 times	3 (0.6%)	1 (0.3%)	2 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)
26 + times	3 (0.6%)	1 (0.3%)	2 (1.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Controlled or tried to control you?				
0 times	426 (86.8%)	279 (88.3%)	133 (83.1%)	14 (93.3%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8a. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
1 time	25 (5.1%)	14 (4.4%)	11 (6.9%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	13 (2.6%)	5 (1.6%)	7 (4.4%)	1 (6.7%)
3-5 times	13 (2.6%)	9 (2.8%)	4 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	5 (1.0%)	3 (0.9%)	2 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)
11-25 times	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)
26 + times	7 (1.4%)	5 (1.6%)	2 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM how many times has a partner or spouse:				
Threatened to physically harm you, someone you love, or themselves?				
0 times	469 (95.3%)	302 (95.3%)	153 (95.6%)	14 (93.3%)
1 time	13 (2.6%)	8 (2.5%)	4 (2.5%)	1 (6.7%)
2 times	4 (0.8%)	3 (0.9%)	1 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	3 (0.6%)	2 (0.6%)	1 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	1 (0.2%)	1 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
11-25 times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
26 + times	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.3%)	1 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Used any kind of physical force against you?				
0 times	455 (92.7%)	291 (92.1%)	150 (93.8%)	14 (93.3%)
1 time	17 (3.5%)	12 (3.8%)	4 (2.5%)	1 (6.7%)
2 times	11 (2.2%)	8 (2.5%)	3 (1.9%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	4 (0.8%)	3 (0.9%)	1 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	2 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.3%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
11-25 times	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.6%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
26 + times	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Dating Violence Victim Since Fall 2016				
No	382 (77.5%)	247 (77.9%)	121 (75.2%)	14 (93.3%)
Yes***	111 (22.5%)	70 (22.1%)	40 (24.8%)	1 (6.7%)
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:				
On UNM property	17 (15.3%)	10 (14.3%)	7 (17.5%)	0 (0.0%)
In your dorm or apartment	66 (59.5%)	42 (60.0%)	23 (57.5%)	1 (100.0%)
At a party or social event	10 (9.0%)	3 (4.3%)	7 (17.5%)	0 (0.0%)
In a public place	18 (16.2%)	6 (8.6%)	11 (27.5%)	1 (100.0%)
Other	15 (13.5%)	14 (20.0%)	1 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	10 (9.0%)	6 (8.6%)	4 (10.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have interfered with your academic or professional performance?				
No	70 (63.1%)	41 (58.6%)	29 (72.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes	37 (33.3%)	27 (38.6%)	9 (22.5%)	1 (100.0%)
Don't Know	4 (3.6%)	2 (2.9%)	2 (5.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?				
No	72 (64.9%)	43 (61.4%)	29 (72.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes	32 (28.8%)	21 (30.0%)	10 (25.0%)	1 (100.0%)
Don't Know	7 (6.3%)	6 (8.6%)	1 (2.5%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8a. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you?				
No	57 (51.4%)	30 (42.9%)	27 (67.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes	44 (39.6%)	33 (47.1%)	10 (25.0%)	1 (100.0%)
Don't Know	10 (9.0%)	7 (10.0%)	3 (7.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Because of these behaviors, have you*:				
Tried hard not to think about it?	39 (35.1%)	29 (41.4%)	9 (22.5%)	1 (100.0%)
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	33 (29.7%)	22 (31.4%)	10 (25.0%)	1 (100.0%)
Talked with a staff or faculty associated with UNM?	4 (3.6%)	1 (1.4%)	3 (7.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a friend or family member?	35 (31.5%)	23 (32.9%)	12 (30.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a resident advisor?	1 (0.9%)	1 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	10 (9.0%)	7 (10.0%)	3 (7.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Called a hotline or got online information?	2 (1.8%)	2 (2.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Contacted police or other authorities?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Reported event to UNM police?	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Missed classes or work?	17 (15.3%)	11 (15.7%)	6 (15.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams?	20 (18.0%)	11 (15.7%)	9 (22.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Not felt any different?	31 (27.9%)	11 (15.7%)	20 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Gotten worse grades?	15 (13.5%)	10 (14.3%)	5 (12.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Thought about leaving UNM?	9 (8.1%)	5 (7.1%)	3 (7.5%)	1 (100.0%)
Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)**, n	487	314	158	15
Mean (SD)	1.5 (6.58)	1.4 (6.03)	1.9 (7.8)	0.5 (1.81)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8a. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 84	0, 69	0, 84	0, 7
Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)*****, n	111	70	40	1
Mean (SD)	6.7 (12.49)	6.3 (11.56)	7.5 (14.23)	7(-)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 6	1, 3, 6	7, 7, 7
Minimum, Maximum	1, 84	1, 69	1, 84	7, 7
Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)**, n	489	315	159	15
Mean (SD)	1.4 (6.05)	1.2 (5.49)	1.7 (7.25)	0.4 (1.55)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 78	0, 58	0, 78	0, 6
Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)*****, n	104	63	40	1
Mean (SD)	6.4 (11.87)	6.1 (11.08)	6.9 (13.3)	6(-)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 6	1, 2.5, 5.5	6, 6, 6
Q1, Median, Q3 Minimum, Maximum	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 6 1, 58	1, 2.5, 5.5 1, 78	6, 6, 6
Minimum, Maximum	1, 78	1, 58	1, 78	6, 6
Minimum, Maximum Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)**, n	1, 78 491	1, 58 316	1, 78 160	6, 6 15
Minimum, Maximum Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)**, n Mean (SD)	1, 78 491 0.2 (0.9)	1, 58 316 0.2 (0.98)	1, 78 160 0.2 (0.77)	6, 6 15 0.1 (0.26)
Minimum, Maximum Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)**, n Mean (SD) Q1, Median, Q3	1, 78 491 0.2 (0.9) 0, 0, 0	1, 58 316 0.2 (0.98) 0, 0, 0	1, 78 160 0.2 (0.77) 0, 0, 0	6, 6 15 0.1 (0.26) 0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)**, n Mean (SD) Q1, Median, Q3 Minimum, Maximum	1, 78 491 0.2 (0.9) 0, 0, 0 0, 11	1, 58 316 0.2 (0.98) 0, 0, 0 0, 11	1, 78 160 0.2 (0.77) 0, 0, 0 0, 6	6, 6 15 0.1 (0.26) 0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)**, n Mean (SD) Q1, Median, Q3 Minimum, Maximum Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)****, n	1, 78 491 0.2 (0.9) 0, 0, 0 0, 11 36	1, 58 316 0.2 (0.98) 0, 0, 0 0, 11 25	1, 78 160 0.2 (0.77) 0, 0, 0 0, 6 10	6, 6 15 0.1 (0.26) 0, 0, 0 0, 1 1

