Index of Scores: UNM

Table 3

1. Risky Friends Behaviors (0-36)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Binge drink (drink 5 or more drinks in a row within about 2 hours)
 - ii. Use illegal drugs
 - iii. Have forced someone to have sex with them
 - iv. Have used any physical force against a partner
 - v. Have partners that use physical force
 - vi. Had sex because they were forced or pressured into it
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0: 0 friends
 - b. 1: 1-2 friends
 - c. 3: 3-5 friends
 - d. 6: 6 or more friends

2. Risky Friends Behaviors, Substances (0-12)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Binge drink (drink 5 or more drinks in a row within about 2 hours)
 - ii. Use illegal drugs
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0: 0 friends
 - b. 1: 1-2 friends
 - c. 3: 3-5 friends
 - d. 6: 6 or more friends

3. Risky Friends Behaviors, Violence (0-24)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Have forced someone to have sex with them
 - ii. Have used any physical force against a partner
 - iii. Have partners that use physical force
 - iv. Had sex because they were forced or pressured into it
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0: 0 friends
 - b. 1: 1-2 friends
 - c. 3: 3-5 friends
 - d. 6: 6 or more friends

4. Problem Alcohol Use Current (0-5)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Been unable to remember things that happened while you were drinking alcohol (things you would normally remember)
 - ii. Drank alcohol to feel more connected to the people around you
 - iii. Drank to feel more comfortable with or to increase the opportunity for sex

- iv. Done things when drinking alcohol that you normally would not do and you now regret doing
- v. Been recommended to Alcoholics Anonymous or an alcohol or drug treatment facility
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0: No or not current
 - b. 1: Yes

Table 4

1. Sexual Harassment Perpetration Score (0-55)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. You made sexual remarks or told jokes or stories that may have been or were insulting or offensive to another student
 - ii. You made inappropriate or offensive comments about another student's body or someone else's body appearance or sexual activities
 - iii. You emailed, texted, tweeted, phoned, or instant messaged offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos to another student that they probably did not want
 - iv. You harassed, insulted, threatened, or intimidated another student because you thought they might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender
 - v. You physically hurt another student (including forcing sex) because you thought they might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0: 0 or Yes, but not since Fall 2016
 - b. 1:1
 - c. 2:2
 - d. 3: 3-5
 - e. 6: 6-10
 - f. 11: More than 10 times

Table 5

1. Bystander Efficacy Score (4-20)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. I am able to recognize a situation that might become violent
 - ii. I can make a difference in reducing dating violence or sexual violence at UNM
 - iii. I have the skills to help prevent dating violence or sexual violence at UNM
 - iv. I am able to help if I see a situation where someone might be taken advantage of sexually
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 1: Strongly Disagree
 - b. 2: Disagree

- c. 3: Neutral
- d. 4: Agree
- e. 5: Strongly Agree

2. Engaging Peers Bystander Score (Total) (0-77)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Has a friend or acquaintance talked with you about the importance of reducing sexual or dating violence
 - ii. Have you and your friends talked about activities or things you could do that might help prevent sexual or dating violence at your university or in your community
 - iii. Have you talked with your friends about what you can do to keep yourself or others safe from sexual or dating violence
 - iv. Have you discussed the possible dangers of drinking too much with friends
 - v. Have you told someone you were concerned about their drinking
 - vi. Have you told someone that getting drunk puts them at risk for being a victim of violence
 - vii. Have you expressed your concern when someone was talking about how they got so wasted
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0: 0 or Yes, but not since Fall 2016
 - b. 1:1
 - c. 2: 2
 - d. 3: 3-5
 - e. 6: 6-10
 - f. 11: More than 10 times

3. Engaging Peers Bystander Score (SV) (0-33)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Has a friend or acquaintance talked with you about the importance of reducing sexual or dating violence
 - ii. Have you and your friends talked about activities or things you could do that might help prevent sexual or dating violence at your university or in your community
 - iii. Have you talked with your friends about what you can do to keep yourself or others safe from sexual or dating violence
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0: 0 or Yes, but not since Fall 2016
 - b. 1:1
 - c. 2:2
 - d. 3: 3-5
 - e. 6: 6-10
 - f. 11: More than 10 times

4. Engaging Peers Bystander Score (ALL) (0-44)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Have you discussed the possible dangers of drinking too much with friends
 - ii. Have you told someone you were concerned about their drinking
 - iii. Have you told someone that getting drunk puts them at risk for being a victim of violence
 - iv. Have you expressed your concern when someone was talking about how they got so wasted
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0: 0 or Yes, but not since Fall 2016
 - b. 1:1
 - c. 2:2
 - d. 3: 3-5
 - e. 6: 6-10
 - f. 11: More than 10 times