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student or someone employed by or associated with UNM:				
Made unwanted phone calls, sent emails, voice, text or instant messages, or posted messages, picture or videos on social networking sites in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety?				
0 times	627 (85.8%)	368 (84.0%)	245 (89.1%)	14 (77.8%)
1 time	20 (2.7%)	14 (3.2%)	6 (2.2%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	4 (0.5%)	4 (0.9%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	10 (1.4%)	8 (1.8%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (5.6%)
6-10 times	1 (0.1%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	4 (0.5%)	2 (0.5%)	2 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	65 (8.9%)	41 (9.4%)	21 (7.6%)	3 (16.7%)
Showed up somewhere or waited for you when you did not want that person to be there in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety?				
0 times	625 (85.3%)	366 (83.4%)	246 (89.1%)	13 (72.2%)
1 time	20 (2.7%)	17 (3.9%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	10 (1.4%)	7 (1.6%)	2 (0.7%)	1 (5.6%)
3-5 times	8 (1.1%)	6 (1.4%)	1 (0.4%)	1 (5.6%)
6-10 times	4 (0.5%)	3 (0.7%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	3 (0.4%)	3 (0.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	63 (8.6%)	37 (8.4%)	23 (8.3%)	3 (16.7%)
Spied on, watched or followed you, either in person or using devices or software in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety?				
0 times	638 (87.3%)	377 (86.1%)	248 (90.2%)	13 (72.2%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
1 time	14 (1.9%)	10 (2.3%)	4 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	6 (0.8%)	6 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	9 (1.2%)	6 (1.4%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	2 (0.3%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (11.1%)
More than 10 times	4 (0.5%)	3 (0.7%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	58 (7.9%)	36 (8.2%)	19 (6.9%)	3 (16.7%)
Since Fall 2016, while you were a student at UNM, how many times has a student or someone employed by or associated with UNM:				
Did another frightening, threatening, or damaging behavior on purpose that made you afraid for your safety?				
0 times	622 (85.1%)	368 (84.0%)	240 (87.3%)	14 (77.8%)
1 time	17 (2.3%)	13 (3.0%)	4 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)
2 times	14 (1.9%)	10 (2.3%)	4 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)
3-5 times	7 (1.0%)	4 (0.9%)	3 (1.1%)	0 (0.0%)
6-10 times	4 (0.5%)	3 (0.7%)	1 (0.4%)	0 (0.0%)
More than 10 times	2 (0.3%)	1 (0.2%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (5.6%)
Yes, but not since Fall 2016	65 (8.9%)	39 (8.9%)	23 (8.4%)	3 (16.7%)
Stalking Victim Since Fall 2016				
No	644 (87.7%)	375 (85.2%)	254 (92.0%)	15 (83.3%)
Yes***	90 (12.3%)	65 (14.8%)	22 (8.0%)	3 (16.7%)
What was your relationship to the person responsible for any of these behaviors?*:				
Teacher or advisor	2 (2.2%)	2 (3.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8a. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Co-worker, boss, or supervisor	3 (3.3%)	1 (1.5%)	2 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Friend	26 (28.9%)	18 (27.7%)	7 (31.8%)	1 (33.3%)
Someone affiliated with UNM such as a student, teaching assistant, staff, or faculty	37 (41.1%)	30 (46.2%)	5 (22.7%)	2 (66.7%)
Other	22 (24.4%)	15 (23.1%)	7 (31.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Don't Know	12 (13.3%)	11 (16.9%)	1 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Where did this (these) event(s) occur?*:				
On UNM property	58 (64.4%)	44 (67.7%)	12 (54.5%)	2 (66.7%)
In your dorm or apartment	31 (34.4%)	20 (30.8%)	9 (40.9%)	2 (66.7%)
At a party or social event	10 (11.1%)	5 (7.7%)	5 (22.7%)	0 (0.0%)
In a public place	29 (32.2%)	21 (32.3%)	7 (31.8%)	1 (33.3%)
Other	6 (6.7%)	4 (6.2%)	1 (4.5%)	1 (33.3%)
Don't Know	5 (5.6%)	4 (6.2%)	1 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have interfered with your academic or professional performance?				
No	47 (53.4%)	36 (56.3%)	11 (52.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes	33 (37.5%)	22 (34.4%)	8 (38.1%)	3 (100.0%)
Don't Know	8 (9.1%)	6 (9.4%)	2 (9.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Do you think this could have limited your ability to participate in activities or programs at UNM?				
No	50 (56.8%)	36 (57.1%)	14 (63.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes	34 (38.6%)	24 (38.1%)	7 (31.8%)	3 (100.0%)
Don't Know	4 (4.5%)	3 (4.8%)	1 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

Table 8a. Victimization

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Do you think this could have created an intimidating or uncomfortable environment for you?				
No	26 (29.2%)	16 (25.0%)	10 (45.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Yes	60 (67.4%)	46 (71.9%)	11 (50.0%)	3 (100.0%)
Don't Know	3 (3.4%)	2 (3.1%)	1 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Because of these behaviors, have you*:				
Tried hard not to think about it?	47 (52.2%)	37 (56.9%)	8 (36.4%)	2 (66.7%)
Felt detached from others, activities, or your surroundings?	26 (28.9%)	17 (26.2%)	7 (31.8%)	2 (66.7%)
Talked with a staff or faculty associated with UNM?	6 (6.7%)	4 (6.2%)	1 (4.5%)	1 (33.3%)
Talked with a friend or family member?	47 (52.2%)	36 (55.4%)	8 (36.4%)	3 (100.0%)
Talked with a resident advisor?	3 (3.3%)	2 (3.1%)	1 (4.5%)	0 (0.0%)
Talked with a counselor, therapist, or other mental health provider?	8 (8.9%)	6 (9.2%)	2 (9.1%)	0 (0.0%)
Called a hotline or got online information?	1 (1.1%)	1 (1.5%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Contacted police or other authorities?	5 (5.6%)	5 (7.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Reported event to UNM police?	5 (5.6%)	5 (7.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Missed classes or work?	17 (18.9%)	10 (15.4%)	4 (18.2%)	3 (100.0%)
Turned in assignments or taken exams late, or were you unable to complete assignments or take exams?	10 (11.1%)	6 (9.2%)	1 (4.5%)	3 (100.0%)
Not felt any different?	16 (17.8%)	9 (13.8%)	7 (31.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Gotten worse grades?	13 (14.4%)	8 (12.3%)	3 (13.6%)	2 (66.7%)
Thought about leaving UNM?	14 (15.6%)	9 (13.8%)	4 (18.2%)	1 (33.3%)
Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)**, n	725	434	273	18
Mean (SD)	0.6 (2.89)	0.7 (3.16)	0.4 (2.16)	1.7 (4.97)