Table 7

1. Sexual Consent Score (5-25)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Both people should make sure that the other person clearly agrees to have sex
 - ii. Even if some sexual activity has started, either person has the right to change their mind and stop
 - iii. If someone agrees to have one type of sex it is OK to assume they have agreed to other forms of sex
 - iv. If you have had sex with someone in the past, you can assume that they have agreed to have sex with you now
 - v. If someone seems interested in you sexually, but then becomes so drunk or high that they can't talk to you, it is not okay to have sex with them
 - 1. Responses i, ii, and v given on the following scale:
 - a. 1: Strongly Disagree
 - b. 2: Disagree
 - c. 3: Neutral
 - d. 4: Agree
 - e. 5: Strongly Agree
 - 2. Responses iii and iv given on the following scale:
 - a. 5: Strongly Disagree
 - b. 4: Disagree
 - c. 3: Neutral
 - d. 2: Agree
 - e. 1: Strongly Agree

1. Sexual Harassment Victimization Score (0-55)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Made sexual remarks or told jokes or stories that were insulting or offensive
 - ii. Made inappropriate or offensive comments about your or someone else's body appearance or sexual activities
 - iii. Emailed, texted, tweeted, phoned, or instant messaged offensive sexual remarks, jokes, stories, pictures or videos to you that you did not want
 - iv. Harassed, insulted, threatened, or intimidated you because they thought you might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender
 - v. Physically hurt you (including forced sex) because they thought you might be gay, lesbian, bisexual, or transgender
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0: 0 or Yes, but not since Fall 2016
 - b. 1: 1
 - c. 2:2
 - d. 3: 3-5
 - e. 6: 6-10
 - f. 11: More than 10 times

2. Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-104)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Checked up on you by following you, invading your privacy by reading private messages or listening in on calls that were NOT done in a joking or playful manner
 - ii. Controlled or tried to control you
 - iii. Threatened to physically harm you, someone you love, or themselves
 - iv. Used any kind of physical force against you
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0:0
 - b. 1:1
 - c. 2: 2
 - d. 3: 3-5
 - e. 6: 6-10
 - f. 11: 11-25
 - g. 26: 26 +

3. Psychological Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-78)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Checked up on you by following you, invading your privacy by reading private messages or listening in on calls that were NOT done in a joking or playful manner
 - ii. Controlled or tried to control you
 - iii. Threatened to physically harm you, someone you love, or themselves
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:

- a. 0:0
- b. 1:1
- c. 2:2
- d. 3: 3-5
- e. 6: 6-10
- f. 11: 11-25
- g. 26: 26 +

4. Physical Dating Violence Victimization Score (0-26)

- a. Used any kind of physical force against you
 - i. Response given on the following scale:
 - 1. 0:0
 - 2. 1:1
 - 3. 2:2
 - 4. 3: 3-5
 - 5. 6: 6-10
 - 6. 11: 11-25
 - 7. 26:26+

5. Stalking Victimization Score (0-44)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. Made unwanted phone calls, sent emails, voice, text or instant messages, or posted messages, picture or videos on social networking sites in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety
 - ii. Showed up somewhere or waited for you when you did not want that person to be there in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety
 - iii. Spied on, watched or followed you, either in person or using devices or software in a way that made you afraid for your personal safety
 - iv. Did another frightening, threatening, or damaging behavior on purpose that made you afraid for your safety
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale:
 - a. 0: 0 or Yes, but not since Fall 2016
 - b. 1:1
 - c. 2:2
 - d. 3: 3-5
 - e. 6: 6-10
 - f. 11: More than 10 times

Table 9

1. Misconduct Support Score (9-45)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. UNM would support the person making the report
 - ii. UNM would support the person accused

- iii. UNM would provide accommodations to support the person making the report. For example, make changes in academic schedules, housing or other safety accommodations
- iv. UNM would take action to address factors that may have led to the sexual misconduct
- v. UNM would label the person making the report a trouble maker
- vi. If the person accused of sexual misconduct was a UNM athlete, UNM would not take the case seriously
- vii. If the person accused of sexual misconduct was in a high status or otherwise powerful fraternity, UNM would not take the case seriously
- viii. If the person accused of sexual misconduct was in a position of power or authority (for example a faculty member, coach, administrator or police), UNM would not take the case seriously
 - ix. If the person accusing someone of sexual misconduct was from a wealthy or politically connected family, UNM would take the case more seriously.
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale for i-iv:
 - a. 1: Very Unlikely
 - b. 2: Unlikely
 - c. 3: Neutral
 - d. 4: Likely
 - e. 5: Very Likely
 - 2. Responses given on the following scale for v-ix (reversed):
 - a. 5: Very Unlikely
 - b. 4: Unlikely
 - c. 3: Neutral
 - d. 2: Likely
 - e. 1: Very Likely

2. Institutional Conditional Support (5-25)

- a. The sum of the following:
 - i. UNM would label the person making the report a trouble maker
 - ii. If the person accused of sexual misconduct was a UNM athlete, UNM would not take the case seriously
 - iii. If the person accused of sexual misconduct was in a high status or otherwise powerful fraternity, UNM would not take the case seriously
 - iv. If the person accused of sexual misconduct was in a position of power or authority (for example a faculty member, coach, administrator or police), UNM would not take the case seriously
 - v. If the person accusing someone of sexual misconduct was from a wealthy or politically connected family, UNM would take the case more seriously.
 - 1. Responses given on the following scale (reversed):
 - a. 5: Very Unlikely
 - b. 4: Unlikely
 - c. 3: Neutral

d. 2: Likely

e. 1: Very Likely