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 35	0, 35	0, 23	0, 20
Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)*****, n	90	65	22	3
Mean (SD)	5 (6.78)	4.9 (6.83)	4.5 (6.42)	10.3 (8.74)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 3	3, 8, 20
Minimum, Maximum	1, 35	1, 35	1, 23	3, 20

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

^{***}The number of students indicating victimization is used as the denominator for the relationship, location, and potential impact sections below each type of victimization.

^{****}Percentages are based on the number of students with a non-missing response and who indicated talking with a staff or faculty associated with UNM.

^{*****}Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Since Fall 2016, at UNM have you*:				
Discussed sexual misconduct/rape in a class?	309 (42.0%)	196 (44.5%)	101 (36.5%)	12 (66.7%)
Discussed the topic of sexual misconduct with friends?	358 (48.7%)	241 (54.8%)	101 (36.5%)	16 (88.9%)
Discussed sexual misconduct with a family member?	216 (29.4%)	145 (33.0%)	64 (23.1%)	7 (38.9%)
Attended an event or program about what you can do as a bystander (or up stander) to stop sexual misconduct?	164 (22.3%)	102 (23.2%)	59 (21.3%)	3 (16.7%)
Attended a rally or other campus event about sexual misconduct or sexual assault?	77 (10.5%)	47 (10.7%)	26 (9.4%)	4 (22.2%)
Seen posters about sexual misconduct (for example raising awareness, preventing rape, defining sexual misconduct)?	452 (61.5%)	277 (63.0%)	164 (59.2%)	11 (61.1%)
Seen or heard campus administrators or staff address sexual misconduct?	232 (31.6%)	141 (32.0%)	88 (31.8%)	3 (16.7%)
Seen crime alerts about sexual misconduct or sexual assaults?	456 (62.0%)	284 (64.5%)	159 (57.4%)	13 (72.2%)
Read a report about sexual violence at UNM?	312 (42.4%)	183 (41.6%)	119 (43.0%)	10 (55.6%)
Visited a UNM website with information on sexual misconduct or sexual assault?	88 (12.0%)	46 (10.5%)	39 (14.1%)	3 (16.7%)
Volunteered or interned at an organization that addressed sexual misconduct/assault at UNM?	16 (2.2%)	9 (2.0%)	5 (1.8%)	2 (11.1%)
Seen or heard about sexual misconduct in a student publication or media outlet?	175 (23.8%)	117 (26.6%)	53 (19.1%)	5 (27.8%)
Taken a class to learn more about sexual misconduct or assaults?	27 (3.7%)	15 (3.4%)	10 (3.6%)	2 (11.1%)
Prior to this survey, were you aware of UNM's faculty and staff sexual misconduct reporting policy?				
No	164 (22.3%)	99 (22.5%)	62 (22.4%)	3 (16.7%)
Yes	513 (69.8%)	310 (70.5%)	190 (68.6%)	13 (72.2%)
Unsure	52 (7.1%)	29 (6.6%)	21 (7.6%)	2 (11.1%)
Choose not to answer	6 (0.8%)	2 (0.5%)	4 (1.4%)	0 (0.0%)
What is your opinion of UNM's faculty and staff sexual misconduct reporting policy?				
Very Negative	24 (3.3%)	13 (3.0%)	8 (2.9%)	3 (16.7%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. Program: S:\DATAQUeST\Requests\Womens Health\McBee\Programs\UNM\2017\T_UNM_GENDER.sas

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Negative	54 (7.3%)	43 (9.8%)	11 (4.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Neutral	230 (31.3%)	131 (29.8%)	94 (33.9%)	5 (27.8%)
Positive	241 (32.8%)	146 (33.2%)	89 (32.1%)	6 (33.3%)
Very Positive	152 (20.7%)	88 (20.0%)	63 (22.7%)	1 (5.6%)
Choose not to answer	34 (4.6%)	19 (4.3%)	12 (4.3%)	3 (16.7%)
If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would support the person accused.				
Very Unlikely	60 (8.2%)	31 (7.1%)	25 (9.2%)	4 (22.2%)
Unlikely	164 (22.5%)	105 (24.0%)	57 (20.9%)	2 (11.1%)
Neutral	267 (36.6%)	157 (35.8%)	103 (37.7%)	7 (38.9%)
Likely	182 (25.0%)	114 (26.0%)	65 (23.8%)	3 (16.7%)
Very Likely	56 (7.7%)	31 (7.1%)	23 (8.4%)	2 (11.1%)
If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would provide accommodations to support the person making the report.				
Very Unlikely	42 (5.8%)	28 (6.4%)	9 (3.3%)	5 (27.8%)
Unlikely	116 (15.9%)	77 (17.6%)	31 (11.3%)	8 (44.4%)
Neutral	195 (26.7%)	126 (28.8%)	68 (24.8%)	1 (5.6%)
Likely	283 (38.8%)	157 (35.8%)	123 (44.9%)	3 (16.7%)
Very Likely	94 (12.9%)	50 (11.4%)	43 (15.7%)	1 (5.6%)
If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual misconduct.				
Very Unlikely	50 (6.9%)	32 (7.3%)	13 (4.8%)	5 (27.8%)
Unlikely	118 (16.2%)	79 (18.0%)	32 (11.7%)	7 (38.9%)
Neutral	193 (26.5%)	125 (28.5%)	66 (24.2%)	2 (11.1%)
Likely	293 (40.2%)	165 (37.7%)	124 (45.4%)	4 (22.2%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. Program: S:\DATAQUeST\Requests\Womens Health\McBee\Programs\UNM\2017\T_UNM_GENDER.sas

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Very Likely	75 (10.3%)	37 (8.4%)	38 (13.9%)	0 (0.0%)
If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would label the person making the report a trouble maker.				
Very Unlikely	135 (18.5%)	71 (16.2%)	64 (23.4%)	0 (0.0%)
Unlikely	243 (33.3%)	147 (33.6%)	91 (33.2%)	5 (27.8%)
Neutral	224 (30.7%)	145 (33.1%)	74 (27.0%)	5 (27.8%)
Likely	96 (13.2%)	59 (13.5%)	30 (10.9%)	7 (38.9%)
Very Likely	32 (4.4%)	16 (3.7%)	15 (5.5%)	1 (5.6%)
If the person accused of sexual misconduct was a UNM athlete, UNM would not take the case seriously.				
Very Unlikely	108 (14.8%)	62 (14.1%)	46 (16.8%)	0 (0.0%)
Unlikely	155 (21.2%)	86 (19.6%)	69 (25.2%)	0 (0.0%)
Neutral	205 (28.1%)	118 (26.9%)	84 (30.7%)	3 (17.6%)
Likely	164 (22.5%)	104 (23.7%)	51 (18.6%)	9 (52.9%)
Very Likely	98 (13.4%)	69 (15.7%)	24 (8.8%)	5 (29.4%)
If the person accused of sexual misconduct was in a high status or otherwise powerful fraternity, UNM would not take the case seriously.				
Very Unlikely	109 (14.9%)	57 (13.0%)	52 (19.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Unlikely	164 (22.5%)	91 (20.8%)	73 (26.6%)	0 (0.0%)
Neutral	202 (27.7%)	117 (26.7%)	82 (29.9%)	3 (16.7%)
Likely	168 (23.0%)	108 (24.7%)	50 (18.2%)	10 (55.6%)
Very Likely	87 (11.9%)	65 (14.8%)	17 (6.2%)	5 (27.8%)
If the person accused of sexual misconduct was in a position of power or authority (for example a faculty member, coach, administrator or police), UNM would not take the case seriously.				
Very Unlikely	110 (15.1%)	64 (14.6%)	46 (16.8%)	0 (0.0%)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable.

^{*}Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Unlikely	167 (22.9%)	94 (21.5%)	72 (26.3%)	1 (5.6%)
Neutral	224 (30.7%)	131 (30.0%)	90 (32.8%)	3 (16.7%)
Likely	143 (19.6%)	89 (20.4%)	47 (17.2%)	7 (38.9%)
Very Likely	85 (11.7%)	59 (13.5%)	19 (6.9%)	7 (38.9%)
If the person accusing someone of sexual misconduct was from a wealthy or politically connected family, UNM would take the case more seriously.				
Very Unlikely	84 (11.5%)	50 (11.4%)	33 (12.0%)	1 (5.6%)
Unlikely	119 (16.3%)	70 (15.9%)	45 (16.4%)	4 (22.2%)
Neutral	255 (34.9%)	154 (35.1%)	95 (34.7%)	6 (33.3%)
Likely	176 (24.1%)	107 (24.4%)	65 (23.7%)	4 (22.2%)
Very Likely	97 (13.3%)	58 (13.2%)	36 (13.1%)	3 (16.7%)
If a student reported an incident of sexual misconduct: UNM would support the person making the report.				
Very Unlikely	31 (4.2%)	20 (4.6%)	8 (2.9%)	3 (16.7%)
Unlikely	56 (7.7%)	41 (9.4%)	13 (4.7%)	2 (11.1%)
Neutral	245 (33.6%)	158 (36.1%)	78 (28.5%)	9 (50.0%)
Likely	291 (39.9%)	162 (37.0%)	126 (46.0%)	3 (16.7%)
Very Likely	107 (14.7%)	57 (13.0%)	49 (17.9%)	1 (5.6%)
Misconduct Support Score (9-45)**, n	720	431	272	17
Mean (SD)	28.8 (6.7)	28.3 (6.85)	30.2 (6.04)	21.2 (5.83)
Q1, Median, Q3	25, 28, 34	24, 28, 33	27, 30, 34	16, 21, 25
Minimum, Maximum	10, 45	10, 45	10, 45	13, 30
Institutional Conditional Support (5-25)**, n	726	435	274	17
Mean (SD)	15.6 (4.72)	15.2 (4.93)	16.3 (4.23)	11.1 (3.29)

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. *Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. Program: S:\DATAQUeST\Requests\Womens Health\McBee\Programs\UNM\2017\T_UNM_GENDER.sas

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Q1, Median, Q3	12, 15, 19	12, 15, 19	14, 15, 20	10, 11, 14
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	5, 16

Column percentages are presented for categorical variables, and percentages are based on the number of non-missing observations for each variable. *Categories are not mutually exclusive.

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. Program: S:\DATAQUeST\Requests\Womens Health\McBee\Programs\UNM\2017\T_UNM_GENDER.sas

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Risky Friends Behaviors (0-36)**, n	728	436	274	18
Mean (SD)	4.4 (4.76)	4.3 (4.79)	4.4 (4.5)	6.9 (7.1)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 3, 7	1, 3, 6	1, 2.5, 7	1, 4, 12
Minimum, Maximum	0, 36	0, 36	0, 18	0, 25
Risky Friends Behaviors-Substance (0-12)**, n	732	438	276	18
Mean (SD)	3.7 (3.88)	3.4 (3.67)	4 (4.11)	5.1 (4.75)
Q1, Median, Q3	0.5, 2, 6	0, 2, 6	1, 2, 6	1, 3, 9
Minimum, Maximum	0, 12	0, 12	0, 12	0, 12
Risky Friends Behaviors-Violence (0-24)**, n	730	437	275	18
Mean (SD)	0.7 (1.82)	0.9 (2.01)	0.4 (1.21)	1.8 (3.44)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0	0, 0.5, 1
Minimum, Maximum	0, 24	0, 24	0, 11	0, 13
Problem Alcohol Use Current (0-5)**, n	730	437	275	18
Mean (SD)	0.4 (0.83)	0.3 (0.84)	0.4 (0.82)	0.3 (0.84)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 4	0, 4	0, 4	0, 3
Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)**, n	732	439	275	18
Mean (SD)	0.8 (3.4)	0.5 (3.14)	1.4 (3.81)	0 (0)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 55	0, 55	0, 22	0, 0
Bystander efficacy (4-20)**, n	732	439	275	18
Mean (SD)	15.2 (2.66)	15 (2.57)	15.4 (2.81)	14.9 (2.34)
Q1, Median, Q3	14, 15, 17	14, 15, 16	14, 16, 17	14, 15, 16
Minimum, Maximum	4, 20	4, 20	4, 20	10, 19
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (Total) (0-77)**, n	728	436	274	18

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens | Health| McBee| Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | U$

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Mean (SD)	7.9 (10.95)	8.3 (11.08)	6.8 (9.79)	15.9 (19)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 4, 11	1, 5, 10.5	0, 3, 10	3, 9, 22
Minimum, Maximum	0, 77	0, 77	0, 69	1, 77
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (SV) (0-33)**, n	730	437	275	18
Mean (SD)	3.4 (5.65)	3.9 (6)	2.4 (4.3)	8.6 (9.79)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 1, 5	0, 2, 5	0, 0, 3	2, 3.5, 12
Minimum, Maximum	0, 33	0, 33	0, 28	0, 33
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (ALL) (0-44)**, n	732	439	275	18
Mean (SD)	4.5 (6.84)	4.4 (6.68)	4.5 (6.81)	7.3 (10.36)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 2, 6	0, 2, 6	0, 2, 6	1, 3.5, 10
Minimum, Maximum	0, 44	0, 44	0, 44	0, 44
Sexual Consent Score (5-25)**, n	727	436	273	18
Mean (SD)	23.1 (2.83)	23.5 (2.6)	22.2 (3.06)	24.6 (1.04)
Q1, Median, Q3	21, 25, 25	23, 25, 25	20, 23, 25	25, 25, 25
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	21, 25
Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)**, n	727	434	275	18
Mean (SD)	1.6 (4.43)	1.9 (4.76)	0.9 (3.49)	4.6 (6.6)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 2	0, 0, 0	0, 1.5, 8
Minimum, Maximum	0, 44	0, 44	0, 37	0, 25
Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)**, n	487	314	158	15
Mean (SD)	1.5 (6.58)	1.4 (6.03)	1.9 (7.8)	0.5 (1.81)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 84	0, 69	0, 84	0, 7
Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)**, n	489	315	159	15
Mean (SD)	1.4 (6.05)	1.2 (5.49)	1.7 (7.25)	0.4 (1.55)

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. $Program: S: |DATAQUeST| Requests | Womens | Health| McBee| Programs | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | UNM | 2017 | T_UNM_GENDER. sassing the program | UNM | U$

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 1	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 78	0, 58	0, 78	0, 6
Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)**, n	491	316	160	15
Mean (SD)	0.2 (0.9)	0.2 (0.98)	0.2 (0.77)	0.1 (0.26)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 11	0, 11	0, 6	0, 1
Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)**, n	725	434	273	18
Mean (SD)	0.6 (2.89)	0.7 (3.16)	0.4 (2.16)	1.7 (4.97)
Q1, Median, Q3	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0	0, 0, 0
Minimum, Maximum	0, 35	0, 35	0, 23	0, 20
Misconduct Support Score (9-45)**, n	720	431	272	17
Mean (SD)	28.8 (6.7)	28.3 (6.85)	30.2 (6.04)	21.2 (5.83)
Q1, Median, Q3	25, 28, 34	24, 28, 33	27, 30, 34	16, 21, 25
Minimum, Maximum	10, 45	10, 45	10, 45	13, 30
Institutional Conditional Support (5-25)**, n	726	435	274	17
Mean (SD)	15.6 (4.72)	15.2 (4.93)	16.3 (4.23)	11.1 (3.29)
Q1, Median, Q3	12, 15, 19	12, 15, 19	14, 15, 20	10, 11, 14
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	5, 16

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Risky Friends Behaviors (0-36)****, n	565	334	216	15
Mean (SD)	5.6 (4.7)	5.6 (4.76)	5.6 (4.38)	8.3 (6.99)
Q1, Median, Q3	2, 4, 9	2, 4, 7	2, 4, 9	2, 8, 12
Minimum, Maximum	1, 36	1, 36	1, 18	1, 25
Risky Friends Behaviors-Substance (0-12)*****, n	549	326	208	15
Mean (SD)	4.9 (3.76)	4.6 (3.57)	5.3 (3.95)	6.1 (4.55)
Q1, Median, Q3	2, 4, 7	2, 4, 7	2, 4, 9	1, 6, 12
Minimum, Maximum	1, 12	1, 12	1, 12	1, 12
Risky Friends Behaviors-Violence (0-24)*****, n	227	161	57	9
Mean (SD)	2.4 (2.59)	2.4 (2.68)	2.1 (1.9)	3.6 (4.25)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 1, 3	1, 2, 3	1, 1, 2	1, 1, 4
Minimum, Maximum	1, 24	1, 24	1, 11	1, 13
Problem Alcohol Use Current (0-5)*****, n	153	85	65	3
Mean (SD)	1.7 (0.98)	1.8 (1.03)	1.6 (0.91)	2(1)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 1, 2	1, 1, 2	1, 1, 2	1, 2, 3
Minimum, Maximum	1, 4	1, 4	1, 4	1, 3
Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)*****, n	110	47	63	0
Mean (SD)	5.4 (7.24)	4.5 (8.68)	6.1 (5.94)	-
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 11	1, 2, 3	2, 3, 11	-
Minimum, Maximum	1, 55	1, 55	1, 22	-
Bystander efficacy (4-20)**, n	732	439	275	18
Mean (SD)	15.2 (2.66)	15 (2.57)	15.4 (2.81)	14.9 (2.34)
Q1, Median, Q3	14, 15, 17	14, 15, 16	14, 16, 17	14, 15, 16
Minimum, Maximum	4, 20	4, 20	4, 20	10, 19
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (Total) (0-77)*****, n	560	351	191	18

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. *****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM.

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Mean (SD)	10.3 (11.47)	10.2 (11.49)	9.8 (10.41)	15.9 (19)
Q1, Median, Q3	3, 6, 13	3, 7, 13	3, 6, 14	3, 9, 22
Minimum, Maximum	1,77	1, 77	1, 69	1, 77
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (SV) (0-33)*****, n	424	284	123	17
Mean (SD)	5.9 (6.35)	6 (6.55)	5.3 (5.11)	9.1 (9.85)
Q1, Median, Q3	2, 4, 7	2, 4, 7	2, 3, 7	2, 4, 12
Minimum, Maximum	1, 33	1, 33	1, 28	1, 33
Engaging Peers Bystanding Score (ALL) (0-44)*****, n	501	306	179	16
Mean (SD)	6.6 (7.4)	6.3 (7.21)	6.9 (7.39)	8.3 (10.66)
Q1, Median, Q3	2, 4, 8	2, 4, 8	2, 4, 8	2, 4.5, 11
Minimum, Maximum	1, 44	1, 44	1, 44	1, 44
Sexual Consent Score (5-25)**, n	727	436	273	18
Mean (SD)	23.1 (2.83)	23.5 (2.6)	22.2 (3.06)	24.6 (1.04)
Q1, Median, Q3	21, 25, 25	23, 25, 25	20, 23, 25	25, 25, 25
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	21, 25
Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)*****, n	213	147	55	11
Mean (SD)	5.5 (6.76)	5.6 (6.8)	4.7 (6.62)	7.5 (7.05)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 3, 6	2, 3, 6	1, 2, 5	2, 8, 11
Minimum, Maximum	1, 44	1, 44	1, 37	1, 25
Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)*****, n	111	70	40	1
Mean (SD)	6.7 (12.49)	6.3 (11.56)	7.5 (14.23)	7(-)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 6	1, 3, 6	7, 7, 7
Minimum, Maximum	1, 84	1, 69	1, 84	7, 7
Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)*****, n	104	63	40	1
Mean (SD)	6.4 (11.87)	6.1 (11.08)	6.9 (13.3)	6(-)

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. *****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. Program: S:\DATAQUeST\Requests\Womens Health\McBee\Programs\UNM\2017\T_UNM_GENDER.sas

	All Subjects (N=735)	Female (N=440)	Male (N=277)	Others (N=18)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 6	1, 2.5, 5.5	6, 6, 6
Minimum, Maximum	1, 78	1, 58	1, 78	6, 6
Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)*****, n	36	25	10	1
Mean (SD)	2.4 (2.45)	2.4 (2.69)	2.5 (1.96)	1(-)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 2	1, 2, 2	1, 2, 3	1, 1, 1
Minimum, Maximum	1, 11	1, 11	1, 6	1, 1
Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)*****, n	90	65	22	3
Mean (SD)	5 (6.78)	4.9 (6.83)	4.5 (6.42)	10.3 (8.74)
Q1, Median, Q3	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 6	1, 2, 3	3, 8, 20
Minimum, Maximum	1, 35	1, 35	1, 23	3, 20
Misconduct Support Score (9-45)**, n	720	431	272	17
Mean (SD)	28.8 (6.7)	28.3 (6.85)	30.2 (6.04)	21.2 (5.83)
Q1, Median, Q3	25, 28, 34	24, 28, 33	27, 30, 34	16, 21, 25
Minimum, Maximum	10, 45	10, 45	10, 45	13, 30
Institutional Conditional Support (5-25)**, n	726	435	274	17
Mean (SD)	15.6 (4.72)	15.2 (4.93)	16.3 (4.23)	11.1 (3.29)
Q1, Median, Q3	12, 15, 19	12, 15, 19	14, 15, 20	10, 11, 14
Minimum, Maximum	5, 25	5, 25	5, 25	5, 16

^{**}Non-missing responses to individual variables were required in order to calculate scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. *****Scores of 0 are removed from the calculated scales. For details, please refer to Index of Scores UNM. Program: S:\DATAQUeST\Requests\Womens Health\McBee\Programs\UNM\2017\T_UNM_GENDER.sas